VV186 RC Part II Solution

From Numbers to Sequences – Exercises "Practice makes Perfect..."

Pingbang Hu

University of Michigan-Shanghai Jiao Tong University Joint Institute

August 30, 2021



Exercises-I



1. When learning the axioms of rational number, one student found that the operation of subsets of a non-empty set X is somewhat similar to that of rational number:

If we regard \cup as +, \cap as \cdot , then the equation

$$A\cap (B\cup C)=(A\cap B)\cup (A\cap C)$$

is just the distributivity law. Help him check whether P1-P9 also hold for such operations.



We check by enumerating P1 – P9.

1.
$$A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$$

2.
$$A \cup \emptyset = \emptyset \cup A = A$$

3.
$$A \cup B = B \cup A$$

4.
$$A^{c} \cup A = A \cup A^{c} = X$$

5.
$$A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C$$

6.
$$A \cap X = X \cap A = A$$

7.
$$A \cap B = B \cap A$$

8.
$$A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \cap A = \emptyset$$

9.
$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$$

As we can see, P4 and P8 does not hold, since we can't find the inverse element for \cup and \cap , so the answer of this exercise is no.

Exercises-I



2.

1. Prove that for $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and n is a natural number,

$$|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$$

2. Prove that $|a - c| \le |a - b| + |c - b|$



$$|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}| = |a_{1} + a_{2} + \dots + a_{n}| = |(a_{1} + \dots + a_{n-1}) + a_{n}|$$

$$\leq |a_{1} + \dots + a_{n-1}| + |a_{n}| \leq \dots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leq |a_{1}| + |a_{2}| + \dots + |a_{n}| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{i}|$$



$$|a-c| = |a+b-b-c|$$

= $|(a-b)+(b-c)|$
 $\leq |a-b|+|b-c|$
= $|a-b|+|c-b|$



Exercises-I



- 3. We define $\mathbb R$ as a set containing rational numbers and the limits of rational numbers. Let $E\subseteq\mathbb R$ a non-empty subset of that is bounded above in $\mathbb R$. Now we don't assume P13 is an axiom. We want to prove P13 with P1 P12 and other facts that we know:
 - Let's call the set of form $(a, +\infty)$ or $[a, +\infty)$ up interval. Prove that the set of E's upper bound, denoted by U, is an up interval.
 - ► (**Theorem 2.2**) *E* has a least upper bound.



3 - 1

Proof:

We first prove that ${\it U}$ is an interval by contradiction. Suppose U is not an interval, then we can see

$$\exists \ m \notin U \ \begin{cases} U \cap (-\infty, m) \neq \emptyset \\ U \cap (m, \infty) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

From here, we have two useful conditions as follows.

- 1. Since $m \notin U$, $\exists_{x \in E} x > m$.
- 2. Since $(-\infty, m) \cap U \neq \emptyset$, $\exists y \in (-\infty, m) \cap U \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y < m \\ y \in U \end{cases}$

Finally, we get y < m < x, but this is a contradiction since y is an upper bound but $y < x \in E$.



3 - 1

Proof(continue) :

Then, we know U is an interval now. Because U is clearly bounded below by some element in E, let say a, then U should have this form:

$$U = (a, b) \text{ or } U = [a, b)$$

for some b. And because if $b \in U$, then clearly $b + c \in U$ for c > 0, we know that

$$U=(a,\infty)$$
 or $U=[a,\infty)$

so U is an up interval.





$$3 - 2$$

Proof:

We consider two cases.

First, if $U = [a, \infty)$, then clearly, U has a minimum a, and which is the least upper bound of E.

Second, if $U = (a, \infty)$, then we know

$$\begin{cases} \exists x > a \Rightarrow \exists x = a + 2\epsilon \\ \forall a + \epsilon \in U \Rightarrow a + \epsilon > x \end{cases}$$

We simply fixed the ϵ we found in equation 1, and then plug in to the equation 2, we get

$$a + \epsilon > x = a + 2\epsilon \implies \epsilon < 0$$

Which contradicts to our chosen condition of ϵ , so we know the second case $U = (a, \infty)$ can not be the case.

