

# Inverse Problems — Example Sheet 2

Lucas Riedstra

16 November 2020

**Question 1.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Banach space and  $J: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  a functional. We define the subdifferential of  $J$  at any  $v \in \mathcal{U}$  as

$$\partial J(v) := \{p \in \mathcal{U}^* \mid J(u) \geq J(v) + \langle p, u - v \rangle \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Characterise the subdifferential for the

(a) absolute value function:  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J(v) = |v|$ ,

(b)  $\ell^1$ -norm:  $\mathcal{U} = \ell^2$ ,

$$J(u) = \|u\|_{\ell^1} := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j| & \text{if } u \in \ell^1; \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(c) characteristic function of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}$ :  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J(u) = \chi_C(u)$ ,  $C := \{u \in \mathbb{R} : |u| \leq 1\}$ .

(d) Total Variation  $\text{TV}: L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded Lipschitz

$$\text{TV}(u) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}} \langle u, \nabla \cdot \varphi \rangle, \quad \mathcal{D} = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) : \|\varphi(x)\|_2 \leq 1 \ \forall x \in \Omega\}.$$

*Solution.* Note: the spaces  $\mathcal{U}$  in parts (a) to (c) are Hilbert spaces, which means we can identify  $\mathcal{U}^*$  with  $\mathcal{U}$  (since any functional in  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is of the form  $\langle u, \cdot \rangle$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ).

(a) Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . We know that  $|\cdot|$  is differentiable at  $v \neq 0$ , so

$$v > 0 \implies \partial J(v) = \{1\} \quad \text{and} \quad v < 0 \implies \partial J(v) = \{-1\}.$$

For  $v = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} p \in \partial J(v) &\iff |u| \geq p \cdot u \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\iff p \in [-1, 1], \end{aligned}$$

so  $\partial J(0) = [-1, 1]$ .

(b) Let  $v \in \ell^2$ . Firstly, if  $v \notin \ell^1 = \text{dom}(J)$ , then we have  $\partial J(v) = \emptyset$ . Assume now that  $v \in \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ . Then we have, for  $p \in \ell^2$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} p \in \partial J(v) &\iff \|u\|_{\ell^1} \geq \|v\|_{\ell^1} + \langle p, u - v \rangle && \text{for all } u \in \ell^2 \\ &\iff \|u\|_{\ell^1} - \|v\|_{\ell^1} - \langle p, u - v \rangle \geq 0 && \text{for all } u \in \ell^2 \\ &\iff \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j| - |v_j| - p_j(u_j - v_j) \geq 0 && \text{for all } u \in \ell^2 \quad (1) \\ &\stackrel{*}{\iff} |x| - |v_i| - p_i(x - v_i) \geq 0 && \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

We first prove the bi-implication  $\star$ . If (2) holds, it is clear that (1) holds. If (2) does not hold, then we can find  $x, i$  such that  $|x| - |v_i| - p_i(x - v_i) < 0$ . By now letting  $u = xe_i$  in (1) we find that (1) does not hold.

However, if we define  $H(x) := |x|$ , we see that eq. (2) is equivalent to  $p_i \in \partial H(v_i)$  for all  $i$ . Therefore, by (a) we have

$$\partial J(v) = \{p \in \ell^2 \mid p_i = \text{sign}(v_i) \text{ if } v_i \neq 0 \text{ and } p_i \in [-1, 1] \text{ for all } i\}.$$

From this it follows that

$$\partial J(v) \neq \emptyset \iff v \text{ has finitely many non-zero entries.}$$

- (c) Clearly, if  $|v| < 1$ , then  $\chi_C$  is differentiable with derivative 0 so  $\partial J(v) = \{0\}$ . If  $|v| > 1$ , then  $v \notin \text{dom}(J)$ , and therefore  $\partial J(v) = \emptyset$ .

Consider the point  $v = 1$ , then we have

$$p \in \partial J(\chi_C) \iff \chi_C(u) \geq p \cdot (u - 1) \quad \forall u.$$

For  $u > 1$ , this equation is satisfied regardless of  $p$ . Therefore, the above equation is equivalent to

$$p \cdot (u - 1) \leq 0 \quad \forall u \leq 1,$$

which is satisfied for all  $p \geq 0$ , so we conclude  $\partial J(1) = [0, \infty)$ . Analogously, we find  $\partial J(-1) = (-\infty, 0]$ . We conclude that

$$\partial J(v) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } |v| > 1; \\ (-\infty, 0] & \text{if } v = -1; \\ \{0\} & \text{if } v \in (-1, 1); \\ [0, \infty) & \text{if } v = 1. \end{cases}$$

- (d) Let  $f \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus \text{BV}(\Omega)$ , then clearly  $\partial \text{TV}(f) = \emptyset$ . Now suppose  $f \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$ . It is known that the dual of  $L^1(\Omega)$  is  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore, we have for  $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  that

$$p \in \partial \text{TV}(f) \iff \text{TV}(g) \geq \text{TV}(f) + \int_{\Omega} p(x)(g - f)(x) \, dx \quad \forall g \in L^1(\Omega)$$

I do not know how to continue from here.

