
Interpretable Machine Learning

Intro to IML Interpretation Goals







Learning goals

Understand Interpretation Goals:

- Global insights (discovery)
- Improve model (debug and audit)
- Understand and control individual predictions
- Justification and fairness

POTENTIAL INTERPRETATION GOALS






A related presentation can be found in **Adadi and Berrada" 2018

DISCOVER AND GAIN GLOBAL INSIGHTS

 \leadsto Gain insights about data, model, and underlying data-generating process

Example: Bike Sharing Dataset (predict number of bike rentals per day) *Exemplary question:*

Which feature influences model performance and how much?



- Year (yr) and Temperature (temp) most important features
- Holiday (holiday) less important (Can we drop it?)



→ Insights help to identify flaws (in data or model), which can be corrected

Example: Neural Net Tank (gwern.net) → Click for source



Cautionary tale (never actually happened):

- Train a neural network to detect tanks
- Good fit on training data
- Application outside training data: failure



→ Insights help to identify flaws (in data or model), which can be corrected

Example: Neural Net Tank (gwern.net) → Click for source

Cautionary tale (never actually happened):

- Train a neural network to detect tanks
- Good fit on training data
- Application outside training data: failure
- Reasons vary depending on input
 - \rightsquigarrow NN based decision on irrelevant pixels





→ Insights help to identify flaws (in data or model), which can be corrected

Example: Neural Net Tank (gwern.net) → Click for source



Cautionary tale (never actually happened):

- Train a neural network to detect tanks
- Good fit on training data
- Application outside training data: failure
- Reasons vary depending on input
 → NN based decision on irrelevant pixels
- E.g. model detects weather based on sky:
 → All photos with tanks show cloudy sky
 → Photos without tanks show sunny sky



→ Insights help to identify flaws (in data or model), which can be corrected

Comment on tank example:

"We made exactly the same mistake in one of my projects on insect recognition. We photographed 54 classes of insects. Specimens had been collected, identified, and placed in vials. Vials were placed in boxes sorted by class. I hired student workers to photograph the specimens.

Naturally they did this one box at a time; hence, one class at a time. Photos were taken in alcohol. Bubbles would form in the alcohol. Different bubbles on different days. The learned classifier was surprisingly good. But a saliency map revealed that it was reading the bubble patterns and ignoring the specimens.

I was so embarrassed that I had made the oldest mistake in the book (even if it was apocryphal). Unbelievable. Lesson: always randomize even if you don't know what you are controlling for!"

(Thomas G. Dietterich) Click for source



DEBUG AND AUDIT

- Nearly all computer programs have bugs
 - → Minimizing such bugs extremely relevant
- Process with multiple steps to locate, understand and solve a problem
- In ML we have a program (learner) writing another program (model)
- How to debug or audit programs which contain ML models?
- Based on a single cross-val score?
- → Being able to interpret your model will always be helpful if possible!




UNDERSTAND & CONTROL INDIVIDUAL PRED.-S

--- Explaining individual decisions can prevent unwanted model-based actions

Example: Credit Risk Application

 \mathbf{x} : customer and credit information; y: grant or reject credit





- Why was the credit rejected?
- Is it a fair decision?
- How should x be changed so that the credit is accepted?




UNDERSTAND & CONTROL INDIVIDUAL PRED.-S

→ Explaining individual decisions can prevent unwanted model-based actions

Example: Credit Risk Application

x: customer and credit information; *y*: grant or reject credit

- Why was the credit rejected?
- Is it a fair decision?
- How should x be changed so that the credit is accepted?



"If the person was more skilled and the credit amount had been reduced to \$8.000, the credit would have been granted."



JUSTIFICATION AND FAIRNESS

 \leadsto Investigate if and why biased, unexpected or discriminatory predictions were made

Example: COMPAS


- COMPAS: Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions
- Commercial tool used in courts to assess a defendant's risk of re-offending
- Predicts recidivism risk:
 - Likelihood of an individual with a past offense is arrested again
 - Features: race, gender, age, number of prior prison sentences, ...
 - Output: COMPAS score from 1 (low) to 10 (high) risk of recidivism
- Based on a questionnaire completed by the defendant




JUSTIFICATION AND FAIRNESS: COMPAS • "Larson et al." 2016

 \leadsto Investigate if and why biased, unexpected or discriminatory predictions were made

Descriptive data analysis of the target (COMPAS score) by a feature encoding race:





- Model skewed towards low risk for Caucasians
- → Strong indication that the model is discriminating against African American
- → Use IML to assess if and how much the model uses the defendant's race



JUSTIFICATION AND FAIRNESS: COMPAS

▶ "Alvarez-Melis and Jaakkola" 2018

 \leadsto Investigate if and why biased, unexpected or discriminatory predictions were made

IML: Analyze how strongly a feature influences an individual pred. (e.g., LIME):



- Pick a defendant
- LIME quantifies a feature's impact on the defendant's COMPAS score
- African_American has a large positive weight on COMPAS score
- Occurs for many individuals, see
 "XAI Stories" Click for source

