```
In [1]: import networkx as nx
        import itertools
        import random
        import numpy as np
        from collections import Counter
        from itertools import combinations
        file path = 'edgelist 1.txt'
        G = nx.read edgelist(file path, create using=nx.DiGraph, nodetype=int)
        # Generate all possible 3-node combinations
        nodes = list(G.nodes)
        subgraphs = set()
        for triplet in combinations(nodes, 3):
            subgraph = G.subgraph(triplet)
            if nx.is weakly connected(subgraph): # Check if the subgraph is conn
                subgraphs.add(tuple(sorted(subgraph.edges)))
        # Display unique subgraphs
        # for subgraph in subgraphs:
              print(subgraph)
        print(len(subgraphs))
```

24672

This Python implementation analyzes triad motifs within a directed graph using the concept of connected 3-node subgraphs (triads). The code begins by loading a graph from an edgelist file and then identifies all connected triads. It computes a canonical representation for each triad to efficiently track and classify them. The code generates random graphs with the same degree distribution as the original graph to serve as a baseline for motif significance. The Z-score is then calculated for each triad by comparing its frequency in the real graph against the random graphs. Triads are classified as motifs (significantly over-represented), anti-motifs (significantly under-represented), or neutral. The results are visualized and saved in a CSV file for further analysis. The program is designed to handle large graphs by processing nodes in batches, ensuring memory efficiency.

```
In [8]: import networkx as nx
import numpy as np
import random
from collections import Counter, defaultdict
from itertools import combinations
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import time
import pandas as pd

def load_graph(file_path):
    """Load the directed graph from edgelist file."""
    G = nx.read_edgelist(file_path, create_using=nx.DiGraph(), nodetype=i
    print(f"Loaded graph with {len(G.nodes())} nodes and {len(G.edges())}
    return G

def get_triad_id(G, nodes):
    """
```

```
Generate a canonical ID for a 3-node subgraph based on its adjacency
    This implementation is more efficient for large networks.
    # Create a 3x3 adjacency matrix
    adj = np.zeros((3, 3), dtype=int)
    # Map nodes to indices 0, 1, 2
    node to idx = {node: i for i, node in enumerate(nodes)}
    # Fill the adjacency matrix
    for u, v in G.subgraph(nodes).edges():
        adj[node to idx[u]][node to idx[v]] = 1
    # Return as a hashable tuple
    return tuple(map(tuple, adj))
def enumerate connected triads(G):
    Enumerate all connected 3-node subgraphs.
    This approach is more memory-efficient for large networks.
    triad counts = Counter()
    print("Enumerating connected triads...")
    # Process in batches of nodes to avoid memory issues
    nodes = list(G.nodes())
    nodes_count = len(nodes)
    batch size = min(50, nodes count) # Adjust batch size based on memor
    total processed = 0
    start time = time.time()
    for i in range(0, nodes count, batch size):
        batch nodes = nodes[i:min(i+batch size, nodes count)]
        # Process triplets involving at least one node from this batch
        for node1 in batch nodes:
            neighbors = set(G.successors(nodel)).union(set(G.predecessors
            neighbors = list(neighbors)
            # Consider triplets with nodel and two of its neighbors
            for j, node2 in enumerate(neighbors):
                for node3 in neighbors[j+1:]:
                    triplet = (node1, node2, node3)
                    subgraph = G.subgraph(triplet)
                    if nx.is weakly connected(subgraph):
                        # Get canonical ID and increment count
                        triad_id = get_triad_id(G, triplet)
                        triad_counts[triad_id] += 1
        total processed += len(batch nodes)
        elapsed = time.time() - start time
        print(f"Processed {total processed}/{nodes count} nodes in {elaps
    # Divide by 6 because each triad is counted multiple times
    # (once for each node in the triad)
    for triad_id in triad_counts:
        triad_counts[triad_id] = triad_counts[triad_id] // 6
```

```
print(f"Found {len(triad counts)} unique connected triad patterns")
    return triad counts
def generate random graph(G, preserving method='configuration'):
    Generate a random graph with the same degree sequence as G.
    if preserving method == 'configuration':
        # Configuration model preserves degree sequence
        in degrees = [d for n, d in G.in degree()]
        out degrees = [d for n, d in G.out degree()]
        try:
            R = nx.directed configuration model(in degrees, out degrees)
            R = nx.DiGraph(R) # Remove parallel edges
            R.remove edges from(nx.selfloop edges(R)) # Remove self-loop
        except Exception as e:
            print(f"Configuration model failed: {e}. Using edge swapping
