

# Homework 2 for Intro to Computational Complexity

Shenlong Gu

917-544-8927, sg3301@columbia.edu, 27, September 2015

## Part I

We assume a Non-DTM  $M_1$  decides the language  $L_1$ , and a Non-DTM  $M_2$  decides the language  $L_2$ .

Let  $V_1(x, c)$  be the verifier for  $M_1$  and  $V_2(x, c)$  be the verifier for  $M_2$ .

### 1. union

We will construct a poly-time  $V(x, c)$  for the language, the union of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  to solve this problem.

for any input  $x$ , given a string input  $c$ , let  $V(x, c) = 1$  iff  $V_1(x, c) = 1$  and  $V_2(x, c) = 1$ .

It is easy to see  $V(x, c)$  is the verifier which runs in polynomial time given input  $x$  and  $c$  and  $V(x, c)$  is the verifier for the language, the union of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . So NP is closed under union operation.

### 2. concatenation

We will construct a poly-time  $V(x, c)$  for the language, the union of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  to solve this problem.

for any input  $x$ , the string input  $c$  which is the union-encoding of the string  $c_1$  for  $V_1(x, c)$ , the string  $c_2$  for  $V_2(x, c)$ .

$V(x, c)$  works as follows, creates  $n + 1$  pairs,  $(i, n - i)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Decode  $c$  into  $c_1$  and  $c_2$

foreach pair  $(i, n - i)$ :

if  $V_1(x[0 : i], c_1) = 1$  and  $V_2(x[i : n], c_2) = 1$ :

$V(x, c) = 1$ , accepts

end  $V(x, c) = 0$ , rejects. (Because no pairs work given the string  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .)

It is easy to see  $V(x, c)$  is the verifier which runs in polynomial time given input  $x$  and  $c$  and  $V(x, c)$  is the verifier for the language, concatenation of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . So NP is closed under concatenation operation.

### 3. star

We will construct a poly-time  $V(x, c)$  for the language, the union of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  to solve this problem.

Given an input  $x$ , we let  $c$  to encode a segmentation of input  $x$  into  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ , and a string of  $V_1(x, c)$  to these  $k$  segmentation  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ .

Then,  $V(x, c)$  works as follows:

decode  $c$  first, if  $c$  can not be decoded, rejects it.

for  $i = 0$  to  $k$ :

```

    if  $V_1(x_i, c_i) == 1$ :
         $V(x, c) = 1$ , accepts
    end
 $V(x, c) = 0$ , rejects.

```

It is easy to see  $V(x, c)$  is the verifier which runs in polynomial time given input  $x$  and  $c$  and  $V(x, c)$  is the verifier for the language, star of  $L_1$ . So NP is closes under star operation.

Then to prove  $G$  and  $H$  isomorphic. Given an input  $x$  with length  $n$ , we encode a permutation of  $n$  which is a node mapping from  $G$  and  $H$ , we give a verifier  $V(x, c)$  given the input  $x$  and encoding mapping  $c$ , it is easy to judge if two maps are isomorphic under this mapping in poly-time (just check if each mapping edge exists or doesn't exist in two graphs).

## Part II

First, we will show that if a graph is bipartite, then it can not have a cycle containing an odd number of nodes. Assume there is a such cycle the bipartite,  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2*k+1}$ . We color the nodes into two part (blue and red) . if  $n_1$  is red,  $n_2$  is blue, then  $n_{2*k+1}$  will be red the same as  $n_1$ , and there is an edge between  $n_1$  and  $n_{2*k+1}$ , so there comes a contradictory.

Second, if there is no such cycle, we will show it is a bipartite. We also color the node, we randomly selects a node, and gives a color (for example, red), We traverse the edge from this node using dfs or bfs, everytime there is an edge between two nodes, we color the child node with a opposite color from its parent, when there is a back edge. Because there is no cycle with an odd number of nodes, this node will not result in a contradictory with its ancestor, so we can color all nodes without contradictory, so it is a bipartite.

Third, we will show bipartite problem  $\in$  NL.

We change the bipartite judging problem into a existing problem. As proved above, we can change a bipartite judging problem by deciding that there is no cycle containing odd number nodes.

Then we think about the complement problem (because NL equals CO-NL): how to decide that if there is a cycle containing odd number nodes.

To use NDTM to solve this problem:

First, we nondeterministicly selects a start node,  $s$ , and set a next node  $t$  to nondeterministicly be a child of  $s$ , and set a counter to be zero .

while counter  $\leq n$ :

( $n$  is total number of nodes, because the length of the cycle can not exceed  $n$ )

if  $t$  equals  $s$ :

if counter is odd:

accepts it

else:

rejects it

We nondeterministicly set  $t$  to be a child of  $t$ , (if there is no child, we rejects)

counter = counter + 1

We see that this NDTM decides the problem (odd-number-nodes cycle existing problem), and the space it uses is just start node number, next node number, counter which cost just

logarithmic space. So bipartite judging problem  $\in$  NL.