




Asimov: A framework for coordinating parameter estimation workflows

Daniel Williams¹, John Veitch¹, Maria Luisa Chiofalo⁴, Patricia Schmidt⁶, Richard P. Udall⁵, Avi Vajpeji^{2, 3}, and Charlie Hoy⁷

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, United Kingdom ² School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia ³ OzGrav: The ARC Centre of Excellence for Gravitational Wave Discovery, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia ⁴ Department of Physics “Enrico Fermi,” University of Pisa, and INFN, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 3 I-56126 Pisa, Italy ⁵ LIGO Laboratory, California Institute of Technology ⁶ School of Physics and Astronomy and Institute for Gravitational Wave Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 9TT, United Kingdom ⁷ Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK

DOI: [10.21105/joss.04170](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.04170)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Monica Bobra](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@danehkar](#)
- [@matthewfeickert](#)

Submitted: 01 February 2022

Published: 16 February 2022

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Summary

Since the first detection in 2015 of gravitational waves from compact binary coalescence (B. P. Abbott & others, 2016a), improvements to the Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo detectors have expanded our view into the universe for these signals. Searches of the of the latest observing run (O3) have increased the number of detected signals to 90, at a rate of approximately 1 per week (The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, Abbott, et al., 2021; The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, the KAGRA Collaboration, et al., 2021). Future observing runs are expected to increase this even further (B. P. Abbott & others, 2020). Bayesian analysis of the signals can reveal the properties of the coalescing black holes and neutron stars by comparing predicted waveforms to the observed data (B. P. Abbott & others, 2016b). The proliferating number of detected signals, the increasing number of methods that have been deployed (Ashton & others, 2019; Lange et al., 2018; Veitch & others, 2015), and the variety of waveform models (Khan et al., 2020; Ossokine & others, 2020; Pratten & others, 2021) create an ever-expanding number of analyses that can be considered.

Asimov is a python package which is designed to simplify and standardise the process of configuring these analyses for a large number of events. It has already been used in developing analyses in three major gravitational wave catalog publications (R. Abbott & others, 2021; The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, Abbott, et al., 2021; The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, the KAGRA Collaboration, et al., 2021). The source code of Asimov is archived to Zenodo (Williams et al., 2021).

Statement of Need

While these developments are positive, they also bring considerable challenges. The first of these lies with the high rate at which gravitational waves can now be detected; thanks to the improved sensitivity of the detectors they observe a much larger volume of space, and the increasing size of the detector network has also increased the total time during which observations occur. The second comes from developments in the analysis techniques and related software. Development of these techniques has accelerated in a short period of time, and the landscape of analysis software has become diverse. It is desirable to be able to use

41 these techniques with ease, but thanks to the highly distributed development process which
42 has produced them, they often have highly heterogeneous interfaces.

43 We developed `asimov` as a solution to both of these problems, as it is capable both of organising
44 and tracking a large number of on-going analyses, but also of performing setup and post-
45 processing of several different analysis pipelines, providing a single uniform interface. The
46 software has been designed to be easily extensible, making integration with new pipelines
47 straight-forward.

48 In addition, ensuring that the large number of analyses are completed successfully, and their re-
49 sults collated efficiently proved a formidable challenge when relying on “by-hand” approaches.
50 The LIGO Scientific Collaboration operate a number of high-throughput computing facilities
51 (the LIGO Data Grid [LDG]) which are themselves controlled by the `htcondor` scheduling
52 system. `asimov` monitors the progress of jobs within the `htcondor` ecosystem, resubmits
53 jobs to the cluster which fail due to transient problems, such as file I/O errors in computing
54 nodes, and detects the completion of analysis jobs. Upon completion of a job the results are
55 post-processed using the `PESummary` python package (Hoy & Raymond, 2021), and humans
56 can be alerted by a message posted by `asimov` to a Mattermost or Slack channel. Interaction
57 with `htcondor` will also allow jobs to be submitted to the Open Science Grid in the future.

58 Prior to the development of `asimov` analyses of gravitational wave data had been configured
59 and run manually, or had relied on collections of shell scripts. `Asimov` therefore constitutes
60 an new approach, designed to be both more maintainable, and to improve the reproducibility
61 of results generated by analysis pipelines.

62 Implementation

63 In order to produce a uniform interface to all of its supported pipelines, `asimov` implements a
64 YAML-formatted configuration file, which is referred to as its “production ledger.” This file is
65 used to specify the details of each event to be analysed, details about the data sources, and
66 details of each pipeline which should be applied to the specified data. This allows identical
67 settings to be used with multiple different pipelines, with a minimum of configuration, reducing
68 the possibility of transcription errors between setups. In the current implementation of `Asimov`
69 the production ledger is stored using an issue tracker on a custom Gitlab instance, with each
70 issue representing a different event. This approach is, however, neither flexible nor scalable,
71 and future development will use an alternative means of storing the ledger.

72 `Asimov` simplifies the process of gathering and collating the various settings and data-products
73 required to configure an analysis. These include data quality information: data from gravi-
74 tational wave detectors can be affected by non-stationary noise or “glitches” which must be
75 either be removed before analysis, or the analysis must be configured to mitigate their effect
76 on final results. These data are provided to `Asimov` in YAML format from the appropriate
77 team, and used to make appropriate selections in the analysis.

