Processing ExaML boostrap trees - April 2016

S. Le Vu (Dated: April 8, 2016)

```
detail_knitr <- TRUE
source("functions.R")</pre>
```

```
library(ape)
Warning: package 'ape' was built under R version 3.2.3
```

- Starting with 100 bootstrap trees
- Transformed into edge lists of distances
- As inputs of UCSD software at thresholds c("0.01", "0.02", "0.05")
- Obtain a list of 3 * 100 cluster assignements

```
cl2 <- readRDS( file = "data/ucsd_results/list.hivclust.rds" )</pre>
```

Number of clusters (n > 1) increases because at larger threshold, more patients are included. See below how it changes when everybody is in.

```
## stats of mean size
sapply(aa, function(x){
    summary(sapply(x, function(x) mean(x$Freq)))
})

0.01  0.02  0.05

Min.  2.679  3.349  4.816
1st Qu.  2.864  3.551  5.449

Median  2.907  3.637  5.693

Mean  2.915  3.646  5.788
3rd Qu.  2.955  3.704  5.923

Max.  3.129  4.064  8.174
```

Mean cluster size logically increases, with some variation between bootstraps After having merged patients data with cluster assignments,

```
# saveRDS(listUKclus, file = "data/listUKclus.rds")
listUKclus <- readRDS( file = "data/listUKclus.rds")</pre>
```

```
## number of different clusters (counting size 1)

sapply(listUKclus, function(x){
    summary(sapply(x, function(x) {
        length(unique(x$ClusterID) )
        }))

})

0.01 0.02 0.05

Min. 9554 7685 3892

1st Qu. 10030 8380 5216

Median 10140 8563 5482

Mean 10110 8517 5407

3rd Qu. 10220 8671 5696

Max. 10530 9171 6466
```

Now cluster number decreases

```
## proportion of cluster membership

sapply(listUKclus, function(x){
    summary(sapply(x, function(x) sum(x$binclus) / length(x$binclus)))
})

0.01    0.02    0.05

Min.    0.2145    0.3508    0.5912

1st Qu.    0.2454    0.3999    0.6515

Median    0.2538    0.4089    0.6656

Mean    0.2567    0.4130    0.6720

3rd Qu.    0.2647    0.4268    0.6885

Max.    0.3154    0.4884    0.7748
```

Linear regressions

```
(Intercept) 1.7e-15 -5.0e-16 -1.6e-15
scale(agediag) -2.0e-02 -1.6e-02 7.7e-03
[[1]] $`signif pvalue`
              0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept)
            0.00 0.00 0.00
scale(agediag) 0.63 0.39 0.24
[[1]]$`mean r.squared`
  0.01 0.02 0.05
0.000444 0.000281 0.000208
[[2]]
[[2]]$model
[1] "model1"
[[2]] * mean parameter
                 0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept) 0.0032 0.0039 0.00071
scale(sqrt(cd4)) 0.0440 0.0540 0.02100
[[2]] $\signif pvalue\
0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept) 0 0 0.00
scale(sqrt(cd4)) 1 1 0.54
[[2]] * mean r.squared
   0.01 0.02 0.05
0.001930 0.002890 0.000693
[[3]]
[[3]]$model
[1] "model2"
[[3]] * mean parameter `
                       0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept) -0.0047 -0.0084 -0.058
factor(ethn.bin)white 0.0058 0.0100 0.071
[[3]] $\infty \text{signif pvalue}\text{}
                      0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept) 0 0 0.70 factor(ethn.bin)white 0 0.74
[[3]] * mean r.squared `
   0.01 0.02 0.05
2.99e-05 2.68e-05 9.21e-04
[[4]]
[[4]]$model
[1] "model3"
[[4]] * mean parameter
                     0.01 0.02 0.05
```

```
(Intercept) 0.007 0.0021 0.016
factor(CHICflag)No -0.034 -0.0100 -0.077
[[4]] $`signif pvalue`
                  0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept)
                   0.00 0 0.26
factor(CHICflag)No 0.31 0 0.85
[[4]] * mean r.squared
   0.01 0.02 0.05
2.30e-04 3.05e-05 1.15e-03
[[5]]
[[5]]$model
[1] "model4"
[[5]] * mean parameter
                        0.01
                                0.02 0.05
                  0.0024 -0.0057 -0.035
(Intercept)
scale(agediag) -0.0150 -0.0110 0.013
scale(sqrt(cd4)) 0.0410 0.0520 0.021
factor(ethn.bin)white 0.0045 0.0080 0.062
factor(CHICflag)No -0.0150 0.0170 -0.079
[[5]] $\signif pvalue\
                    0.01 0.02 0.05
(Intercept)
                    0.00 0.00 0.30
scale(agediag) 0.31 0.07 0.33 scale(sqrt(cd4)) 1.00 1.00 0.54
factor(ethn.bin)white 0.00 0.00 0.66
factor(CHICflag)No 0.02 0.00 0.78
[[5]] * mean r.squared
  0.01 0.02 0.05
0.00231 0.00309 0.00307
```

- Age: negative effect fading out as threshold increases low R2
- CD4: positive effect 100% significant except high threshold (54%)
- Ethnicity: positive effect (whites in larger clusters) only significant at high threshold
- CHIC: positive effect (CHIC in large clusters) not always significant
- Full model: Only CD4 would show a constantly significant effect over all bootstrap trees up to a high threshold. Ethnicity and CHIC come out at high threshold. Overall small R2

Logistic regression

Only CD4 and CHIC show a constant effect