            R = generate random graph(G, 'edge swap')
    else:
        # Edge swapping preserves exact degree sequence
        R = G.copy()
        try:
            n swaps = min(10 * len(G.edges()), 100000) # Cap the number
            nx.algorithms.swap.directed edge swap(R, nswaps=n swaps, max
        except Exception as e:
            print(f"Edge swapping warning: {e}")
    return R
def calculate motif significance(G, num random=10):
    Calculate the significance of each triad motif using Z-scores.
    # Count triads in the original network
    original counts = enumerate connected triads(G)
    # Initialize arrays for random networks
    random counts = defaultdict(list)
    # Generate random networks and count triads
    print(f"Generating {num random} random networks...")
    for i in range(num random):
        start time = time.time()
        R = generate random graph(G)
        print(f"Random network {i+1} generated in {time.time() - start_ti
        start time = time.time()
        r_counts = enumerate_connected_triads(R)
        print(f"Triad counting for random network {i+1} completed in {tim
        for triad id, count in original counts.items():
            random counts[triad id].append(r counts.get(triad id, 0))
    # Calculate z-scores
    results = []
    for triad_id, original_count in original_counts.items():
        random values = random counts[triad id]
        mean_random = np.mean(random_values)
        std random = np.std(random values)
```

```
# Calculate z-score with proper handling of zero std
        if std random > 0:
            z score = (original count - mean random) / std random
        else:
            if original count == mean random:
                z_score = 0
            else:
                z score = float('inf') if original count > mean random el
        results.append({
            'triad id': triad id,
            'original count': original_count,
            'mean_random': mean_random,
            'std random': std random,
            'z score': z score
        })
    # Sort by absolute z-score
    results.sort(key=lambda x: abs(x['z score']), reverse=True)
    return results
def visualize triad(triad id, index):
    Visualize a 3-node subgraph from its adjacency matrix.
    # Create a directed graph from the adjacency matrix
    G = nx.DiGraph()
    G.add nodes from([0, 1, 2])
    for i in range(3):
        for j in range(3):
            if triad id[i][j] == 1:
                G.add edge(i, j)
    # Position nodes in a triangle
    pos = \{0: (0, 0), 1: (1, 0), 2: (0.5, 0.866)\}
    plt.figure(figsize=(4, 4))
    nx.draw(G, pos, with_labels=True, node_color='lightblue', node_size=5
            arrowsize=20, font weight='bold', font size=16)
    plt.title(f"Triad {index+1}")
    plt.savefig(f"triad_{index+1}.png")
    plt.close()
def classify_triads(results, threshold=2.0):
    Classify triads as motifs or anti-motifs based on z-scores.
    motifs = [r for r in results if r['z score'] > threshold]
    anti_motifs = [r for r in results if r['z_score'] < -threshold]</pre>
    neutral = [r for r in results if abs(r['z_score']) <= threshold]</pre>
    return motifs, anti motifs, neutral
def print results(motifs, anti motifs, neutral):
    Print results and visualize top motifs and anti-motifs.
    print("\n===== NETWORK MOTIFS =====")
```

```
print(f"Found {len(motifs)} motifs with Z-score > 2.0")
          for i, r in enumerate(motifs[:10]): # Show top 10
                    print(f"Motif {i+1}: Z-score = {r['z_score']:.2f}, Count = {r['or
                    visualize triad(r['triad id'], i)
          print("\n===== NETWORK ANTI-MOTIFS =====")
          print(f"Found {len(anti motifs)} anti-motifs with Z-score < -2.0")</pre>
          for i, r in enumerate(anti motifs[:10]): # Show top 10
                    print(f"Anti-motif {i+1}: Z-score = {r['z score']:.2f}, Count = {right | continuous | continuo
                    visualize_triad(r['triad_id'], i+len(motifs))
          print(f"\nNeutral triads: {len(neutral)}")
          # Create a summary table
          data = []
          for i, r in enumerate(motifs + anti motifs):
                    data.append({
                               'Type': 'Motif' if i < len(motifs) else 'Anti-motif',
                               'Z-score': r['z score'],
                               'Original Count': r['original count'],
                                'Random Mean': r['mean random'],
                               'Standard Deviation': r['std_random']
                    })
          df = pd.DataFrame(data)
          print("\n===== SUMMARY TABLE =====")
          print(df)
          # Save to CSV
          df.to csv('motif analysis results.csv', index=False)
          print("Results saved to motif analysis results.csv")
start time = time.time()
# File path
file_path = 'edgelist_1.txt'
# Load graph
G = load_graph(file_path)
# Calculate motif significance
results = calculate motif significance(G, num random=5) # Reduced number
# Classify triads
motifs, anti_motifs, neutral = classify_triads(results)
```

