Functional vs. Imperative Programming A short story

Steven Libby ¹

¹Department of Computer Science Portland State University

March 16, 2022

Imperative History

Remember: computers are intricately organized sand.

The goal of PL is to help us organize our thoughts.

- First we programmed with tubes
- Then we moved on to punch cards
 - no more thinking about electronics
- Then we got assembly language
 - we can now put instructions together ourselves
- Finally we made FORTRAN
 - machine independent
 - we can write expressions
 - we can call functions
- This paved the way for structured programming.



Imperative Programming

It's hard to overstate how pervasive structured programming is.

The core ideas:

- control flows from one statement to the next.
- branching control flow with if and while
- intermediate values are stored in variables
- pass control with a function call

There's no reason we have to program this way, but I'll bet you still would, even in assembly.

So, why?

Imperative Programming

The point is, limiting what we *can* do with programs makes us better programmers.

Just because you can, doesn't mean you should.

I want you to remember that.

Because for the rest of this lecture, I'm taking away side effects.

That means:

- No reassigning variables
- No writing to memory

Once You've made an object, you can't change it. Have fun!

Functional Programming

Ok, so why do this?

Variables are kind of the core of programming. How do I even program without them?

The answer is that we're not just giving up variables. We're shifting our focus.

Arguably one of the most important developments with imperative programming was the introduction of functions.

In some form or another functions are the basis for all of our modular programming techniques.

Functional Programming

Instead of focusing on what we lose with variables, I want to focus on what we gain with functions.

So, lets look at the functional language Haskell.

```
square :: Int -> Int
square x = x * x

isPalindrome :: String -> Bool
isPalindrome str = reverse str == str
```

Functional Syntax

Example

```
square :: Int -> Int
square x = x * x

isPalindrome :: String -> Bool
isPalindrome str = reverse str == str
```

Things to note:

- Functions are defined with equations.
- The type of a function is math style, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.
- Function calls are juxtaposition f x.
 - instead of parenthesis f(x)
- There are no statements

Functional Syntax

Great, what about flow of control?

We can use guards and recursion.

Let's count the digits in a number.

If n is less than 10, then it has 1 digit.

If n is greater than 10, it has 1 digit, plus the digits of n/10.

Higher Order Functions

Ok, so we have weird syntax.

What makes this functional programming?

There are two features at the heart of functional programming:

- We can pass functions as arguments.
- We can return function from other functions.

This is really it.

This isn't even unique to function programming.

Python, C++, Java, Javascript, and C# all have these features.

Higher Order Functions

A function that can take and return functions is a higher order function.

This isn't new, you've all used these.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(f) = \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$$

 $\frac{d}{dx}$ is a function that takes f and returns the derivative.

Higher Order functions

There's one very important "glue" function in haskell

Example

This is function composition.

It's supposed to resemble $f \circ g$ from math.

$$f\circ g(x)=f(g(x))$$

So, how do we use the ideas from functional programming?

Let's look at a slightly more complicated example involving more complex data.

A list in Haskell is a sequence of elements

```
Example
```

```
numbers :: [Int]
numbers = [1,2,3,4,5]

strings :: [String]
strings = ["The", "quick", "brown", "fox"]

booleans :: [Bool]
booleans = [True, False, False, True]
```

There are a lot of functions on lists, but let's look at a few helpful ones.

There are a lot of functions on lists, but let's look at a few helpful ones.

```
groupBy :: Int -> [a] -> [[a]]
groupBy 2 [1,2,3,4,5,6] == [[1,2], [3,4], [5,6]]
groupBy 3 [1,2,3,4,5,6] == [[1,2,3], [4,5,6]]
```

There are a lot of functions on lists, but let's look at a few helpful ones.

There are a lot of functions on lists, but let's look at a few helpful ones.

Adding Commas

So, why functional programming?

Let's solve a problem.

I have an integer n.

I want to convert it to a string, and add commas every 3 digits.

Example

input: 3141598

output: "3,141,598

input: 1189998819991197253

input: "1,189,998,819,991,197,253"

Adding Commas in C?

Here's my algorithm:

- read the number into a string
- get the size of the string
- calculate the size of the string with commas
- allocate memory
- loop backwards over the string
 - move the character from the number string to the comma string
 - ullet if this is the 3^{rd} character, then add a comma
- return the string

Adding Commas in C

```
char* addCommas(int n)
{
    char numStr[50];
    sprintf(numStr, "%d", n);
    int numLen = strlen(numStr);

    int bufLen = numLen + numLen/3 - (numLen%3 == 0);
    char* commaStr = (char*)malloc((bufLen+1)*sizeof(char));
    ...
}
```

Adding Commas in C

```
char* addCommas(int n)
{
    int bufi = bufLen - 1;
    int addComma = 0;
    for(int i = numLen-1; i \ge 0; i--)
    ₹
        commaStr[bufi--] = numStr[i];
        addComma = (addComma + 1) % 3;
        if(i > 0 \&\& addComma == 0)
        ₹
            commaStr[bufi--] = ',';
    return commaStr;
```

Adding commas in Haskell

Ok, let's look at a functional approach.

Here's my algorithm:

- read the number into a string
- reverse the list
- group the numbers into chunks of 3
- put commas inbetween the groups of 3
- concatenate the numbers
- reverse the list again

Adding commas in Haskell

Summary: Why do we care?

So, why do we care about functional programming?

- when it's this easy to write and compose functions, it's much easier to split large projects up.
- If there's no side effects, then I never have to worry about someone else modifying global state.
- many of these functions can be run in parallel.
- It gives you a new way of looking at problems.

You may not use functional languages often, but learning them will change how you approach programming.