Spring 2018 STAT115 STAT215 BIO512 BIST298

Due: Sun 2/18 midnight

**HOMEWORK 2: Microarray Analysis**

**Part I. Expression Index and Differential Expression**

In this part, we are going to analyze a microarray gene expression dataset from the following paper using the methods learned from the lecture:

Xu et al, Science 2012. EZH2 oncogenic activity in castration-resistant prostate cancer cells is Polycomb-independent. PMID: [23239736](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23239736)

The expression data is available at GEO under GSE39461, and for this HW we only need the first 12 samples. There are two prostate cancer cell lines used: LNCaP and ABL (please ignore the “DHT” and “VEH” labels). To see the function of EZH2 gene, the authors knocked down EZH2 (siEZH2) in the two cell lines and examined the genes that are differentially expressed compared to the control, and generated 3 replicates for each condition. They are also interested in finding whether the genes regulated by EZH2 are similar or different in the LNCaP and ABL cell lines.

First, take a look at the following quick tutorial about Affymetrix array analysis: <http://homer.salk.edu/homer/basicTutorial/affymetrix.html>.

Also, please watch this video about batch effect: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z3vqrkRGSLI>.

Now let’s analyze the data in this Science paper:

**1.** Make sure BioConductor and all the modules you will need are installed, and include them in your R code. Download the needed CEL files (GSM969458 to GSM969469) to your cwd. Note your cwd needs to be the same as where your CEL files are, or specify the file names using the argument filenames in ReadAffy. Load the data in R. Draw pairwise MA plot of the raw probe values for the 3 ABL in control samples. Do the raw data need normalization?

**2.** Use RMA, which includes background correction, quantile normalization, and expression index estimation, to obtain the expression level of each gene. This will generate an expression matrix, where genes are in rows and samples are in columns. What are the assumptions behind RMA qnorm? Draw pairwise MA plot on the expression index for the 3 ABL control samples after RMA. Is the RMA normalization successful?

**3.** Use LIMMA, find the differentially expressed genes between siEZH2 and control in LNCaP cells, and repe at the same in ABL cells. Use false discovery rate (FDR) 0.05 and fold change (FC) 1.5 as cutoff to filter the final result list. How many genes are differentially expressed at this cutoff? Count in terms of gene symbol (e.g. TP53) instead of transcript like (e.g. NM\_000546)?

**4.** Draw a hierarchical clustering of the 12 samples. Does the clustering suggest the presence of batch effect?

Hint: use functions *dist, hclust, plot*

**5.** Use ComBat (<http://www.bu.edu/jlab/wp-assets/ComBat/Abstract.html>) to adjust for batch effects and provide evidence that the batch effects are successfully adjusted. Repeat the differential expression analysis using LIMMA, FDR 0.05 and FC 1.5. Are there significant genes reported?

**6. FOR GRADUATES**: Run K-means clustering of differentially expressed genes across all 12 samples. Experiment with different K (there may not be a correct answer here so just explore and explain your reasoning).

Hint: function *kmeans*

**7.** Run the four list of differential genes (up / down, LNCaP / ABL) separately on DAVID (<http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/>, you might want to read their Nat Prot tutorial) to see whether the genes in each list are enriched in specific biological process, pathways, etc. What’s in common and what’s the most significant difference in EZH2 regulated genes between LNCaP and ABL?

**8. FOR GRADUATES**: Try Gene Set Enrichment analysis (<http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp>) on the siEZH2 experiments in LNCaP and ABL separately. Do the two cell lines differ in the enriched gene sets?

In real data analysis, after expression index calculation, it is a good practice do clustering analysis to check for and correct potential batch effect before proceeding to differential expression analysis and GO analysis, even if are able to find sufficient number of differential genes before batch removal.

**Part I. Microarray Clustering and Classification**

The sample data is in file “**taylor2010\_data.txt”** included in this homework. This dataset has expression profiles of 23974 genes in 27 normal samples, 129 primary cancer samples, 18 metastasized cancer samples, and 5 unknown samples. Assume the data has been normalized and summarized to expression index.

The skeleton R code is in **HW2\_1.R.** Please fill in missing R code for each question, and attach this R file with homework submission.

**1.** For the 174 samples with known type (normal, primary, metastasized), use LIMMA to find the **differentially expressed genes** with fold change threshold 1.5, and adjusted p-value threshold 0.05. How many differentially expressed genes are there?

Hint: the design vector consists of type indicator for the 174 samples. For example, 0 for normal, 1 for primary, and 2 for metastasized.

**2.** Draw **k-means clustering** on all samples using the differentially expressed genes. Do the samples cluster according to disease status?

**3.** Do **PCA biplot** on the samples with differentially expressed genes genes, and use 4 different colors to distinguish the 4 types of samples (normal, primary, metastasized and unknown). Do the samples from different groups look separable?

Hint: use the PCA ggplot R code, also function *legend* is useful. (http://docs.ggplot2.org/0.9.3.1/geom\_point.html)

**4. FOR GRADUATES**: What % of variation in the data is captured in the first two principle components? How many principle components do we need to capture 85% of the variation in the data?

RHint: use function *prcomp*.

**5.** Based on the PCA biplot, can you classify the 5 unknown samples? Put the PCA biplot in your HW write-up, and indicate which unknown sample should be classified into which known type (normal, primary, metastasized). Do you have different confidence for each unknown sample?

**6. FOR GRADUATES:** Use PCA on all samples and all the genes (instead of the differentially expressed genes) for sample classification. Compare to your results in the previous question. Which PCA plot looks better and why?

**7.** Run **KNN** (try K = 1, 3 and 5) on the differential genes and all the samples, and predict the unknown samples based on the 174 labeled samples. **Hint**: use library class and function *knn*.

**8.** Run **SVM** (try a linear kernel) on the differential genes and all the samples, and predict the unknown samples based on the 174 labeled samples. **Hint**: use library e1071 and function *svm*.

**9.** **FOR GRADUATES:** Implement a 3-fold cross validation on your **SVM** classifier, based on the 174 samples with known labels. What is your average (of 3) classification error rate on the training data?

**Rules for submitting the homework:**

Please submit your solution directly on the canvas website. Please provide your code, and a **pdf** file for your final write-up. Please pay attention to the clarity and cleanness of your homework. Page numbers and figure or table numbers are highly recommended for easier reference.

The teaching fellows will grade your homework and give the grades with feedback through canvas within one week after the due date. Some of the questions might not have a unique or optimal solution. TFs will grade those according to your creativity and effort on exploration, especially in the graduate-level questions.