




PropPy – Correlated random walk propagation of cosmic rays in magnetic turbulence

P. Reichherzer^{*123} and J. Becker Tjus¹²

¹ Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44801 Bochum, Germany ² Ruhr Astroparticle and Plasma Physics Center, D-44780 Bochum, Germany ³ Université Paris-Saclay, F-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Christina Hedges](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@carmeloevoli](#)
- [@amitseta90](#)

Submitted: 09 February 2022

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

PropPy is an open-source python software for propagating charged high-energy particles (cosmic rays, CRs) in a turbulent magnetic field. Its modular architecture comprises various modules for sources, magnetic fields, propagators, and observers covering a wide range of applications.

When compared to codes that solve the equation of motion (EOM) in each propagation step, our propagation is based on a correlated random walk (CRW) in Cartesian (for isotropic diffusion) or cylindrical (for anisotropic diffusion) coordinates, which makes each simulation step significantly faster. This novel approach is justified by the fact that a transport equation can be derived via the formulation of the CRW (see theory section below), which is used in analytical descriptions of particle transport ([Litvinenko et al., 2015](#); [Tautz & Lerche, 2016](#)):

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_i \tau_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = \sum_i \kappa_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}, \quad (1)$$

where i indicates the three spatial directions, τ_i denotes the time scale for particles to become diffusive, and κ_i is the diffusion coefficient, from which the relevant parameters of the CRW can be determined.

Besides the analytical verification of the CRW ansatz, comparison simulations between PropPy and an established cosmic-ray propagation software, CRPropa, are presented. These tests show that both approaches are comparable in terms of the statistical properties such as the running diffusion coefficient and the escape times from regions such as are relevant and present in many astrophysical environments.

This makes PropPy a high-performance software for the simulation of charged particles in turbulent magnetic fields. This is especially true for compact objects and transient events with short time scales, such as gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), active galactic nuclei (AGN) flares, where the accurate description of the initial particle propagation is crucial. Fast simulations of transient events can help analyze observations and provide information to evaluate the need for follow-up observations in the context of real-time multimessenger astrophysics ([Reichherzer, Schüssler, et al., 2021](#)).

Statement of need

Understanding the transport of charged high-energy particles in turbulent magnetic fields is essential for resolving the long-standing question of their extragalactic origin. The transport properties of cosmic rays are relevant in many ways:

^{*}first author

- 35 ▪ In cosmic-ray sources, the transport properties determine their residence time in the
- 36 sources and thus the interaction processes leading to the production of secondary particles
- 37 (Becker Tjus & Merten, 2020).
- 38 ▪ Due to the enormous distance from sources to our Galaxy, cosmic rays have to travel
- 39 through the turbulent intergalactic medium (Alves Batista et al., 2018).
- 40 ▪ In our Galaxy, the Galactic magnetic field influences their trajectory and, finally, their
- 41 arrival in the Earth's atmosphere (Reichherzer et al., 2022).

42 Analytical theories have been developed over the last century (Jokipii, 1966; Schlickeiser,

43 2015; Zweibel, 2013) to describe the transport of cosmic rays. However, these theories are

44 often limited by strongly simplifying assumptions concerning the transport of charged particles

45 in turbulent magnetic fields. To overcome these limitations, propagation codes have been

46 developed over the last decades to overcome these limitations with dedicated cosmic-ray-

47 transport simulations (e.g., Giacalone & Jokipii (1999); Casse et al. (2001); Shukurov et

48 al. (2017); Reichherzer et al. (2020); Reichherzer, Becker Tjus, et al. (2021)). In EOM

49 propagation methods, particles are moved stepwise, with the next step always determined

50 based on the solution of the EOM with the external force as the Lorentz force only taking into

51 account magnetic fields. Note the magnetic field must be computed for each propagation step

52 for all particle positions, a process that is typically time-consuming in numerical simulations.

53 This is especially relevant when the particles are highly diffusive, i.e., when the size of the

54 propagation environment L exceeds the gyro radius of the particle $r_g \ll L$. A much more

55 efficient method, the diffusive approach, is based on the statistical properties of the particles

56 and exploits their theoretical description via a transport equation (Merten et al., 2017). In the

57 limit of infinitely large times, diffusive transport occurs for all charged particles in isotropic

58 turbulence. In the transport equation, the diffusion tensor implicitly contains all statistic

59 properties. A major drawback of this approach is that can only model the transport of charged

60 particles over large time scales so that the particles have enough time to become diffusive

61 (Becker Tjus et al., 2022).

