

CSC236, A1

Youngsin Ryoo



Q1

$P(n)$: Starting from a single group of n coins, the person always wins a total of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ coins.

Base Case: Let $k = 1$.

As soon as the person starts the game, the game is over because there is exactly a group of one coin. Since one coin cannot be divided into smaller groups, the person wins 0 dollars. Also, $\frac{1(1-1)}{2} = 0$.

Therefore, $P(1)$ holds.

Inductive Case: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$.

Assume that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq k, P(j)$ holds. [IH]

Want to prove: $P(k+1)$ is true.

i.e. Starting from a group of $k+1$ coins, a person wins exactly $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ coins.

Suppose a group of $k+1$ coins are divided into $(k+1-x)$ coins and x coins where $x \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq x < k+1$.

Then, by IH, we get $\frac{x(x-1)}{2}$ dollars for the group of x coins and $\frac{(k-x+1)(k-x)}{2}$ dollars for the group of $(k+1-x)$ coins, since $1 \leq x, k+1-x \leq k$.

Note that the person gets $x(k+1-x)$ dollars as the person divides the group of $(k+1)$ coins at first.

Then, we have $\frac{x(x-1)}{2} + \frac{(k-x+1)(k-x)}{2} + x(k+1-x)$ dollars in total.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x(x-1)}{2} + \frac{(k-x+1)(k-x)}{2} + x(k+1-x) &= \frac{x(x-1)}{2} + \frac{(k-x+1)(k-x)}{2} + \frac{2x(k+1-x)}{2} \\ &= \frac{x^2 - x + k^2 - kx + k - xk + x^2 - x + 2xk + 2x - 2x^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{k^2 + k}{2} \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

■

Q2

Let $B_n = \{2^i : i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } 0 \leq i < n\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq s < 2^n$.

$P(n)$: B_n contains a subset A such that the sum of all elements in A is equal to S . i.e.

$$\sum_{x \in A} x = s$$

Want to prove: For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(n)$ holds.

Assume for a contradiction that there exists a natural number k such that $P(k)$ doesn't hold.

Let S be a set of all natural numbers j such that $j \in S$ iff $P(j)$ does not hold.

By definition of S , $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

By definition of S , S is not empty.

So, by PWO, S has a minimum element b .

Claim. $b \neq 0$

Proof. We know that $B_0 = \emptyset$. By the definition, we have: $0 \leq s < 2^0$. That is, $0 \leq s < 1$.

Since $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s = 0$. Also, $\sum_{x \in \emptyset} x = 0$. Then, $\sum_{x \in \emptyset} x = s$. So, $P(0)$ holds and by def. of S , $0 \notin S$. Since we assumed that $b \in S$, $b \neq 0$.

Since $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \neq 0$, $b > 0$ and $b - 1 \geq 0$ and so $b - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since b is the minimum element of S , $b - 1 \notin S$. So, by def. of S , $P(b - 1)$ holds.

Then, we have B_{b-1} that contains a subset A' such that the sum, s' , of all elements in A' is equal to S where $s' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq s' < 2^{b-1}$.

Consider B_b . The sum, s'' , of all elements of a subset A'' of B_b will be $s' + 2^{b-1}$.

We have:

$$0 \leq s' < 2^{b-1} \quad (\text{by the def. of } B_{b-1})$$

$$0 \leq s' + 2^{b-1} < 2^{b-1} + 2^{b-1}$$

$$0 \leq s'' < 2^b$$

Thus, B_b contains a subset A'' such that the sum of all elements in A'' is equal to s'' where $0 \leq s'' < 2^b$.

So $P(b)$ holds.

On the other hand, $b \in S$ and by def. of S , $P(b)$ does not hold.

This is a contradiction, so the original assumption must be false. ■

Q3

$Z(v)$: number of occurrences of 0 in the binary string v

$O(v)$: number of occurrences of 1 in the binary string v

$P(w)$: For every proper prefix u of the string w , $O(u) \leq Z(u)$

Want to prove: For all $w \in S$, $P(w)$ holds.

