



# Introduction to Machine Learning

## CART




### Splitting Criteria for Regression



#### Learning goals

- Understand how to define split criteria via ERM
- Understand how to find splits in regression with  $L_2$  loss

# SPLITTING CRITERIA



How to find good splitting rules?  $\Rightarrow$  **Empirical Risk Minimization**



# OPTIMAL CONSTANTS IN LEAVES

Idea: A split is good if each child's point predictor reflects its data well.

For each child  $\mathcal{N}$ , predict with optimal constant, e.g., the mean




$$c_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{N}} y \text{ for the } L_2 \text{ loss, i.e., } \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{N}} (y - c_{\mathcal{N}})^2.$$

Root node:






# OPTIMAL CONSTANTS IN LEAVES

Which of these two splits is better?



# RISK OF A SPLIT



$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_1) = 23.4, \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_2) = 72.4$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_1) = 78.1, \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_2) = 46.1$$

The total risk is the sum of the individual losses:






$$23.4 + 72.4 = 95.8$$

$$78.0 + 46.1 = 124.1$$

Based on the SSE, we prefer the first split.




# SEARCHING THE BEST SPLIT

Let's find the best split for this feature by tabulating results.



# SEARCHING THE BEST SPLIT

Let's iterate – quantile-wise or over all points.



We have reduced the problem to a simple loop.

# FORMALIZATION

- $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  is the data contained in this node
- Let  $c_{\mathcal{N}}$  be the predicted constant for  $\mathcal{N}$
- The risk  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N})$  for a node is:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{N}} L(y, c_{\mathcal{N}})$$

- The optimal constant is  $c_{\mathcal{N}} = \arg \min_c \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{N}} L(y, c)$
- We often know what that is from theoretical considerations – or we can perform a simple univariate optimization