Exercises-I*



4. Let A be bounded set in \mathbb{R} (which means that the total set is \mathbb{R}), for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an element x in A such that $|x - supA| < \epsilon$.



4

Proof:

We proceed our proof by contradiction. Suppose this is not true, namely there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that for all $x\in A$ we have

$$|x - \sup A| \ge \epsilon$$

But then we know, $\sup A - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ is an upper bound of A, which leads to a contradiction.

Exercise-II



5. A sequence is defined as

$$(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \ S_1=\sqrt{2}, \ S_2=\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}}, \ S_3=\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}}}$$

Please calculate the limit of (S_n) as $n \to \infty$, if it exists.



5.

Since we don't know whether the limit of (S_n) exist or not, we must first prove the existence, then do the following calculation.

We proceed as follows. First, we observe that (S_n) is bounded since

$$0 \le S_n = \underbrace{\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2...\sqrt{2}}}}}_{n \ square \ roots} \le \underbrace{\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2...2}}}}_{n \ square \ roots} = 2$$

We get the right-hand side by replacing the last $\sqrt{2}$ by 2 from the left-hand side.

We conclude that the sequence (S_n) is bounded. Our goal is to prove (S_n) is convergent, so we now only need to prove (S_n) is monotonic, then we can deduce the convergence from our already known lemma.



(continue)

Since
$$S_{n+1} = \sqrt{2S_n}$$
 for $n \ge 1$. When $n \ge 1$,

$$\frac{S_{n+1}}{S_n} = \frac{\sqrt{2Sn}}{Sn} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{S_n}} > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} = 1$$

It is easy to see that (S_n) is strictly increasing, which means it is monotonic, hence convergent.

To calculate the limit, we use the equation

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S_{n+1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} S_n =: L$$

Which leads to $\sqrt{2L} = L$. By solving this equation and the fact that $S_n \ge S_1 = 1$, so L = 2, which mean the limit is 2.

Exercise-II



6. Let $(a_n), (b_n)$ be two real sequences. Furthermore, assume that $a_n < b_n$ for all n, $[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] \subseteq [a_n, b_n]$, $\lim (a_n - b_n) = 0$. Prove that there is an unique $m \in [a_n, b_n]$ for all n, such that

$$\lim a_n = \lim b_n = m$$



6.

Proof:

We first show that (a_n) , (b_n) are convergent. Notice that $[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}] \subseteq [a_n, b_n]$ means that (a_n) is increasing, while (b_n) is decreasing. Furthermore, both (a_n) and (b_n) are bounded by $[a_0, b_0]$. Therefore, (a_n) and (b_n) are convergent.

Next we show that $\lim a_n = \lim b_n$. Suppose $\lim b_n = L$, where L is a unique number since a sequence has precisely one limit. Then we know

$$\lim a_n = \lim [(a_n - b_n) + b_n] = \lim (a_n - b_n) + \lim b_n = 0 + L = L$$

Moreover, since
$$\begin{cases} L \geq a_n \\ L \leq b_n \end{cases}$$
 , we know that $L \in [a_n, b_n].$

Exercise-II



7. Let (x_n) be a bounded real sequence. Then define

$$a_n := \sup_{m \ge n} (x_m), \quad b_n := \inf_{m \ge n} (x_m)$$

- 1. Prove that (a_n) is decreasing, while (b_n) is increasing.
- 2. Since both (a_n) , (b_n) are monotonic and bounded, they are convergent. We denote $\underline{\lim} x_n = \overline{\lim} b_n$; $\overline{\lim} x_n = \overline{\lim} a_n$. Show that:

$$\underline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \leq \underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n) \leq \overline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \leq \overline{\lim} y_n + \overline{\lim} z_n$$



7 - 1

Proof:

This follows from the fact that for two bounded sets A, B in \mathbb{R} with $A \supseteq B$, the supremum of A is no less than the supremum of B. Similarly, for two bounded sets A, B in \mathbb{R} with $A \supseteq B$, the infimum of A is no greater than the infimum of B.



7 - 2

Proof:

We precede from the left-hand side. Start from the first inequality:

$$\underline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \leq \underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n)$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall y_n \geq \underline{\lim} y_n - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, and $z_n \geq \underline{\lim} z_n - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. So we have $\underline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq (y_n + z_n)$ for all such n. Next, we claim that there is always a subsequence of $(y_n + z_n)$ such that it converges to $\underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n)$.