**Question 2.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Banach space and let  $E: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be proper, lower semi-continuous and convex. Then the Fenchel conjugate or convex conjugate of  $E$  is defined to be the mapping  $E^*: \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$E^*(v) := \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{\langle v, u \rangle - E(u)\}.$$

- (a) Compute the convex conjugates of the following functionals.

(i)  $E(u) = \|u\|_{\mathcal{U}}$  for a Banach space  $\mathcal{U}$ ,

(ii)  $E(u \mid f) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \log\left(\frac{u_i}{f_i}\right)$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  is a positive vector and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . What is the effective domain of  $E$ ? (here we define  $\log(x) = -\infty$  for  $x < 0$ ).

(b) Let  $E: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a proper, lower semi-continuous and convex functional. Show that

$$p \in \partial E(u) \iff u \in \partial E^*(p)$$

for all  $u, p \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Hint: You may exploit the fact that under the stated assumptions  $E = E^{**}$  holds true.

*Solution.* (a) (i) We have

$$E^*(v) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (\langle v, u \rangle - \|u\|).$$

Suppose  $\langle v, u^* \rangle - \|u^*\| = \xi > 0$  for some  $u^*$ . Then we have for  $\alpha > 0$  that

$$\langle v, \alpha u^* \rangle - \|\alpha u^*\| = \alpha(\langle v, u^* \rangle - \|u^*\|) = \alpha\xi,$$

and therefore clearly  $E^*(v) = \infty$ .

On the other hand, if  $\langle v, u \rangle - \|u\| \leq 0$  for all  $u$ , then the supremum is attained in  $u = 0$  with value 0, and therefore  $E^*(v) = 0$ .

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, u^* \rangle - \|u^*\| &> 0 && \text{for some } u^* \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \iff \langle v, u^* \rangle &> \|u^*\| && \text{for some } u^* \in \mathcal{U}; \\ \iff \|v\|_{\mathcal{U}^*} &> 1. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$E^*(v) = \chi_{\{\|v\| \leq 1\}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \|v\| \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Suppose first that  $p \in \partial E(u)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p &\in \partial E(u) \\ \implies E(v) &\geq E(u) + \langle p, v - u \rangle && \text{for all } v \\ \implies \langle p, u \rangle - E(u) &\geq \langle p, v \rangle - E(v) && \text{for all } v \\ \implies \langle p, u \rangle - E(u) &\geq \sup_v (\langle p, v \rangle - E(v)) = E^*(p) \\ \implies \langle p, u \rangle - E(u) + \langle q - p, u \rangle &\geq E^*(p) + \langle q - p, u \rangle && \text{for all } q \\ \implies \langle q, u \rangle - E(u) &\geq E^*(p) + \langle q - p, u \rangle && \text{for all } q \\ \implies \sup_v (\langle q, v \rangle - E(v)) &\geq E^*(p) + \langle q - p, u \rangle && \text{for all } q \\ \implies E^*(q) &\geq E^*(p) + \langle q - p, u \rangle && \text{for all } q \\ \implies u &\in \partial E^*(p). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for the reverse implication, note that by what we just proved we have

$$u \in \partial E^*(p) \implies p \in \partial E^{**}(u) \iff p \in \partial E(u),$$

which proves the claim.

**Question 3.** Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $p \in \partial J(v)$ . Recall that the Bregman distance of  $J$  at  $u, v$  is defined as

$$D_J^p(u, v) := J(u) - J(v) - \langle p, u - v \rangle.$$

(a) Show that Bregman distances are non-negative.

(b) Show that Bregman distances may not be symmetric, i.e., there exists a  $J$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $p \in \partial J(v), q \in \partial J(u)$  such that  $D_J^p(u, v) \neq D_J^q(v, u)$ .

(c) Show that a vanishing Bregman distance may not imply that the two arguments are the same. What if  $J$  is strictly convex?

*Proof.* (a) Since  $p \in \partial J(v)$ , we have  $J(u) \geq J(v) + \langle p, u - v \rangle$ , or equivalently  $J(u) - J(v) - \langle p, u - v \rangle \geq 0$ .

