Critical Region Pair #1

```
static ssize t e2 read (struct file *filp, char user *buf, size t count, loff t *f pos) {
      struct e2_dev *devc = filp->private data;
      ssize t ret = 0;
      down_interruptible(&devc->sem1);
      if (devc->mode == MODE1) {
             up(&devc->sem1);
             if (*f pos + count > ramdisk size) {
                     printk("Trying to read past end of buffer!\n");
                     return ret;
             ret = count - copy_to_user(buf, devc->ramdisk, count);
case E2 IOCMODE2:
    printk(KERN_INFO "E2_IOCMODE2\n");
      down_interruptible(&(devc->sem1));
      if (devc->mode == MODE2) {
             up(&devc->sem1);
             break;
      if (devc->count1 > 1) {
             while (devc->count1 > 1) {
                    up(&devc->sem1);
                     wait event interruptible(devc->queue1, (devc->count1 == 1));
                     down_interruptible(&devc->sem1);
      devc->mode = MODE2;
      devc->count1--;
      devc->count2++:
      up(&devc->sem2);
      up(&devc->sem1);
      break:
```

Data accessed in critical region #1: devc->mode, devc->ramdisk

Locks held in critical region #1: devc->sem1

Data accessed in critical region #2: devc->mode, devc->count1, devc->count2++

Locks held in critical region #2: devc->sem1, potentially devc->sem2

Possibility of a race condition: Since devc->mode is blocked by sem1, there is no chance

for a data race.

Critical Region Pair #2

Highlighted section is critical region. d

```
static ssize_t e2_read (struct file *filp, char __user *buf, size_t count, loff_t *f_pos) {
    struct e2_dev *devc = filp->private_data;
    ssize_t ret = 0;
    down_interruptible(&devc->sem1);
    if (devc->mode == MODE1) {
        up(&devc->sem1);
        if (*f_pos + count > ramdisk_size) {
            printk("Trying to read past end of buffer!\n");
            return ret;
        }
        ret = count - copy_to_user(buf, devc->ramdisk, count);
    }
...
```

Since a file descriptor could be passed to a child process or to a different thread, it is possible that this segment of code could be run from two different processes/threads.

Data accessed in both critical regions: copy_to_user(...), (other data would be on stack)

Locks held in both critical regions: None

Possibility of a race condition: If two separate threads/processes called this

function, they could potentially both call copy_to_user(...) at the same time. However, since this is only returning data, and not altering the data in any way, both processes/threads would just receive the same data. Thus, there would be no data race.

Critical Region Pair #3

Highlighted section is critical region. d

Data accessed in both critical regions: devc->ramdisk

Locks held in both critical regions: None

Possibility of a race condition: If two separate threads/processes called this function, there would be a data race accessing ramdisk. It is possible for two separate threads/processes to both have access to the same open file in mode 1 since the file descriptor could be passed when the process is forked or passed to both threads. Thus, if copy_from_user executes first, it will overwrite data in the ramdisk, therefore altering what copy to user returns.

Critical Region Pair #4

return ret;
}
Same as above

Data accessed in both critical regions: devc->ramdisk, ramdisk_size

Locks held in both critical regions: sem1

Possibility of a race condition: If two separate threads/processes called this function, there would not be a data race accessing ramdisk. Because this critical section is blocked by sem1, it is impossible for there to be a data race to write to ramdisk. However, this is not applicable to the code for mode 1.