

# Distribution Theory and Applications — Example Sheet 1

Lucas Riedstra

4 November 2020

For both question 2, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $K, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $K$  is compact,  $V$  is closed, and  $K \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then there is a nonzero distance between  $K$  and  $V$ , i.e.,*

$$\inf_{x \in K, v \in V} \|x - v\| > 0.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $K \subseteq V^c$  and that  $V^c$  is open, so for every  $x \in K$  there exists an open ball  $B(x, \varepsilon_x)$  around  $x$  such that  $B(x, 2\varepsilon_x) \subseteq V^c$ . Since  $\{B(x, \varepsilon_x)\}$  is an open covering of  $K$ , there exist finitely many balls  $B(x_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, B(x_n, \varepsilon_n)$  that cover  $K$ . Let  $\varepsilon := \min\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$  and  $x \in K$ , then there is an  $x_i$  such that  $\|x - x_i\| < \varepsilon$ , and since  $B(x_i, 2\varepsilon) \subseteq B(x_i, 2\varepsilon_i) \subseteq V^c$  it is clear that  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V^c$  as well.

We conclude that  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V^c$  for any  $x \in K$ , and therefore that  $\inf_{x \in K, v \in V} \|x - v\| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ .  $\square$

**Question 2.** *Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , Taylor's theorem gives*

$$\varphi(x + h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) + R_N(x, h).$$

*Prove that  $\text{supp}(R_N)$  is contained in some fixed compact  $K \subseteq X$  for  $|h|$  sufficiently small. Show also that  $\partial^\alpha R_N = o(|h|^N)$  uniformly in  $x$  for each multi-index  $\alpha$ , i.e. prove*

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sup_x |\partial^\alpha R_N(x, h)|}{|h|^N} = 0$$

*for each multi-index  $\alpha$ .*

*Hint: you may find it convenient to use the following form of the remainder*

$$R_N(x, h) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^\alpha \varphi)(x + th) dt,$$

*and note that  $(N+1)! \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} = (h_1 + \dots + h_n)^{N+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $K = \text{supp } \varphi$ , then by lemma 1 we know there exists a nonzero distance  $d > 0$  between  $K$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ . We claim that if  $\|h\| \leq \frac{d}{2}$ , then

$$\text{supp}(R_N) \subseteq \left\{ x \in X \mid d(x, K) \leq \frac{d}{2} \right\} =: \hat{K},$$

which is clearly a compact set contained in  $X$ . Indeed, if  $\|h\| \leq \frac{d}{2}$  we have

$$\varphi(x + h) \neq 0 \implies x + h \in K \implies d(x, K) \leq \|h\| \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

By Taylor's theorem, we have

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) + R_N(x, h),$$

and since  $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)$  vanishes for  $x \notin K$ , it is clear that  $\text{supp}(R_N(\cdot, h))$  must be contained in  $\hat{K}$  (for  $\|h\| \leq \frac{d}{2}$ ).

Now let  $\beta$  be a multi-index and define  $C := \frac{1}{N!} \max_{|\alpha|=N+1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta})\varphi(x)|$  (note that  $C$  exists and is finite since all partial derivatives of  $\varphi$  have compact support), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\beta R_N(x, h)| &= \left| \partial^\beta \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^\alpha \varphi)(x+th) dt \right| \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi)(x+th) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^\alpha|}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi)(x+th)| dt \\ &\leq \left[ \max_{|\alpha|=N+1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta})\varphi(x)| \right] \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^\alpha|}{\alpha!} (N+1) \\ &= C(N+1)! \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^\alpha|}{\alpha!} = C(|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|)^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\star$  follows from differentiation under the integral sign since the integrand is bounded.

Since this upper bound does not depend on  $x$ , we also have

$$\sup_x |\partial^\beta R_N(x, h)| \leq C(|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|)^{N+1},$$

and we conclude that

$$\frac{\sup_x |\partial^\beta R_N(x, h)|}{\|h\|^N} \leq \frac{C(|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|)^{N+1}}{\|h\|^N} \leq \frac{CN^{N+1}\|h\|^{N+1}}{\|h\|^N} = CN^{N+1}\|h\| \rightarrow 0,$$

and therefore that  $\partial^\beta R_N(x, h) = o(\|h\|^n)$  for all multi-indices  $\beta$ . □

**Question 8.** Find the most general solution to the equations

(a)  $u' = 1,$

(b)  $xu' = \delta_0,$

(c)  $(e^{2\pi i x} - 1)u' = 0$

in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .

(a) It is clear that  $x' = 1$  in the distributional sense, since for any test function  $\varphi$  we have

$$\langle x', \varphi \rangle = -\langle x, \varphi' \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} x\varphi'(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx = \langle 1, \varphi \rangle.$$

Therefore, the equation  $u' = 1$  is equivalent to the equation  $(u - x)' = 0$ . We know that this implies that  $u - x = c$  for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , so the most general solution is  $u = x + c$ .

(b) If  $xu' = \delta_0$  then we have

$$\varphi(0) = \langle \delta_0, \varphi \rangle = \langle xu', \varphi \rangle = \langle u', x\varphi \rangle = \langle u, -(x\varphi)' \rangle = \langle u, -x\varphi' - \varphi \rangle$$

Note that the above is satisfied for  $u = -\delta_0 + c$  for any constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

I do not know if this is the most general solution and/or how one would show this.

(c) Since  $e^{2\pi ix} = 1 \iff x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it is clear that  $\text{supp}(u') \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . We will show that this is also sufficient, i.e., that any distribution  $u$  with  $\text{supp}(u') \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  yields a solution.

It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(u') \subseteq \mathbb{Z} &\iff u' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \delta_n \quad \text{for some } (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \\ &\iff u = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{x \geq n} \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{C}, (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if  $u = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{x \geq n}$  then

$$\langle u', \varphi \rangle = -\langle u, \varphi' \rangle \stackrel{\star}{=} -\alpha_n \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \text{supp } \varphi} \int_n^\infty \varphi'(x) dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \text{supp } \varphi} \alpha_n \varphi(n) = \langle \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \delta_n, \varphi \rangle,$$

where  $\star$  follows from the fact that there are only finitely many  $n$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \cap \text{supp } \varphi$  (since  $\varphi$  has compact support).

Finally, we compute that

$$\langle (e^{2\pi ix} - 1)u', \varphi \rangle = \langle u', (e^{2\pi ix} - 1)\varphi \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n (e^{2\pi in} - 1)\varphi(n) = 0,$$

so  $u$  satisfies the equation.