## BINARY INSTANCE-SPECIFIC COST LEARNING

- Assumes instance-specific costs for every observation:  $\mathcal{D}^{(n)} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{c}^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^{n}, \text{ where } (\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{c}^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}.$ 
  - Define "true class" as cost minimal class

Define observation weights: 
$$|\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[1] - \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[0]|$$

Cost-Sensitive Lection ired [Fav(\*)3 
$$w^{(i)}$$
 $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$  1 1 0 0
 $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  1 2 0 1
True class  $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  7 3 1 4

● Now solve weighted ERM: Learning goals

True class 
$$y = 1$$
  $C(1,1)$   $C(1,-1)$   $C(1,-1$ 

NB: Instances with equal costs are effectively ignored.



## MULTICLASS COSTSPECIFIC COST LEARNING

- Consider grstance specific, use  $\mathbf{c}^{(l)}$  same for all  $\mathbf{x}^{(l)}$  of the same class:  $\mathbb{R}^{\rho} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ .
- Define "true class" as cost minimal chase class
- Define observation weights: | ▼ □ Pred class

$$\frac{\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[1] \quad \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[2] \quad \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[3] \quad y^{(i)}}{\mathcal{R}_{\underbrace{\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[2]}_{\mathbf{X}}(2)}^{\mathbf{X}(1)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w^{(i)} L_{1}^{0} \left(y^{(i)}, f \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \theta_{3}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- NB: Instances with equal costs are effectively ignored Set  $\mathbf{c}^{(\prime)}[y^{(\prime)}] = 0$ , i.e. zero-cost for correct prediction.



## CSOVO LASS GOSTS

- Cet D(t) = {(x(!))(c(!))}C\$L(x(!)(c(!)))∈Re x(R4tance specific,
- Example same for all x<sup>(i)</sup> of the same class

	င <sup>(/)</sup> [1] င <sup>(f)</sup> ([2]ငla <b>င</b> ( <sup>()</sup> [3]				
<b>x</b> <sup>(1)</sup>		<b>0</b> / = 1	<b>2</b> / = 2	<u>3</u> / = 3	
<b>X</b> (2)=	1	1 0	0 1	1 3	
Pred. x(2)=	2	2 1	0 0	3 1	

- Idea: Reduction principle to binary case (weighted fit) by
- one-versus-one (OVO). For two  $x^{(j)}$  with y=2 and y=3: For class j vs. k:
- - How to deal with the label  $y^{(i)}?2y^{(i)}$  can be neither i nor k.
  - How to deal with the costs  $\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[j]$  and  $\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[k]$ ?
- Set  $\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[y^{(i)}] = 0$ , i.e. zero-cost for correct prediction.



# CSOVO LINET AL. 2014

- When training a binary classifier f<sup>(j,k)</sup> for class j vs. k,
- Exerchoose cost min class from pair  $\arg\min_{l \in \{j,k\}} \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[l]$  as ground truth  $\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[1] & \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[2] & \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[3] \end{vmatrix}$ 
  - Sample weight is simply diff between the 2 costs
- $|\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[j] \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[k]|_{(2)} = 1 \qquad 0$  Example continued:<sub>**x**</sub>(3)
- Idea: RedC(\(\frac{1}{1}\) p\(\frac{1}{1}\) p\(\frac{1}\) p\(\fr



### **CSOVO**

• Example continued any classifier  $f^{(j,k)}$  for class j vs. k,

<ul> <li>Choce<sup>(⊕</sup>[t]oste(()[2]c</li> </ul>	la <b>c</b> (2 <b>[3]</b> br	n <b>¢</b> (a[1 vs:8]n	$\min \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{l}^{(i)}[1]$ vs $3^{(i)}[$	w <sup>(2)</sup> {1 vs 3]
Xaround@ruth 2	3	0/3	1	3
Sample weight is	imply di	ff hefween t	the 2 costs	0
$\mathbf{x}_{[2]}^{(1)}$ und $\mathbf{q}$ ruth $\mathbf{q}$ $\mathbf{x}_{[2]}^{(2)}$ ample weight is s	3	2/3	1 10 2 10013	1

- Wrap everything up:
  - For class j vs. k, transform all  $(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}, \mathbf{c}^{(l)})$  to  $(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}, \mathbf{arg} \min_{l \in \{j,k\}} \mathbf{c}^{(l)}[l])$  with sample-wise weight  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{(l)}[1] \times 2}{2}$ 
    - 2 xTrain a weighted binary classifier  $f^{(j,k)}$  using the above 2
    - Repeat step d and 2 for different (j,k).  $\tilde{y}^{(i)}[2 \text{ vs } 3]$   $w^{(i)}[2 \text{ vs } 3]$ Predict using the votes from all  $\tilde{f}^{(j,k)}$ .
- Theoretical guarantee:  $\frac{1}{3}$   $\frac{0/1}{0/3}$   $\frac{2}{2}$  test costs of final classifier  $\leq 2 \sum_{j < k}$  test cost of  $f^{(j,k)}$ .



## **CSOVO**

#### Example continued

	<b>c</b> <sup>(i)</sup> [1]	$c^{(i)}[2]$	$c^{(i)}[3]$	<b>c</b> <sup>(i)</sup> [1 vs 3]	$\tilde{y}^{(i)}[1 \text{ vs } 3]$	$w^{(i)}[1 \text{ vs } 3]$
<b>x</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	0	2	3	0/3	1	3
<b>x</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	1	0	1	-/-	-	0
<b>x</b> (3)	2	0	3	2/3	1	1



- For class j vs. k, transform all  $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{c}^{(i)})$  to  $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \arg\min_{l \in \{j, k\}} \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[l])$  with sample-wise weight  $|\mathbf{c}^{(i)}[j] \mathbf{c}^{(i)}[k]|$ .
- Train a weighted binary classifier  $f^{(j,k)}$  using the above
- Repeat step 1 and 2 for different (j, k).
- Predict using the votes from all  $f^{(j,k)}$ .
- Theoretical guarantee: test costs of final classifier  $\leq 2 \sum_{i < k}$  test cost of  $f^{(i,k)}$ .

