
**Computational MR imaging**


**Laboratory 3: Partial Fourier imaging**

**Nan Lan**

1. **Hermitian symmetry reconstructed image**


Use the Hermitian symmetry to estimate the zero\_padding part of original kspace.






The result below shows the PatialFourier=9/16 image and the Hermitian symmetry reconstructed image. The right image is less blurry than the left one. But Hermitian symmetry reconstructed image has obvious ringing artifact at the edge and in the center. The reason is that the condition of Hermitian symmetry is value in the image ought to be pure real, which means there should have no phase errors.


In fact, all image data sets contain some phase errors, and therefore the conjugate symmetry approximations are not perfect. The sources of these phase errors include the usual "posibilities": Bo inhomogeneity, susceptibility effects, eddy currents, physiologic motion and so on.




1. **Process of Phase estimation from center kspace**
2. Crop the center kspace and zero pad




1. Create the hamming window and zero pad




1. Use the filtered center space to estimate the phase




The result below shows the zaeo padding kspace with logirithm and the 2D hamming window.




The result below is the phase estimation from the center kspace after filtering by 2D hamming window.



1. **Process of Margosian method**
2. Create ramp filter with the size of (512,32)



1. Use ramp filter to smooth the transition between kspace and zero padding parts, so as to reduce gibbs ring artifact. Obtain I0(r) now.





3) Reconstruct image with phase part and magnitude part based on Margosian method





1. **Process of POCS method**
2. Initialize and use ifft to obtain the zero\_padding image





1. Reconstruct image with phase part and magnitude of zero\_padding image




1. Use fft to obtain the new kspace




1. Fill the zero padding part in orignal kspace with the corresponding part of new kspace, and go to step1




The code below is the whole process:



The pictures below are the reconstructed image from POCS , the given kspace and the reconstructed kspace where the right part of given kspace is filled by the estimated kspace.






1. **Result of Margosian method**

The result below shows the result of margosian method.


Compared withe zero-padding image, the reconstructed image from Margosian has higher spatial resolution but also stronger gibbs ring.

There are no big different between Margosian(ramp) and Margosian(ramp)




1. **Different iteration of POCS Method**

The result below shows Different iteration of POCS Method. The large the the iteration number is, the less blurry the reconstructed image is. When the iteration number reach 6, the the algorithm has converged, because the upcoming reconstructed image doesn’t change much.




1. **Hermitian, Margosian, POCS methods comparisom**

The result below shows the reconstruted image from Original, Hermitian, Margosian(ramp), Margosian(hamming), POCS methods.



Now we compare these different methods in terms of SNR, spatial resolution, residual artifacts and ringing

**SNR**: The POCS and Margosian methods have lower SNR. Take the definition of SNR for partial Fourier MRI (refer to the image below). R = 16/9 in our example is larger than 1, so 1/sqrt(R) would be less than 1.




Source: computer MRI slice

**Spacial resolution**: The POCS and Margosian methods can get the better reconstructed image than Hermitian method. POCS method has higher spacial resolution than Margosian method, because it estimate the not only the phase but the zero part of kspace.

**Residual Artifact:** The result below shows the residual artifact which is calculated by: the magnitude of reconstruted image minus the magnitude of original image.

POCS method can help to reduce the residual artifact.

Residual artifact from margosian looks like a image, because the image reconstructed by the algorithm of margosian has only real part but the original image has both real and imaginary parts. Actually the residual artifact from margosian is not supposed to be likw this.



**Ringing**: Hermisian will lead to stronger gibbs ringing than the POCS method and Margosian . The reason why Hermisain has stronger gibbs ringing is that the condition of Hermitian symmetry is value in the image ought to be pure real, which means there should have no phase errors. For detailed explaination, please refer to question2.