(b) Let  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J(x) = |x|$ , and choose  $u = 0, v = 1$  and  $p = 1, q = 0$ . Then

$$D_J^p(u, v) = -1 - (-1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_J^q(v, u) = 1.$$

(c) In the previous part we had an example  $J(x) = |x|, u = 0, v = 1, p = 1$ , where  $D_J^p(u, v) = 0$  while  $u \neq v$ .

Suppose that  $J$  is strictly convex and that  $u \neq v$  but  $D_J^p(u, v) = 0$ , so  $J(u) = J(v) + \langle p, u - v \rangle$ . Then we have for all  $t \in (0, 1)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} J(v) + \langle p, (1-t)(u-v) \rangle &= J(v) + \langle p, (tv + (1-t)u) - v \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\star}{\leq} J(tv + (1-t)u) \\ &< tJ(v) + (1-t)J(u) \\ &= tJ(v) + (1-t)(J(v) + \langle p, u - v \rangle) \\ &= J(v) + \langle p, (1-t)(u-v) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction (here  $\star$  follows from  $p \in \partial J(v)$ ). We conclude that, if  $J$  is strictly convex, the Bregman distance does satisfy  $u \neq v \implies D_J^p(u, v) > 0$ . □

**Question 4.** Recall that a function  $J: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is called absolutely one-homogeneous if  $J(\lambda u) = |\lambda|J(u)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $J$  be convex, proper, l.s.c. and absolutely one-homogeneous.

(a) Show that  $p \in \partial J(v)$  if and only if  $p \in \partial J(0)$  and  $J(v) = \langle p, v \rangle$ . Therefore,

$$D_J^p(u, v) = J(u) - \langle p, u \rangle.$$

Show that

$$\partial J(0) = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \partial J(u).$$

(b) Show that the Bregman distances associated with absolutely one-homogeneous functionals fulfill the triangle inequality in the first argument, i.e., for all  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $p \in \partial J(w)$  there is

$$D_J^p(u + v, w) \leq D_J^p(u, w) + D_J^p(v, w).$$

(c) Show that the convex conjugate  $J^*(\cdot)$  is the characteristic function of the convex set  $\partial J(0)$ . Compare this to the results of Exercise 2(a)(i).

*Proof.* It is clear that  $J(0) = 0$ .

(a) Suppose  $p \in \partial J(v)$ . Then we have  $J(u) \geq J(v) + \langle p, u - v \rangle$  for all  $u$ , which we can rewrite as  $J(u) - \langle p, u \rangle \geq J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle$ . Plugging in  $u = 0$  we obtain  $J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle \leq 0$ , but plugging in  $u = 2v$  we obtain

$$2(J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle) = J(2v) - \langle p, 2v \rangle \geq J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle \implies J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle \geq 0,$$

so we conclude  $J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle = 0$  or  $J(v) = \langle p, v \rangle$ . This also implies that

$$J(u) \geq \langle p, u \rangle \text{ for all } u \implies p \in \partial J(0).$$

Conversely, if  $p \in \partial J(0)$  and  $J(v) = \langle p, v \rangle$ , then for all  $u$  we have

$$J(u) \geq \langle p, u \rangle + (J(v) - \langle p, v \rangle) \implies p \in \partial J(v).$$

This concludes the first claim.

From this claim, it follows that  $\partial J(u) \subseteq \partial J(0)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , and therefore trivially  $\partial J(0) = \bigcup_u \partial J(u)$ .

(b) Note that we have

$$J(u+v) = 2J\left(\frac{1}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v\right) \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2}J(u) + \frac{1}{2}J(v)\right) = J(u) + J(v),$$

and therefore

$$D_J^p(u+v, w) = J(u+v) - \langle p, u+v \rangle \leq J(u) + J(v) - \langle p, u \rangle - \langle p, v \rangle = D_J^p(u, w) + D_J^p(v, w).$$

(c) We can reason analogously to 2(a)(i): we have

$$J^*(v) = \sup_{u \in U} (\langle v, u \rangle - J(u)).$$

Suppose that  $v \notin \partial J(0)$ , i.e.,  $\langle v, u^* \rangle - J(u) = \xi > 0$  for some  $u^*$ . Then we have for all  $\lambda > 0$  that

$$\langle v, \lambda u^* \rangle - J(\lambda u^*) = \lambda \xi,$$

and letting  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  shows  $J^*(v) = \infty$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $v \in \partial J(0)$ , i.e.,  $\langle v, u \rangle - J(u) \leq 0$  for all  $u$ . Then the supremum is attained in  $u = 0$  and therefore we have  $J^*(v) = 0$ .

It follows that  $J^*(v) = \partial J(0)$ , which is indeed also what we saw in 2(a)(i), since the subdifferential of the norm at 0 is exactly  $\{v \in \mathcal{U}^* : \|v\|_{\mathcal{U}^*} \leq 1\}$ .

□