

1 PID Controller Lambda Binding Design Pattern

1.1 Functional Programming Design Pattern

The PID controller implementation employs functional programming design patterns through lambda expressions for sensor reading and output conversion functions, achieving complete decoupling between control algorithms and hardware interfaces.

Algorithm 1 Hardware Interface Binding through Function Pointers

Require: PID controller instance, sensor interface, actuator interface

Ensure: Configured PID controller with bound I/O functions

```
1: Define sensor reading function:  
2: read_sensor  $\leftarrow \lambda() \rightarrow \text{double}$   
3:   return INA226_getCurrent_mA()  
4:  
5: Define output conversion function:  
6: convert_output  $\leftarrow \lambda(\text{output: double}) \rightarrow \text{double}$   
7:   MCP4725_setVoltage(output)  
8:   return output  
9:  
10: Bind functions to PID controller:  
11: controller.read_sensor  $\leftarrow$  read_sensor  
12: controller.convert_output  $\leftarrow$  convert_output
```

1.2 Architecture Advantages

This functional programming approach provides several advantages:

- **Hardware abstraction:** Control logic is independent of specific sensor/actuator implementations
- **Enhanced testability:** Mock functions can be easily substituted for unit testing
- **Improved maintainability:** Hardware changes require only function binding modifications
- **Runtime flexibility:** I/O functions can be dynamically reconfigured during operation

1.3 Implementation Example

Algorithm 2 Lambda Function Implementation for Sensor Interface

Require: Sensor hardware interface, data processing requirements

Ensure: Bound lambda functions for PID controller

```
1: Current sensor reading lambda:
2:  $\text{current\_reader} \leftarrow \lambda() \{$ 
3:    $\text{raw\_value} \leftarrow \text{INA226.getCurrent\_raw}()$ 
4:    $\text{calibrated\_value} \leftarrow \text{raw\_value} \times \text{cal\_factor} + \text{offset}$ 
5:   return  $\text{calibrated\_value}$ 
6:  $\}$ 
7:
8: Voltage output lambda:
9:  $\text{voltage\_writer} \leftarrow \lambda(\text{output\_voltage}) \{$ 
10:    $\text{dac\_value} \leftarrow (\text{output\_voltage} / V_{ref}) \times 4095$ 
11:    $\text{MCP4725.setVoltage}(\text{dac\_value})$ 
12:   return  $\text{output\_voltage}$ 
13:  $\}$ 
14:
15: Controller binding:
16:  $\text{pid\_controller.bind\_input}(\text{current\_reader})$ 
17:  $\text{pid\_controller.bind\_output}(\text{voltage\_writer})$ 
```

1.4 Design Pattern Benefits

1.4.1 Decoupling and Modularity

The lambda binding pattern creates a clear separation between:

- Control algorithm logic (PID computation)
- Hardware interface details (sensor/actuator communication)
- Data processing operations (calibration, scaling)

1.4.2 Testing and Validation

Mock functions can be easily substituted for hardware interfaces:

Algorithm 3 Mock Function Binding for Testing

Require: Test scenarios, simulation parameters

Ensure: Testable PID controller configuration

```
1: Mock sensor function:  
2: mock_sensor  $\leftarrow \lambda() \{$   
3:   return test_value + noise_generator()  
4: }  
5:  
6: Mock actuator function:  
7: mock_output  $\leftarrow \lambda(value) \{$   
8:   test_log.append(value)  
9:   return value  
10: }  
11:  
12: Test configuration:  
13: pid_controller.bind_input(mock_sensor)  
14: pid_controller.bind_output(mock_output)
```
