Topics — Example Sheet 2

Lucas Riedstra

22 November 2020

Question 2. For $\beta \in (0,1]$ and L>0, let $\mathcal{F}_2(\beta,L)$ denote the class of densities on \mathbb{R}^2 that satisfy

$$|f(x,y) - f(x_0,y_0)| \le L(|x - x_0|^{\beta} + |y - y_0|^{\beta})$$

for all $(x, y), (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let K be a non-negative kernel on \mathbb{R} with $\mu_{\beta}(K)$ and R(K) finite. Given i.i.d. pairs $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$, consider the kernel density estimator \hat{f}_n obtained using a product kernel, i.e.,

$$\hat{f}_n(x_0, y_0) := \frac{1}{nh^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x_0 - X_i}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{y_0 - Y_i}{h}\right).$$

Find a bound on MSE $\{\hat{f}_n(x_0, y_0)\}$ that holds uniformly for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(\beta, L)$ and $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. First we compute a variance bound following the proof of proposition 19: noting that $\hat{f}_n(x,y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(x-X_i) K_h(y-Y_i)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Var} \hat{f}_{n}(x,y) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}(K_{h}(x - X_{i})K_{h}(y - Y_{i})) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[K_{h}^{2}(x - X_{i})K_{h}^{2}(y - Y_{i})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{nh^{4}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K^{2}(\frac{x - w}{h})K^{2}(\frac{y - z}{h})f(w, z) \, dw \, dz$$

$$\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{nh^{2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} K^{2}(s)K^{2}(t) \, ds \, dt = \frac{\|f\|_{\infty} R(K)^{2}}{nh^{2}}.$$

Next, we compute a bias bound following the proof of proposition 22. Note that we have

Bias
$$\hat{f}_n(x,y) = \frac{1}{h^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(\frac{x-w}{h}) K(\frac{y-z}{h}) f(w,z) \, dw \, dz - f(x,y)$$

= $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(s) K(t) \{ f(x-sh, y-th) - f(x,y) \} \, ds \, dt$,

and taking absolute values gives

$$\begin{split} \left| \operatorname{Bias} \hat{f}_n(x,y) \right| &\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(s)K(t) |f(x-sh,y-th) - f(x,y)| \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq L \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(s)K(t) \Big(|sh|^\beta + |th|^\beta \Big) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= 2Lh^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t| K(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= 2Lh^\beta \mu_\beta(K) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = 2Lh^\beta \mu_\beta(K). \end{split}$$

We therefore have

$$MSE \hat{f}_n(x,y) \le \frac{1}{nh^2} ||f||_{\infty} R(K)^2 + 4L^2 \mu_{\beta}(K)^2 h^{2\beta}.$$

Completely analogous to the proof of theorem 23, we can show that $||f||_{\infty}$ is bounded uniformly over $\mathcal{F}_2(\beta, L)$, and the minimiser of MSE is of order $n^{-1/(2\beta+2)}$. Plugging this into the expression gives

$$\sup_{(x,y)} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \text{MSE } \hat{f}_n(x,y) \le C n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+2)},$$

П

for some C depending only on β, L, K .

Question 10. Let $n \geq 3$, let $a \leq x_1 < \cdots < x_n \leq b$, and let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove that the natural cubic spline interpolant to \mathbf{g} at x_1, \ldots, x_n is the unique minimiser of $R(\tilde{g}) = \int_a^b \tilde{g}''(x)^2 dx$ over all $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}_2[a, b]$ that interpolate \mathbf{g} at x_1, \ldots, x_n .

Hint: Let g be the natural cubic spline interpolant, $h := \tilde{g} - g$, and consider $\int_a^b g''(x)h''(x) dx$.

Solution. As in the hint we let g be the natural cubic spline interpolant, $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}_2[a,b]$, and $h := \tilde{g} - g$. We know that g is a cubic polynomial p_i on each interval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ (denote its leading coefficient by c_i), that g'' is continuous, and that g'' = 0 on $[a, x_1]$ and on $[x_n, b]$. Furthermore, we know that $h(x_i) = 0$ for all i. Using this, we can write

$$\int_{a}^{b} g''(x)h''(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} p_{i}''(x)h''(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\left[p_{i}''(x)h'(x) \right]_{x=x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} - \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} p_{i}'''(x)h'(x) dx \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} h'(x) dx$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_{i} (h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_{i})) = 0.$$

Now we find

$$R(g) = \int_{a}^{b} g''(x)^{2} dx = \int_{a}^{b} g''(x)(\tilde{g}''(x) - h''(x)) dx = \int_{a}^{b} g''(x)\tilde{g}''(x) \stackrel{\text{CS}}{\leq} \sqrt{R(g)}\sqrt{R(\tilde{g})},$$

with equality if and only if $g'' = \tilde{g}''$ (by Cauchy-Schwarz). Rearranging gives $\sqrt{R(g)} \le \sqrt{R(\tilde{g})} \implies R(g) \le R(\tilde{g})$. Since $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}_2[a,b]$ was arbitrary, we deduce that g is a minimiser of R over all function in \mathcal{S}_2 .

For uniqueness: we already know that any other minimiser $h \in \mathcal{S}_2$ must satisfy g'' = h'' a.e., so g - h is a polynomial of degree 1 a.e., and by continuity we know that g - h is a polynomial of degree 1. However, since g and h must agree on $n \geq 3$ points it follows that g = h.