
Introduction to Machine Learning Information Theory Entropy I





Learning goals

- Entropy measures expected information for discrete RVs
- Know entropy and its properties

INFORMATION THEORY

- **Information Theory** is a field of study based on probability theory.
- Foundation was laid by Claude Shannon in 1948; since then been applied in: communication theory, computer science, optimization, cryptography, machine learning and statistical inference.
- Quantify the "amount" of information gained or uncertainty reduced when a random variable is observed.
- Also about storing and transmitting information.





INFORMATION THEORY / 2

- We introduce the basic concepts from a probabilistic perspective, without referring too much to communication, channels or coding.
- We will show some proofs, but not for everything. We recommend Elements of Information Theory by Cover and Thomas as a reference for more.
- The application of information theory to the concepts of statistics and ML can sometimes be confusing, we will try to make the connection as clear as possible.
- In this unit we develop entropy as a measure of uncertainty in terms of expected information.



ENTROPY AS SURPRISAL AND UNCERTAINTY

For a discrete random variable X with domain $\mathcal{X} \ni x$ and pmf p(x):

$$H(X) := H(p) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2(p(X))] = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2\left(\frac{1}{p(X)}\right)\right] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 \frac{1}{p(x)}$$



Some technicalities first:


- H is actually Greek capital letter Eta (η) for entropy
- Base of the log simply specifies the unit we measure information in, usually bits (base 2) or 'nats' (base e)
- If p(x) = 0 for an x, then $p(x) \log_2 p(x)$ is taken to be zero, because $\lim_{p\to 0} p \log_2 p = 0$.

ENTROPY AS SURPRISAL AND UNCERTAINTY

$$H(X) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2(p(X))] = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$

Now: What's the point?

- The negative log probabilities $-\log_2 p(x)$ are called "surprisal"
- More surprising means less likely
- PMFs surprising, so with higher H, when events more equally likely
- Entropy is simply expected surprisal



• The final entropy is H(X) = 2.12 (bits).



ENTROPY BASIC PROPERTIES

$$H(X) := H(p) = -\mathbb{E}[\log_2(p(X))] = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$





- Entropy is non-negative, so $H(X) \ge 0$
- If one event has probability p(x) = 1, then H(X) = 0
- **3** Adding or removing an event with p(x) = 0 doesn't change it
- \bullet H(X) is continuous in probabilities p(x)


All these properties follow directly from the definition.


ENTROPY RE-ORDERING

Symmetry. If the values p(x) in the pmf are re-ordered, entropy does not change (proof is trivial).












ENTROPY OF UNIFORM DISTRIBUTIONS

Let X be a uniform, discrete RV with g outcomes (g-sided fair die).


$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{1}{g} \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{g}\right) = \log_2 g$$




The more sides a die has, the harder it is to predict the outcome. Unpredictability grows *monotonically* with the number of potential outcomes, but at a decreasing rate.




ENTROPY IS MAXIMAL FOR UNIFORM









Naive observation:
 Entropy min for 1-point and max for uniform distribution

5

ENTROPY IS MAXIMAL FOR UNIFORM

• Entropy is maximal for a uniform distribution, for domain of size g: $H(X) \le -g \frac{1}{g} \log_2(\frac{1}{g}) = log_2(g)$.

Proof: So we want to maximize w.r.t. all p_i :

$$\underset{p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_g}{\operatorname{argmax}} - \sum_{i=1}^g p_i \log_2 p_i$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} p_i = 1$$



ENTROPY IS MAXIMAL FOR UNIFORM /2

The Lagrangian $L(p_1, \ldots, p_g, \lambda)$ is :

$$L(p_1,\ldots,p_g,\lambda) = -\sum_{i=1}^g p_i \log_2(p_i) - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^g p_i - 1\right)$$

Solving when requiring $\nabla L = 0$,

$$\frac{\partial L(p_1, \dots, p_g, \lambda)}{\partial p_i} = 0 = -\log_2(p_i) - \frac{1}{\log(2)} - \lambda$$

$$\implies p_i = \frac{2^{-\lambda}}{e} \implies p_i = \frac{1}{g},$$

last step follows from that all p_i are equal and constraint

NB: We also could have solved the constraint for p_1 and substitute $p_1 = 1 - \sum_{i=2}^{g} p_i$ in the objective to avoid constrained optimization.