62 To tackle this issue and meet the need for realistic and fast simulations of the sources of

63 cosmic rays, we present the software PropPy. Our software applies the approach of the CRW,

64 where statistical aspects are used for speed-up while also providing a good description of the

65 initial phase. Additionally, the properties of the CRW can be determined directly from the

66 diffusion tensor and the gyration radius of the particle.

67 In principle, the CRW propagation method implemented in PropPy can be applied wherever

68 other propagation codes for charged particles such as CRPropa (Alves Batista et al., 2016,

69 2021), DRAGON (Evoli et al., 2017), GALPROP (Strong & Moskalenko, 1998) are already

70 in use. However, the advantages of PropPy are especially in the high performance and the

71 accurate description of statistical transport properties also for the initial transport regime,

72 which is not possible for pure diffusive propagation approaches.

73 Comparison

74 Simulations are used for describing as accurately as possible the particle transport that has

75 an impact on numerous observable multimessenger signatures. In the following comparison,

76 we focus on the transport properties in these sources, which are described by the diffusion

77 coefficient.

78 In principle, the CRW propagation method implemented in PropPy can be applied wherever

79 other propagation codes for charged particles such as CRPropa (Alves Batista et al., 2016,

80 2021), DRAGON (Evoli et al., 2017), GALPROP (Strong & Moskalenko, 1998) are already

81 in use. However, the advantages of PropPy are especially in the high performance and the

82 accurate description of statistical transport properties also for the initial transport regime,

83 which is not possible for pure diffusive propagation approaches.

84 Since CRPropa is the only code that supports both EOM and diffusive propagation methods

85 with anisotropic diffusion coefficients, this software (version: CRPropa 3.1.7) is used for
86 comparison simulations with PropPy.

87 We compare the performance of PropPy with the two different propagation methods imple-
88 mented in CRPropa, which are:

- 89 1. Solving the EOM, using either the Boris-Push (BP) or the Cash-Karp (CK) algorithm.
- 90 2. Solving Stochastic Differential Equations (SDE). For this method, no turbulence has
91 to be generated, but only the diffusion coefficient has to be inputted, which already
92 contains the information on how the particles move statistically in the turbulence.

93 The comparison of the three different approaches diffusive, EOM, and CRW shows that CRW
94 simulation results are in good agreement with EOM simulations, while being considerably
95 faster.

96 Acknowledgements

97 We acknowledge support from funding from the German Science Foundation DFG, within the
98 Collaborative Research Center SFB1491 “Cosmic Interacting Matters - From Source to Signal”.
99 Special thanks to L. Schlegel, F. Schüssler, J. Suc, and E.G. Zweibel for valuable discussions.

100 References

- 101 Alves Batista, R., Becker Tjus, J., Dörner, J., Dundovic, A., Eichmann, B., Frie, A., Heiter, C.,
102 Hoerbe, M., Kampert, K., Merten, L., Müller, G., Reichherzer, P., Saveliev, A., Schlegel, L.,
103 Sigl, G., Vliet, A. van, & Winchen, T. (2021). CRPropa 3.2: a framework for high-energy
104 astroparticle propagation. *arXiv e-Prints*, arXiv:2107.01631. [https://doi.org/10.22323/1.](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.395.0978)
105 [395.0978](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.395.0978)
- 106 Alves Batista, R., de Gouveia Dal Pino, E. M., Dolag, K., & Hussain, S. (2018). Cosmic-
107 ray propagation in the turbulent intergalactic medium. *arXiv e-Prints*, arXiv:1811.03062.
108 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.03062>
- 109 Alves Batista, R., Dundovic, A., Erdmann, M., Kampert, K.-H., Kuempel, D., Müller, G., Sigl,
110 G., Vliet, A. van, Walz, D., & Winchen, T. (2016). CRPropa 3—a public astrophysical
111 simulation framework for propagating extraterrestrial ultra-high energy particles. *JCAP*,
112 2016(5), 038. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/05/038>
- 113 Becker Tjus, J., Hörbe, M., Jaroschewski, I., Reichherzer, P., Rhode, W., Schroller, M., &
114 Schüssler, F. (2022). Propagation of cosmic rays in plasmoids of AGN jets – implications
115 for multimessenger predictions. *arXiv e-Prints*, arXiv:2202.01818. [https://arxiv.org/abs/](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.01818)
116 [2202.01818](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.01818)
- 117 Becker Tjus, J., & Merten, L. (2020). Closing in on the origin of Galactic cosmic rays using
118 multimessenger information. *Physrep*, 872, 1–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.05.002)
119 [05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.05.002)
- 120 Casse, F., Lemoine, M., & Pelletier, G. (2001). Transport of cosmic rays in chaotic magnetic
121 fields. *PRD*, 65(2), 023002. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.023002>
- 122 Evoli, C., Gaggero, D., Vittino, A., Di Bernardo, G., Di Mauro, M., Ligorini, A., Ullio, P.,
123 & Grasso, D. (2017). Cosmic-ray propagation with DRAGON2: I. numerical solver and
124 astrophysical ingredients. *JCAP*, 2017(2), 015. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/02/015)
125 [02/015](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/02/015)
- 126 Giacalone, J., & Jokipii, J. R. (1999). The Transport of Cosmic Rays across a Turbulent
127 Magnetic Field. *AjJ*, 520(1), 204–214. <https://doi.org/10.1086/307452>

