

# Distribution Theory — Example Sheet 2

Lucas Riedstra

18 November 2020

**Question 1.** Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , one of which has compact support. Show that the convolution  $u * v$ , defined as in your notes, is uniquely defined and gives rise to an element of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* The convolution between  $u, v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by the formula

$$(u * v) * \varphi = u * (v * \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1)$$

To show that this is uniquely defined, recall that for all  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$   $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = (u * \check{\varphi})(0)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\langle u * v, \varphi \rangle = ((u * v) * \check{\varphi})(0) = (u * (v * \check{\varphi}))(0),$$

which shows that the formula eq. (1) uniquely defines  $\langle u * v, \varphi \rangle$  for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and therefore that  $u * v$  is well-defined.

Now we prove that  $u * v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : by the previous equation we have

$$\langle u * v, \varphi \rangle = (u * (v * \check{\varphi}))(0) = \langle u, \widetilde{v * \check{\varphi}} \rangle.$$

Suppose  $u$  is compactly supported. Since  $\widetilde{v * \check{\varphi}} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exists a compact  $K \subseteq X$  and nonnegative  $C, N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle u, \widetilde{v * \check{\varphi}} \rangle \right| &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in K} \left| \partial^\alpha (\widetilde{v * \check{\varphi}}) \right| = C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in -K} |\partial^\alpha (v * \check{\varphi})| = C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in -K} |v * \partial^\alpha \check{\varphi}| \\ &= C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in -K} \left| \langle v, \tau_x \widetilde{\partial^\alpha \check{\varphi}} \rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq K'$ , then  $\text{supp } \check{\varphi} \subseteq -K'$ , and for  $x \in -K$  we find  $\text{supp } \tau_x \widetilde{\partial^\alpha \check{\varphi}} \subseteq -K' - K$ . Then by the previous equation we find that there exists  $C', M$  with

$$|\langle u * v, \varphi \rangle| \leq C' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sum_{|\beta| \leq M} \sup_{x \in -K' - K} \partial^\beta (\tau_x \widetilde{\partial^\alpha \check{\varphi}}) \leq C' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sum_{|\beta| \leq M} \sup_x |\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi| \leq C'' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M+N} \sup_x |\partial^\alpha \varphi|,$$

which shows that  $u * v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . An analogous argument holds if  $v$  is compactly supported. □

**Question 2.** Show that if  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and at least two of them have compact support, then the convolution is associative (i.e.,  $(u * v) * w = u * (v * w)$ ).

*Proof.* Note that the convolution between two compactly supported distributions is again compactly supported, which ensures that both expressions ‘make sense’. Now, let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then we have

$$((u * v) * w) * \varphi = (u * v) * (w * \varphi) = u * (v * (w * \varphi)) = u * ((v * w) * \varphi) = (u * (v * w)) * \varphi,$$

which proves the theorem. □

**Question 3.** Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small so that  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset I_\varepsilon = (-1/\varepsilon, 1/\varepsilon)$ . Given that  $\varphi$  has a uniformly convergent Fourier series on  $I_\varepsilon$  in the form

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{i\varepsilon\pi n x}, \quad c_n = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{-i\varepsilon\pi n x} dx,$$

prove the Fourier inversion theorem on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  by taking a suitable limit.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , we know that the Fourier inversion formula holds. We only need to show that the Fourier transform of  $\varphi$  is again an element of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . (??)  $\square$

**Question 4.** For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  prove that  $\sum_m \varphi(m) = \sum_n \hat{\varphi}(2\pi n)$ . This is the famous Poisson summation formula.

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_m \varphi(m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_m \int e^{i\lambda m} \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) d\lambda = \sum_m \int e^{2\pi i \lambda m} \hat{\varphi}(2\pi \lambda) d\lambda$$

(??)  $\square$

**Question 5.** If  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  show that  $D^\alpha u \in H^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $s > t$  show that  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Assuming  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2(s-|\alpha|)} \left| \widehat{D^\alpha u}(\lambda) \right|^2 d\lambda &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2(s-|\alpha|)} \|\lambda\|^{2|\alpha|} |\hat{u}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \lambda \rangle^{2s} |\hat{u}(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which proves  $D^\alpha u \in H^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The second claim follows immediately from the fact that  $\langle \lambda \rangle^t \leq \langle \lambda \rangle^s$  for  $s \geq t$  and  $\lambda$  sufficiently large.  $\square$

**Question 6.** Show that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and deduce that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Hint: Use Parseval's theorem.