78 The analysis of gravitational wave data is generally performed within a Bayesian framework,
79 which requires prior probability distributions being chosen before the analysis. Ideally these
80 distributions would be chosen such that a very broad range of parameter values is explored and
81 sampled, however this is computationally impractical, and to improve the speed and efficiency
82 of the analysis a rough guess of the parameters is required. This is normally determined by
83 “preliminary” analyses, rougher, rapid analyses performed, which are themselves informed by
84 the detection process which identified the event in the raw detector data. These prior data
85 are analysed by the `PEConfigurator` tool to determine appropriate prior ranges, and settings
86 for the waveform approximant to be used in the analysis.

87 The calibration of the detectors; the correspondance between the strain on the detector and the
88 intensity of light at the interferometer’s exit port, can change over the course of an observing

89 run. The uncertainty in this quantity is marginalised by many of the analyses, which requires
90 data files to be collected and provided to the analyses.

91 Once the correct data, settings, and calibration information has been identified and collected
92 it is possible to configure analyses. `Asimov` allows analyses to be described as a dependency
93 tree, allowing the output data products from one analysis to be used as an input for another.
94 This is often useful for coordinating the determination of the PSD of the analysed data.

95 Each pipeline is configured with a mixture of configuration files and command-line arguments.
96 `Asimov` produces the appropriately-formatted configuration file for each pipeline using a tem-
97 plate and substitutions from the production ledger. The appropriate command line program is
98 then run for the given pipeline, in order to produce an execution environment and submission
99 data for the `htcondor` scheduling system. This is then submitted to the LDG, and the job id
100 is collected and stored by `asimov`.

101 It is then possible to automatically monitor the progress of jobs on the LDG, produce a
102 webpage summarising the status of all on-going analyses, and detect the completion of jobs
103 and initialise post-processing.

104 Acknowledgements

105 DW and JV acknowledge support from Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC)
106 grants ST/V001736/1 and ST/V005634/1. PS acknowledges support from STFC grant
107 ST/V005677/1. CH acknowledges support from STFC grant ST/N005430/1 and European
108 Research Council (ERC) Consolidator Grant 647839. We are grateful for the support of our
109 colleagues in the LIGO-Virgo Compact Binary Coalescence Parameter Estimation working
110 group, including, but not limited to Christopher Berry for his suggestions and insights. The
111 authors are grateful for computational resources provided by the Leonard E Parker Center
112 for Gravitation, Cosmology and Astrophysics at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee and
113 supported by National Science Foundation Grants PHY-1626190 and PHY-1700765.

114 References

- 115 Abbott, B. P., & others. (2016a). Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black
116 Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(6), 061102. [https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.
117 116.061102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102)
- 118 Abbott, B. P., & others. (2016b). Properties of the Binary Black Hole Merger GW150914.
119 *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(24), 241102. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.241102>
- 120 Abbott, B. P., & others. (2020). Prospects for observing and localizing gravitational-wave
121 transients with Advanced LIGO, Advanced Virgo and KAGRA. *Living Rev. Rel.*, 23(1), 3.
122 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41114-020-00026-9>
- 123 Abbott, R., & others. (2021). GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO
124 and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run. *Phys. Rev. X*, 11, 021053.
125 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021053>
- 126 Ashton, G., & others. (2019). BILBY: A user-friendly Bayesian inference library
127 for gravitational-wave astronomy. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, 241(2), 27. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab06fc>
- 128
- 129 Hoy, C., & Raymond, V. (2021). PESUMMARY: The code agnostic Parameter Estimation
130 Summary page builder. *SoftwareX*, 15, 100765. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2021.
131 100765](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2021.100765)

- 132 Khan, S., Ohme, F., Chatziioannou, K., & Hannam, M. (2020). Including higher order
133 multipoles in gravitational-wave models for precessing binary black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*,
134 *101*(2), 024056. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.024056>
- 135 Lange, J., O'Shaughnessy, R., & Rizzo, M. (2018). Rapid and accurate parameter inference for
136 coalescing, precessing compact binaries. *arXiv e-Prints*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1805.10457>
- 137 Ossokine, S., & others. (2020). Multipolar Effective-One-Body Waveforms for Precessing
138 Binary Black Holes: Construction and Validation. *Phys. Rev. D*, *102*(4), 044055. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.044055>
- 140 Pratten, G., & others. (2021). Computationally efficient models for the dominant and sub-
141 dominant harmonic modes of precessing binary black holes. *Phys. Rev. D*, *103*(10),
142 104056. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.104056>
- 143 The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., Ac-
144 ernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adhikari, N., Adhikari, R. X., Adya, V. B., & al., et.
145 (2021). GWTC-2.1: Deep Extended Catalog of Compact Binary Coalescences Observed
146 by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run. *arXiv e-Prints*,
147 arXiv:2108.01045. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.01045>
- 148 The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, the KAGRA Collaboration, Ab-
149 bott, R., Abbott, T. D., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adhikari, N., Adhikari, R. X.,
150 & al., et. (2021). GWTC-3: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo
151 During the Second Part of the Third Observing Run. *arXiv e-Prints*, arXiv:2111.03606.
152 <http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03606>
- 153 Veitch, J., & others. (2015). Parameter estimation for compact binaries with ground-based
154 gravitational-wave observations using the LALInference software library. *Phys. Rev. D*,
155 *91*(4), 042003. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.042003>
- 156 Williams, D., Macleod, D., Vajpeyi, A., & Clark, J. (2021). *Transientlunatic/asimov*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4024432>
- 157