Fix arbitrary t>0, $\bigvee_{M\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\exists}{n_k\geq M}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |(y_{n_k} + z_{n_k}) - \inf_{m \ge M} (y_m + z_m)| < \frac{1}{2}t \\ |(y_{n_k} + z_{n_k}) - \underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n)| < \frac{1}{2}t \end{cases}$$



$$7 - 2$$

Proof(continue) :

We know $|(y_{n_k} + z_{n_k}) - \underline{\lim}(y_n - z_n)| < t$. This means for large enough n_k (at least $n_k > M$) we make sure that $(y_{n_k} + z_{n_k}) \le \lim(y_n + z_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and also

$$\underline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \le (y_{n_k} + z_{n_k}) \le \underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \underline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \le \underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n) + \epsilon$$

Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we conclude that

$$\underline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \leq \underline{\lim} (y_n + z_n)$$



7 - 2

Proof (continue):

Now we prove the second inequality.

$$\underline{\lim}(y_n+z_n)\leq \overline{\lim}y_n+\underline{\lim}z_n$$

From the fact that

$$-\underline{\lim}(-x_n) = \overline{\lim}x_n$$

This equality holds because $-\inf_{m\geq n}(-x_m)=\sup_{m\geq n}x_m$. Now, $\underline{\lim}(y_n+z_n)+\underline{\lim}(-y_n)\leq \underline{\lim}(y_n+z_n+(-y_n))=\underline{\lim}z_n$ by what we have proved above. Thus,

$$\underline{\lim}(y_n + z_n) \le -\underline{\lim}(-y_n) + \underline{\lim}z_n = \overline{\lim}y_n + \underline{\lim}z_n$$



7 - 2

Proof(continue) :

Finally, we now prove the last inequality.

$$\overline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \leq \overline{\lim} y_n + \overline{\lim} z_n$$

Since $a_n := \sup_{m \ge n} x_m \ge \inf_{m \ge n} x_m =: b_n$, we have

$$\underline{\lim} z_n \leq \overline{\lim} z_n$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim} y_n + \underline{\lim} z_n \leq \overline{\lim} y_n + \overline{\lim} z_n$$

Exercise-II*



8. Let (a_n) be a sequence such that

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2+1}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2+n}}$$

Calculate the limit of (a_n) .



8.

We first consider two sequence $(b_n)_{n\geq 1}, (c_n)_{n\geq 1}$ given by

$$\begin{cases} b_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2}} \\ c_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2 + n}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2 + n}} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,
$$\begin{cases} (b_n) \leq (a_n) \\ (c_n) \geq (a_n) \end{cases}$$
 for all $n \geq 1$.

Then one can easily find out the limit for both (b_n) and (c_n) , which can be calculated as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} 1 = 1$$



8.(continue)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2+n}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2+n}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{n}}} = 1$$

By Squeeze Theorem, we know

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n\leq\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n\leq\lim_{n\to\infty}c_n$$

, namely

$$1 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \leq 1$$

We conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 1$

Exercise-II*



9. Prove that $\lim \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$.



9.

We consider the case for $n \ge 2$. Since $\sqrt[n]{n} > 1$ for all $n \ge 2$, we can choose a non-negative sequence, let say $(b_n)_{n\ge 2}$ such that

$$1+b_n=\sqrt[n]{n}$$

From the fact that

$$(1+b_n)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 1^k \cdot b_n^{n-k}$$

and another fact that $(1+b_n)^n=n$, we get the following inequality

$$n = (1 + b_n)^n \le {n \choose 2} b_n^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} b_n^2$$



9.(continue)

After some algebraic works, one can see

$$b_n \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}}$$

But this means

$$0 \leq \sqrt[n]{n} - 1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}}$$

By taking the limit, we have

$$0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} (\sqrt[n]{n} - 1) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{n - 1}} = 0$$



9.(continue)

Finally, by Squeeze theorem, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\sqrt[n]{n}-1) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{n}-1 = 0$$

We conclude

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{n}=1$$



Have Fun and Learn Well!