


Interpretable Machine Learning

Introduction to Local Explanations



Learning goals

- Understand motivation for local explanations
- Develop an intuition for possible use-cases
- Know characteristics of local explanation methods

METHODOLOGICAL MOTIVATION

- Purpose of local explanations:
 - Insight into the driving factors for a **particular prediction/decision**
 - Understand ML model decisions in a **local neighborhood** of a given input (e.g., feature vector)



METHODOLOGICAL MOTIVATION

- Purpose of local explanations:
 - Insight into the driving factors for a **particular prediction/decision**
 - Understand ML model decisions in a **local neighborhood** of a given input (e.g., feature vector)
- Local Methods can address questions such as:
 - **Why** did the model decide to predict \hat{y} for input \mathbf{x} ?
 - **How** does the model behave for observations similar to \mathbf{x} ?
 - **What if** some features of \mathbf{x} had different values?
 - **Where** (in which regions in \mathcal{X}) does the model fail?




SOCIAL MOTIVATION

- Explanations for laypersons should be tailored to the **explainee**
~→ **case specific**, **human-intelligible**, and **faithful** to the explained mechanism




SOCIAL MOTIVATION

- Explanations for laypersons should be tailored to the **explainee**
~→ **case specific**, **human-intelligible**, and **faithful** to the explained mechanism
- If algorithms make decisions in **socially/safety critical domains**, end users have a justified interest in receiving explanations




SOCIAL MOTIVATION

- Explanations for laypersons should be tailored to the **explainee**
~> **case specific**, **human-intelligible**, and **faithful** to the explained mechanism
- If algorithms make decisions in **socially/safety critical domains**, end users have a justified interest in receiving explanations
- Local explanations cannot only increase **user trust**, but also help to detect **critical local biases** in algorithmic decision making



SOCIAL MOTIVATION

- Explanations for laypersons should be tailored to the **explainee**
~> **case specific**, **human-intelligible**, and **faithful** to the explained mechanism
- If algorithms make decisions in **socially/safety critical domains**, end users have a justified interest in receiving explanations
- Local explanations cannot only increase **user trust**, but also help to detect **critical local biases** in algorithmic decision making
- European citizens have the legally binding **right to explanation** as given in the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the AI Act
~> Instead of explaining the entire (complex) model (with potential market secrets), explanations in a case-by-case usage are more reasonable



GDPR & AI ACT: THE RIGHT TO EXPLANATION


“The data subject should have the right not to be subject to a decision [...] based solely on automated processing [...], such as automatic refusal of an online credit application or e-recruiting practices without any human intervention.
[...]

In any case, such processing should be subject to suitable safeguards, which should include [...] the **right to obtain [...] an explanation of the decision reached after such assessment and to challenge the decision.**

► Recital 71, GDPR, 2016

“Any affected person [...] shall have the right to obtain from the deployer clear and meaningful explanations of the role of the AI system in the decision-making procedure and the main elements of the decision taken.”

► Art. 86, AI Act, 2021



EXAMPLE: HUSKY OR WOLF?

- We trained a model to predict if an image shows a wolf or a husky
- Below predictions on six test images are given
- Do you trust our predictor?



- Sometimes the ML model is wrong
- Can you guess the pattern the ML model learned to identify a wolf?

Source: [Sameer Singh 2018]

EXAMPLE: HUSKY OR WOLF? USING LIME

- Local explanations highlight the parts of an image which led to the prediction
~> our predictor is actually a snow detector




Predicted: **wolf**
True: **wolf**



Predicted: **husky**
True: **husky**



Predicted: **wolf**
True: **wolf**



Predicted: **wolf**
True: **husky**




Predicted: **husky**
True: **husky**



Predicted: **wolf**
True: **wolf**


Source: [Sameer Singh 2018]

EXAMPLE: LOAN APPLICATION




Source: [<https://www.elte.hu>]

- Imagine: You apply for a loan at an online bank and are immediately rejected without reasons




EXAMPLE: LOAN APPLICATION




Source: [<https://www.elte.hu>]

- Imagine: You apply for a loan at an online bank and are immediately rejected without reasons
- Bank could e.g. provide a counterfactual explanation using local explanation methods:
"If you were older than 21, your loan application would have been accepted."




EXAMPLE: LOAN APPLICATION



Source: [<https://www.elte.hu>]

- Imagine: You apply for a loan at an online bank and are immediately rejected without reasons
 - Bank could e.g. provide a counterfactual explanation using local explanation methods:
 - “If you were older than 21, your loan application would have been accepted.”
- ~> helps to understand the decision and to take actions for recourse (if req.)




EXAMPLE: STOP OR RIGHT-OF-WAY?

- Imagine:
 - You work at a car company that develops image classifiers for autonomous driving
 - You show your model the following image (an adversarial example)



Source: [Eykholt et. al 2018]




EXAMPLE: STOP OR RIGHT-OF-WAY?

- Imagine:
 - You work at a car company that develops image classifiers for autonomous driving
 - You show your model the following image (an adversarial example)
 - Classifier is 99% sure it describes a right-of-way sign
- Would you entrust other people's lives into the hands of this software?



Source: [Eykholt et. al 2018]



CHARACTERISTICS OF LOCAL EXPLANATIONS

- **Explanation scope:** Specific to one prediction, valid only in local environment




CHARACTERISTICS OF LOCAL EXPLANATIONS

- **Explanation scope:** Specific to one prediction, valid only in local environment
- **Applicable model classes:**
 - Model-agnostic (by design)
 - Model-specific variants (exploit internal structure for speed/accuracy)



CHARACTERISTICS OF LOCAL EXPLANATIONS

- **Explanation scope:** Specific to one prediction, valid only in local environment
- **Applicable model classes:**
 - Model-agnostic (by design)
 - Model-specific variants (exploit internal structure for speed/accuracy)
- **Audience:** ML engineers, laypersons, and domain experts




CHARACTERISTICS OF LOCAL EXPLANATIONS

- **Explanation scope:** Specific to one prediction, valid only in local environment
- **Applicable model classes:**
 - Model-agnostic (by design)
 - Model-specific variants (exploit internal structure for speed/accuracy)
- **Audience:** ML engineers, laypersons, and domain experts
- **Supported data types:** Broad applicability across modalities (tabular, image, text, audio), but method-specific adaptations often required



CHARACTERISTICS OF LOCAL EXPLANATIONS

- **Explanation scope:** Specific to one prediction, valid only in local environment
- **Applicable model classes:**
 - Model-agnostic (by design)
 - Model-specific variants (exploit internal structure for speed/accuracy)
- **Audience:** ML engineers, laypersons, and domain experts
- **Supported data types:** Broad applicability across modalities (tabular, image, text, audio), but method-specific adaptations often required
- **Main method families**
 - Single ICE curves
 - Shapley / SHAP values
 - LIME / Anchors
 - Counterfactual explanations
 - Adversarial examples



CREDIT DATASET

- We illustrate local explanation methods on the German credit data [▶ see Kaggle](#)
- 522 observations, 9 features containing credit and customer information
- Binary target “risk” indicates if a customer has a ‘good’ or ‘bad’ credit risk
- We merged categories with few observations



name	type	range
age	numeric	[19, 75]
sex	factor	{male, female}
job	factor	{0, 1, 2, 3}
housing	factor	{free, own, rent}
saving.accounts	factor	{little, moderate, rich}
checking.accounts	factor	{little, moderate, rich}
credit.amount	numeric	[276, 18424]
duration	numeric	[6, 72]
purpose	numeric	{others, car, furniture, radio/TV}
risk	factor	{good, bad}