Base Case: Let $w = 1$.

There is only one character. There is no proper prefix for w , as $\epsilon \notin S$.

Therefore, $P(1)$ holds true vacuously.

Inductive Step: Let $w_1, w_2 \in S$.

Assume $P(w_1)$ and $P(w_2)$ hold. [IH]

i.e. w_1 has a proper prefix u_1 such that $O(u_1) \leq Z(u_1)$.

w_2 has a proper prefix u_2 such that $O(u_2) \leq Z(u_2)$

Want to prove: $P(0 \cdot w_1 \cdot w_2)$ holds.

Let $w_1 = u_1 v_1$ and $w_2 = u_2 v_2$. Here, u_1 and u_2 are the proper prefixes of w_1 and w_2 , respectively.

Let $w = 0 \cdot w_1 \cdot w_2$.

That is, $w = 0 \cdot u_1 \cdot v_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot v_2$.

Let $u' = \text{prefix of } w$.

Case 1. Let $u' = 0$.

Then, $O(u') = 0$ and $Z(u') = 1$.

Therefore, $O(u') \leq Z(u')$, so $P(w)$ holds true.

Case 2. Let $u' = 0 \cdot u_1$.

By IH, $O(u_1) \leq Z(u_1)$.

Since we have an additional 0 at the front, $O(u_1) < Z(u_1) + 1$.

So, $O(u') \leq Z(u')$.

Therefore, $P(w)$ holds true.

Case 3. Let $u' = 0 \cdot u_1 \cdot v_1$.

By the definition of the proper prefix, v_1 can have a minimum length of 1 character.

There are two subcases we can consider for v_1 .

Subcase 1. Let $v_1 = 0$.

Then, the number of occurrences of 1 for v_1 is 0 and the number of occurrences of 0 for v_1 is 1. Since there is also 0 at the front, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} O(u_1) &\leq Z(u_1) && \text{(By IH)} \\ 0 + O(u_1) + 0 &< 1 + Z(u_1) + 1 \\ O(u') &< Z(u') \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2. Let $v_1 = 1$.

Then, the number of occurrences of 1 for v_1 is 1 and the number of occurrences of 0 for v_1 is 0. Since there is also 0 at the front, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} O(u_1) &\leq Z(u_1) && \text{(By IH)} \\ 0 + O(u_1) + 1 &\leq 1 + Z(u_1) + 0 \\ O(u') &\leq Z(u') \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $O(u') \leq Z(u')$ for both subcases. So, $P(w)$ holds true.

Case 4. Let $u' = 0 \cdot u_1 \cdot v_1 \cdot u_2$.

By the definition of the proper prefix, v_1 can have a minimum length of 1 character.

There are two subcases we can consider for v_1 .

Subcase 1. Let $v_1 = 0$.

Then, the number of occurrences of 1 for v_1 is 0 and the number of occurrences of 0 for v_1 is 1. Since there is also 0 at the front, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} O(u_1) + O(u_2) &\leq Z(u_1) + Z(u_2) && (\text{By IH, } O(u_1) \leq Z(u_1) \text{ and } O(u_2) \leq Z(u_2)) \\ 0 + O(u_1) + 0 + O(u_2) &< 1 + Z(u_1) + 1 + Z(u_2) \\ O(u') &< Z(u') \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2. Let $v_1 = 1$.

Then, the number of occurrences of 1 for v_1 is 1 and the number of occurrences of 0 for v_1 is 0. Since there is also 0 at the front, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} O(u_1) + O(u_2) &\leq Z(u_1) + Z(u_2) && (\text{By IH, } O(u_1) \leq Z(u_1) \text{ and } O(u_2) \leq Z(u_2)) \\ 0 + O(u_1) + 1 + O(u_2) &\leq 1 + Z(u_1) + 0 + Z(u_2) \\ O(u') &\leq Z(u') \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $O(u') \leq Z(u')$ for both subcases. So, $P(w)$ holds true. ■