- 128 Jokipii, J. R. (1966). Cosmic-Ray Propagation. I. Charged Particles in a Random Magnetic
129 Field. *ApJ*, 146, 480. <https://doi.org/10.1086/148912>
- 130 Litvinenko, Y. E., Effenberger, F., & Schlickeiser, R. (2015). The Telegraph Approximation
131 for Focused Cosmic-Ray Transport in the Presence of Boundaries. *ApJ*, 806(2), 217.
132 <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/806/2/217>
- 133 Merten, L., Becker Tjus, J., Fichtner, H., Eichmann, B., & Sigl, G. (2017). CRPropa 3.1—a
134 low energy extension based on stochastic differential equations. *JCAP*, 2017(6), 046.
135 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/06/046>
- 136 Reichherzer, P., Becker Tjus, J., Zweibel, E. G., Merten, L., & Pueschel, M. J. (2020).
137 Turbulence-level dependence of cosmic ray parallel diffusion. *MNRAS*, 498(4), 5051–5064.
138 <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2533>
- 139 Reichherzer, P., Becker Tjus, J., Zweibel, E. G., Merten, L., & Pueschel, M. J. (2021).
140 Anisotropic cosmic-ray diffusion in isotropic Kolmogorov turbulence. *arXiv e-Prints*,
141 arXiv:2112.11827. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.11827>
- 142 Reichherzer, P., Merten, L., & Dörner et al., J. (2022). Regimes of cosmic-ray diffusion in
143 Galactic turbulence. *arXiv e-Prints*, 4(15). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-021-04891-z>
- 144 Reichherzer, P., Schüssler, F., Lefranc, V., Yusafzai, A., Alkan, A. K., Ashkar, H., & Becker
145 Tjus, J. (2021). Astro-COLIBRI-The COincidence LIBrary for Real-time Inquiry for Multi-
146 messenger Astrophysics. *ApJS*, 256(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ac1517>
- 147 Schlickeiser, R. (2015). Cosmic ray transport in astrophysical plasmas. *Physics of Plasmas*,
148 22(9), 091502. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928940>
- 149 Shukurov, A., Snodin, A. P., Seta, A., Bushby, P. J., & Wood, T. S. (2017). Cosmic Rays in
150 Intermittent Magnetic Fields. *ApJL*, 839(1), L16. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa6aa6>
- 151
- 152 Strong, A. W., & Moskalenko, I. V. (1998). Propagation of Cosmic-Ray Nucleons in the
153 Galaxy. *ApJ*, 509(1), 212–228. <https://doi.org/10.1086/306470>
- 154 Tautz, R. C., & Lerche, I. (2016). Application of the three-dimensional telegraph equation
155 to cosmic-ray transport. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 16(10), 162. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/16/10/162>
- 156
- 157 Zweibel, E. G. (2013). The microphysics and macrophysics of cosmic rays. *Physics of Plasmas*,
158 20(5), 055501. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4807033>