

P 16.

$A: x \in P$ $B: x \in Q$.

pf:

$\forall x \in P$, since $P \subseteq Q$, then $x \in Q$.

$\forall x \notin P$, x can either be in Q or not in Q .

A	B
T	T
F	$\begin{cases} T \\ F \end{cases}$

which is an implication relation. *

P. 18

pf: Since $\forall x \in \phi$, $x \in X$, where X is an arbitrary set.
This is obviously true. *

P. 20

$$M^c = \phi \quad \phi^c = M \setminus \phi = \{x: M(x) \wedge (\neg \phi(x))\} = M.$$

P. 23.

pf:

A	B	C	$(A \vee B) \wedge C$	$(A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F

From the truth table, we know
 $(A \vee B) \wedge C \equiv (A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$. *

P. 24

$$(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$$

pf:

We first prove $(A \cup B) \cap C \subseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$.

$\forall x \in (A \cup B) \cap C$, $x \in (A \cup B)$ and $x \in C$. Then consider these two cases:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i) } x \in A \text{ and } x \in C \Rightarrow x \in (A \cap C) \Rightarrow x \in (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C) \\ \text{ii) } x \in B \text{ and } x \in C \Rightarrow x \in (B \cap C) \Rightarrow x \in (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C) \end{array} \right\} (A \cup B) \cap C \subseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$$

($x \in A$ and $x \in B$ and $x \in C$ is not covered, think about why!)

Then we prove $(A \cup B) \cap C \supseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$.

$\forall x \in (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$, we consider these two cases:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i) } x \in A \cap C \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in C \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \text{ and } x \in C \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \cap C \\ \text{ii) } x \in B \cap C \Rightarrow x \in B \text{ and } x \in C \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \text{ and } x \in C \Rightarrow x \in (A \cup B) \cap C \end{array} \right\} (A \cup B) \cap C \supseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$$

Since if $X \subseteq Y$ and $X \supseteq Y$, then $X = Y$, we deduce that

$$(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C) \quad \ast$$

⊗ On Horst's slide, page 44, all the rules can be verified by similar proofs.

P. 25

1. **F** Take $x=0, y=-1$, then $0^2 + (-1)^3 = -1 < 0$.

2. **F** $f(0) = 0^4 = 0$.

3. **F** If $a=0$, $a^4=0$.

4. **X** Since the word "tig" is ambiguous, so we can't decide whether it is true or false.

5. **F**

A	B	$A \vee B$	$\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F

$\Rightarrow A \vee B \Leftrightarrow \neg(A \wedge \neg B)$.

P. 26

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a real sequence. If for some fixed $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N |a_n - c| < \epsilon$, then we say (a_n) converge. \star .