




## Precision-Recall Curves





## Learning goals


- Understand PR curves
- Same as PPV-TPR curve
- Compare to standard TPR-FPR ROC curve

# PRECISION-RECALL CURVES

- Slightly changed ROC plot
- Simply plot precision and recall, instead of TPR-FPR
- Precision =  $\rho_{PPV} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ , recall =  $\rho_{TPR} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- Might call them TPR-PPV curve
- NB: Both metrics don't depend on TNs



(a) Comparison in ROC space





(b) Comparison in PR space


Davis and Goadrich (2006): The Relationship Between Precision-Recall and ROC Curves ([URL](#)).

# PRECISION-RECALL CURVES

- Might be better for highly imbal data ( $n_- \gg n_+$ ) than TPR-FPR
- Figure (a): ROC; both learners seem to perform well
- Figure (b): PR; visible room for improvement (top-right=best)
- PR reveals better that algo 2 has advantage over 1



(a) Comparison in ROC space



(b) Comparison in PR space

Davis and Goadrich (2006): The Relationship Between Precision-Recall and ROC Curves ([URL](#)).

# IMBALANCED DATA

- Assume imbalanced classes with  $n_- \gg n_+$
- If neg class large, typically less interested in high TNR = low FPR, but more in PPV
- Large (abs) change in FP yields small change in FPR
- PPV likely more informative



FP=10:

	True +1	True -1
Pred. Pos	100	10
Pred. Neg	10	9990
Total	110	10000

$$\text{TPR} = 10/11$$

$$\text{FPR} = 0.001$$

$$\text{PPV} = 10/11$$

FP=100:

	True +1	True -1
Pred. +1	100	100
Pred. -1	10	9900
Total	110	10000

$$\text{TPR} = 10/11$$



$$\text{FPR} = 0.01$$

$$\text{PPV} = 1/2$$

RHS: Given test says +1, it's now a coin flip that this is correct.

# IMBALANCED DATA / 2

- Top row: Imbal classes with  $\pi = 0.003$
- Bottom: balanced with  $\pi = 0.5$
- ROC curves (LHS) are similar
- PR curve (RHS) changes strongly from imbal to bal classes



Wissam Siblini et. al. (2004): Master your Metrics with Calibration ([URL](#)).

## CONCLUSIONS

- Curve fully dominates in ROC space iff dominates in PR-space
- In imbalanced situations rather use PR than standard TPR-FPR
- If comparing few models on a single task, probably plot both. Then observe and think.
- For tuning: can also use PR-AUC (or partial versions)

(a) Comparison in ROC space

(b) Comparison in PR space