*Proof.* We will show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : since  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , this shows that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as well.

**TODO:** Give proof.

Now, for  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , let  $(\varphi_m) \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2$ . Then we have

$$\|\varphi_m - u\|_{H^s}^2 = \int \langle \lambda \rangle^{2s} |(\varphi_m - u)(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda$$

$\square$

**Question 19.** Compute the Fourier transforms of the functions

- (a)  $\text{sign}(x)$ ;
- (b)  $\arctan(x)$ ;
- (c)  $x \log|x| - x$ ;
- (d)  $\exp(i\omega x^2)$

in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (a) We have for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\text{sign}}, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \text{sign}, \hat{\varphi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sign}(\lambda) \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sign}(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} \varphi(x) dx d\lambda \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sign}(\lambda) e^{-i\lambda x} d\lambda dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^R e^{-i\lambda x} d\lambda - \int_{-R}^0 e^{-i\lambda x} d\lambda \right) dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > \varepsilon} \varphi(x) \left( \frac{e^{ixR} + e^{-ixR}}{ix} - \frac{2}{ix} \right) dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x) \mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon}}{ix} \cdot e^{ixR} dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x) \mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon}}{ix} \cdot e^{-ixR} dx + 2i\text{P.V.}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim the first two terms go to 0: this is because the term in the integral is the Fourier transform of  $\frac{\varphi(x) \mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon}}{ix}$  evaluated at  $\pm R$ , and since the function is in  $L^1$ , its Fourier transform decays to 0 as  $|R| \rightarrow \infty$ .

We conclude  $\widehat{\text{sign}} = 2i\text{P.V.}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ .

- (b) We know that  $\arctan'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} =: f(x)$ , then we have  $\widehat{\arctan}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{i\lambda} \hat{f}(\lambda)$  (in the distributional sense).

We have, using Fubini and the fact that  $\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle$  is finite, that

$$\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\lambda)}{1+\lambda^2} d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{-i\lambda x}}{1+\lambda^2} d\lambda dx \stackrel{*}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \left( \pi e^{-|x|} \right) dx,$$

from which it follows that the Fourier transform of  $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$  is given by  $\pi e^{-|\lambda|}$ , and therefore the Fourier transform of  $\arctan$  is given by  $\frac{\pi}{i\lambda} e^{-|\lambda|}$ .

- (c) The derivative of this function, outside of 0, is  $\log|x|$ .
- (d) Clearly, if  $\omega = 0$ , the function is 1 and its Fourier transform is  $2\pi\delta_0$ , so assume  $\omega \neq 0$ . We have analogously to (b), with  $f(x) = \exp(i\omega x^2)$ , that

$$\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) e^{i\omega\lambda^2} d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R e^{i\omega\lambda^2 - i\lambda x} d\lambda dx.$$

Now, by completing the square we have

$$i(\omega\lambda^2 - x\lambda) = i\left(\sqrt{\omega}\lambda - \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\omega}}\right)^2 - \frac{ix^2}{4\omega},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R e^{i\omega\lambda^2 - i\lambda x} d\lambda &= e^{-ix^2/(4\omega)} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R e^{i(\sqrt{\omega}\lambda - \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\omega}})^2} d\lambda = \frac{e^{-ix^2/(4\omega)}}{\sqrt{\omega}} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R e^{i\lambda^2} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{e^{-ix^2/(4\omega)}}{\sqrt{\omega}} (1+i) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that the *Fresnel integral*  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix^2} dx$  is known.

Plugging this back into our original equation yields

$$\langle \hat{f}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \frac{e^{-ix^2/(4\omega)}}{\sqrt{\omega}} (1+i) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} dx,$$

which shows that

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = (1 + i)e^{-i\lambda^2/(4\omega)}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\omega}}.$$

in the distributional sense.

□