Loaded graph with 300 nodes and 1600 edges Enumerating connected triads... Processed 50/300 nodes in 1.34 seconds Processed 100/300 nodes in 1.69 seconds Processed 150/300 nodes in 1.83 seconds Processed 200/300 nodes in 1.92 seconds Processed 250/300 nodes in 1.96 seconds Processed 300/300 nodes in 1.98 seconds Found 10 unique connected triad patterns Generating 5 random networks... Random network 1 generated in 0.01 seconds Enumerating connected triads... Processed 50/300 nodes in 1.40 seconds Processed 100/300 nodes in 1.85 seconds Processed 150/300 nodes in 2.06 seconds Processed 200/300 nodes in 2.17 seconds Processed 250/300 nodes in 2.20 seconds Processed 300/300 nodes in 2.24 seconds Found 32 unique connected triad patterns Triad counting for random network 1 completed in 2.24 seconds Random network 2 generated in 0.01 seconds Enumerating connected triads... Processed 50/300 nodes in 1.10 seconds Processed 100/300 nodes in 1.47 seconds Processed 150/300 nodes in 1.63 seconds Processed 200/300 nodes in 1.71 seconds Processed 250/300 nodes in 1.75 seconds Processed 300/300 nodes in 1.78 seconds Found 32 unique connected triad patterns Triad counting for random network 2 completed in 1.78 seconds Random network 3 generated in 0.01 seconds Enumerating connected triads... Processed 50/300 nodes in 1.24 seconds Processed 100/300 nodes in 1.61 seconds Processed 150/300 nodes in 1.79 seconds Processed 200/300 nodes in 1.87 seconds Processed 250/300 nodes in 1.91 seconds Processed 300/300 nodes in 1.93 seconds Found 31 unique connected triad patterns Triad counting for random network 3 completed in 1.93 seconds Random network 4 generated in 0.01 seconds Enumerating connected triads... Processed 50/300 nodes in 1.06 seconds Processed 100/300 nodes in 1.34 seconds Processed 150/300 nodes in 1.48 seconds Processed 200/300 nodes in 1.54 seconds Processed 250/300 nodes in 1.57 seconds Processed 300/300 nodes in 1.59 seconds Found 29 unique connected triad patterns Triad counting for random network 4 completed in 1.59 seconds Random network 5 generated in 0.01 seconds Enumerating connected triads... Processed 50/300 nodes in 0.95 seconds Processed 100/300 nodes in 1.20 seconds Processed 150/300 nodes in 1.32 seconds Processed 200/300 nodes in 1.39 seconds Processed 250/300 nodes in 1.41 seconds Processed 300/300 nodes in 1.43 seconds Found 29 unique connected triad patterns Triad counting for random network 5 completed in 1.43 seconds

