BUNF & 3NF Sale ((ustomer, store, product, price). Constraint: OA customer buys from 1 store. ② a unique price for each product in a store. Q1: FDS implied by above? (ustomer → store), { Store, product → price)

B.C. D CK: (Customer, product) BZ: Is Sale in 3NF? No. judge 3NF: for every X-> y in FD O Y is part of key in R. @ X is super key of R 2 7 C X :- Sale Not in 3NF 03. perompose sale into 3NF. RI(AB) RZ(BCD) dependency preserving. put 10554! "Bis not a super key of any table. F= (AB > CD, C > EF, G -> A, G -> F, CE-> F) Egz R= (A,B,C,D,E,F,G) Q1: Candidate key? A1: G.B. Oz: Canonical cover? for GAF, C-> EF. AB-> CD/ 183: 3NF de composition $R_3 = (ABCD)$ $R_4 = (GB)$ RI= (GAF) RZ= (CEF)

Eg3 R(ABCD). FD(BC, DA) 3NF: RILBC) RE(DA). RE(BD) CK: BD. EST R (ABLD) FO = [ABL > D, P->A] CK: ABC, AUD. 3NF: ABCD already in 3NF Q: Does ABCD Contain redundancy? Yes. "D>A is not a candidate key. br: can u decompose R in a way that preserves FDS and Contains no redundancy? No. : ABC>D involves all attr, decompose R will 1050 the dependency FO (A+BC, C+D) ESS RIABLAE). RILABL) + PZ(ADE) Q1: 1055/ess join? Yes.: common attr A is key for R. &z, IS dep preserving? 10. No. CAD is 10st. 03: IS BCNF? PIX: A is super key for ABC .: C Not. Super key of ADE. CK: (A,E)

D4: give a BUNF. dependency preserving decomposition,

RICABE PECATE

PECATE

RICAE)

RICAE

E96 RIABODEFGH) D=(AB>E, C>D, D->E, FG->A)
Q1: Ckey?
AI: BCFGH
Dz: Decompose înto Bent:
DI: Decompose into Bent: ABE ABCD FGH.
ABLECD. FGA BCFGH
FGA BCFGHI
D3: It dep preserving? No. 105e D->E.
EgT RIABODE) FDO= (ABC>D, AB>D, A >D)
Q1: TO 3NF; TO BCNF;
Ck: ABCE.
HI-3NF: (AD), (ABCE)
BLNF: AD. ABLÈ
Rz: Is Bent decomposition dep preserving? Tes: : Bent=3 nt : dep preserving

E98 R= (ABCDE), FD= (A->B, B->E, E->A)

A: TO 3 NF. & BONF

C-key: ACD. BCD. EUD.

3NF: RLABLDE)

BUNT: AB ALDE AE CDE.

Dr: Is dep preserving?

Yes @