## Distribution Theory and Applications — Example Sheet 1

## Lucas Riedstra

## 4 November 2020

For both question 2, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** Let  $K, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  where K is compact, V is closed, and  $K \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then there is a nonzero distance between K and V, i.e.,

$$\inf_{x \in K, v \in V} ||x - v|| > 0.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $K \subseteq V^{\complement}$  and that  $V^{\complement}$  is open, so for every  $x \in K$  there exists an open ball  $B(x, \varepsilon_x)$  around x such that  $B(x, 2\varepsilon_x) \subseteq V^{\complement}$ . Since  $\{B(x, \varepsilon_x)\}$  is an open covering of K, there exist finitely many balls  $B(x_1, \varepsilon_1), \ldots, B(x_n, \varepsilon_n)$  that cover K. Let  $\varepsilon := \min \{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$  and  $x \in K$ , then there is an  $x_i$  such that  $||x - x_i|| < \varepsilon$ , and since  $B(x_i, 2\varepsilon) \subseteq B(x_i, 2\varepsilon_i) \subseteq V^{\complement}$  it is clear that  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V^{\complement}$  as well.

We conclude that  $B(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq V^{\complement}$  for any  $x \in K$ , and therefore that  $\inf_{x \in K, v \in V} ||x-v|| \ge \varepsilon > 0$ .  $\square$ 

**Question 2.** Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , Taylor's theorem gives

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x) + R_N(x,h).$$

Prove that supp $(R_N)$  is contained in some fixed compact  $K \subseteq X$  for |h| sufficiently small. Show also that  $\partial^{\alpha} R_N = o(|h|^N)$  uniformly in x for each multi-index  $\alpha$ , i.e. prove

$$\lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{\sup_{x} \left| \partial^{\alpha} R_{N}(x,h) \right|}{\left| h \right|^{N}} = 0$$

for each multi-index  $\alpha$ .

Hint: you may find it convenient to use the following form of the remainder

$$R_N(x,h) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^{\alpha} \varphi)(x+th) dt,$$

and note that  $(N+1)! \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = (h_1 + \dots + h_n)^{N+1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $K = \text{supp } \varphi$ , then by lemma 1 we know there exists a nonzero distance d > 0 between K and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ . We claim that if  $||h|| \leqslant \frac{d}{2}$ , then

$$\operatorname{supp}(R_N) \subseteq \left\{ x \in X \mid d(x, K) \leqslant \frac{d}{2} \right\} =: \hat{K},$$

which is clearly a compact set contained in X. Indeed, if  $||h|| \leq \frac{d}{2}$  we have

$$\varphi(x+h) \neq 0 \implies x+h \in K \implies d(x,K) \leqslant ||h|| \leqslant \frac{d}{2}.$$

By Taylor's theorem, we have

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x) + R_N(x,h),$$

and since  $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)$  vanishes for  $x \notin K$ , it is clear that  $\sup(R_N(\cdot, h))$  must be contained in  $\hat{K}$  (for  $||h|| \leq \frac{d}{3}$ ).

Now let  $\beta$  be a multi-index and define  $C := \frac{1}{N!} \max_{|\alpha|=N+1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta})\varphi(x)|$  (note that C exists and is finite since all partial derivatives of  $\varphi$  have compact support), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial^{\beta} R_{N}(x,h) \right| &= \left| \partial^{\beta} \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{N} (\partial^{\alpha} \varphi)(x+th) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &\stackrel{\star}{=} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{N} (\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi)(x+th) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^{\alpha}|}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{N} \left| \left( \partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi \right)(x+th) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leqslant \left[ \max_{|\alpha|=N+1, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \left( \partial^{\alpha+\beta} \right) \varphi(x) \right| \right] \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^{\alpha}|}{\alpha!} (N+1) \\ &= C(N+1)! \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^{\alpha}|}{\alpha!} = C(|h_{1}| + \dots + |h_{n}|)^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\star$  follows from differentiation under the integral sign since the integrand is bounded. Since this upper bound does not depend on x, we also have

$$\sup_{x} \left| \partial^{\beta} R_{N}(x,h) \right| \leqslant C(|h_{1}| + \dots + |h_{n}|)^{N+1},$$

and we conclude that

$$\frac{\sup_{x} \left| \partial^{\beta} R_{N}(x,h) \right|}{\left\| h \right\|^{N}} \leqslant \frac{C(|h_{1}| + \dots + |h_{n}|)^{N+1}}{\left\| h \right\|^{N}} \leqslant \frac{CN^{N+1} \left\| h \right\|^{N+1}}{\left\| h \right\|^{N}} = CN^{N+1} \left\| h \right\| \to 0,$$

and therefore that  $\partial^{\beta} R_N(x,h) = o(\|h\|^n)$  for all multi-indices  $\beta$ .

Question 4. Find the most general solution to the equations

- (a) u' = 1,
- (b)  $xu' = \delta_0$ ,
- (c)  $(e^{2\pi ix} 1)u' = 0$

in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

Solution. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .

(a) It is clear that x'=1 in the distributional sense, since for any test function  $\varphi$  we have

$$\langle x', \varphi \rangle = -\langle x, \varphi' \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} x \varphi'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \langle 1, \varphi \rangle.$$

Therefore, the equation u'=1 is equivalent to the equation (u-x)'=0. We know that this implies that u-x=c for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , so the most general solution is u=x+c.

(b) If  $xu' = \delta_0$  then we have

$$\varphi(0) = \langle \delta_0, \varphi \rangle = \langle xu', \varphi \rangle = \langle u', x\varphi \rangle = \langle u, -(x\varphi)' \rangle = \langle u, -x\varphi' - \varphi \rangle$$

Note that the above is satisfied for  $u = -\delta_0 + c$  for any constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

I do not know if this is the most general solution and/or how one would show this.

(c) Since  $e^{2\pi ix} = 1 \iff x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it is clear that  $\operatorname{supp}(u') \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . We will show that this is also sufficient, i.e., that any distribution u with  $\operatorname{supp}(u') \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  yields a solution.

It is easily seen that

$$\operatorname{supp}(u') \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \iff u' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \delta_n \quad \text{for some } (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$
$$\iff u = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{x \geqslant n} \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{C}, \ (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}.$$

Indeed, if  $u = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{x \ge n}$  then

$$\langle u', \varphi \rangle = -\langle u, \varphi' \rangle \stackrel{\star}{=} -\alpha_n \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \text{supp } \varphi} \int_n^{\infty} \varphi'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \text{supp } \varphi} \alpha_n \varphi(n) = \langle \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \delta_n, \varphi \rangle,$$

where  $\star$  follows from the fact that there are only finitely many n in  $\mathbb{Z} \cap \operatorname{supp} \varphi$  (since  $\varphi$  has compact support).

Finally, we compute that

$$\langle (e^{2\pi ix} - 1)u', \varphi \rangle = \langle u', (e^{2\pi ix} - 1)\varphi \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n (e^{2\pi in} - 1)\varphi(n) = 0,$$

so u satisfies the equation.