In [9]: print results(motifs, anti motifs, neutral)

```
print(f"\nTotal execution time: {time.time() - start time:.2f} seconds")
==== NETWORK MOTIFS =====
Found 8 motifs with Z-score > 2.0
Motif 1: Z-score = 63.88, Count = 135, Random Mean = 39.40
Motif 2: Z-score = 30.71, Count = 138, Random Mean = 49.00
Motif 3: Z-score = 29.61, Count = 142, Random Mean = 45.40
Motif 4: Z-score = 17.17, Count = 1000, Random Mean = 745.40
Motif 5: Z-score = 9.19, Count = 35, Random Mean = 22.00
Motif 6: Z-score = 5.11, Count = 1896, Random Mean = 1744.00
Motif 7: Z-score = 3.92, Count = 31, Random Mean = 25.80
Motif 8: Z-score = 3.04, Count = 38, Random Mean = 31.80
==== NETWORK ANTI-MOTIFS =====
Found 1 anti-motifs with Z-score < -2.0
Anti-motif 1: Z-score = -4.66, Count = 462, Random Mean = 558.00
Neutral triads: 1
==== SUMMARY TABLE =====
                 Z-score Original Count Random Mean Standard Deviatio
         Type
n
                                                 39.4
0
        Motif 63.875437
                                     135
                                                                 1.49666
3
1
       Motif 30.707917
                                     138
                                                 49.0
                                                                 2.89827
5
2
       Motif 29.614630
                                                 45.4
                                                                 3.26190
                                     142
1
3
       Motif 17.171374
                                    1000
                                                745.4
                                                                14.82700
2
4
       Motif
               9.192388
                                      35
                                                 22.0
                                                                 1.41421
4
5
       Motif
               5.112313
                                    1896
                                               1744.0
                                                                29.73213
7
6
       Motif
               3.919647
                                      31
                                                 25.8
                                                                 1.32665
0
7
        Motif
               3.039800
                                      38
                                                 31.8
                                                                 2.03960
  Anti-motif -4.655589
                                     462
                                                558.0
                                                                20.62037
Results saved to motif_analysis_results.csv
```

Total execution time: 15.08 seconds

BIOLOGICAL/STRUCTURAL INTERPRETATION and INSIGHTS:

The motif analysis reveals key structural patterns in the network, with highly represented motifs (Z-scores > 2.0) indicating crucial, stable interactions, like protein complexes or regulatory pathways in biological systems. Moderately represented motifs suggest important but less dominant structures, possibly reflecting intermediate roles in larger complexes. The anti-motif (Z-score = -4.66) highlights an under-represented configuration, potentially signaling unstable or unfavorable interactions, such as incompatible protein interactions. Neutral triads are neither over- nor under-represented, indicating redundant or non-essential connections. Overall, the analysis helps identify

key functional modules, avoidable structures, and stable configurations critical for biological network functionality and stability.

The analysis identified 8 motifs and 1 anti-motif based on Z-scores derived from comparing the frequency of 3-node subgraphs (triads) in the original graph against random graphs with the same degree distribution.

Motifs: The motifs show significantly higher counts than expected in random graphs, with the most prominent motif having a Z-score of 63.88 (Motif 1), indicating a highly over-represented triad in the original graph. Other motifs also show strong significance, with Z-scores ranging from 3.04 to 63.88. These motifs might represent important structures or patterns in the graph that are significantly more common than in randomized networks.

Anti-motif: There is 1 anti-motif (Z-score of -4.66), where the triad is significantly under-represented compared to random graphs, suggesting that this particular structure is rare in the original graph.

Neutral Triads: Only 1 triad was found to be neutral, meaning its frequency in the original graph was similar to the random networks.

The results indicate that the original graph contains certain structural patterns (motifs) that are much more frequent than in random networks, and one pattern (anti-motif) that is significantly under-represented. These findings can provide insights into the underlying structure or key relationships within the network.

The results were saved to a CSV file for further review and potential visualization.