

# Distribution Theory and Applications — Example Sheet 1

Lucas Riedstra

...

**Question 1.** Construct a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  that vanishes outside  $(0, 1)$ . Construct a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  that vanishes outside the ball  $B_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < \varepsilon\}$ .

*Proof.* It is well-known that the function

$$\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0; \\ e^{-1/x} & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

is smooth and vanishes outside  $(0, \infty)$ . The function  $\psi(x) := \varphi(x)\varphi(1-x)$  is therefore also smooth and vanishes outside  $(0, 1)$ .

Since  $\psi$  vanishes outside  $(0, 1)$ , the function  $\psi(x/\varepsilon)$  vanishes outside  $(0, \varepsilon)$ , and therefore the function  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \psi(\|\mathbf{x}\|/\varepsilon)$  vanishes outside  $B_\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Question 2.** Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , Taylor's theorem gives

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) + R_N(x, h).$$

Prove that  $\text{supp}(R_N)$  is contained in some fixed compact  $K \subseteq X$  for  $|h|$  sufficiently small. Show also that  $\partial^\alpha R_N = o(|h|^N)$  uniformly in  $x$  for each multi-index  $\alpha$ , i.e. prove

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sup_x |\partial^\alpha R_N(x, h)|}{|h|^N} = 0$$

for each multi-index  $\alpha$ .

Hint: you may find it convenient to use the following form of the remainder

$$R_N(x, h) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^\alpha \varphi)(x+th) dt,$$

and note that  $(N+1)! \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} = (h_1 + \dots + h_n)^{N+1}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we know that  $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq \overline{B_N}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, suppose  $\|h\| < 1$ , then

$$\varphi(x+h) \neq 0 \implies \|x+h\| \leq N \implies \|x\| \leq \|x+h\| + \|h\| \leq N+1,$$

so if we define  $\psi_h(x) = \varphi(x+h)$  then we know that  $\text{supp } \psi_h \subseteq \overline{B_{N+1}}$ .

By Taylor's theorem, we have

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) + R_N(x, h),$$

and since  $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)$  vanishes for  $x \notin \overline{B_N}$ , it is clear that  $\text{supp}(R_N(\cdot, h))$  must also be contained in  $\overline{B_{N+1}}$  (again, for  $\|h\| \leq 1$ ). This shows that  $\text{supp}(R_N)$  is contained in  $\overline{B_{N+1}}$  for  $|h|$  sufficiently small.

Now let  $\beta$  be a multi-index and define  $C := \frac{1}{N!} \max_{|\alpha|=N+1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta})\varphi(x)|$  (note that  $C$  exists and is finite since all partial derivatives of  $\varphi$  have compact support), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\beta R_N(x, h)| &= \left| \partial^\beta \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^\alpha \varphi)(x+th) dt \right| \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N (\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi)(x+th) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^\alpha|}{\alpha!} (N+1) \int_0^1 (1-t)^N |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi)(x+th)| dt \\ &\leq \left[ \max_{|\alpha|=N+1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial^{\alpha+\beta})\varphi(x)| \right] \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^\alpha|}{\alpha!} (N+1) \\ &\leq C(N+1)! \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{|h^\alpha|}{\alpha!} = C(|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|)^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this upper bound does not depend on  $x$ , we also have

$$\sup_x |\partial^\beta R_N(x, h)| \leq C(|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|)^{N+1},$$

and we conclude that

$$\frac{\sup_x |\partial^\beta R_N(x, h)|}{\|h\|^N} \leq \frac{C(|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|)^{N+1}}{\|h\|^N} \leq \frac{CN^{N+1} \|h\|^{N+1}}{\|h\|^N} = CN^{N+1} \|h\| \rightarrow 0,$$

and therefore that  $\partial^\beta R_N(x, h) = o(\|h\|^n)$  for all multi-indices  $\beta$ . □

**Question 3.** Which elements of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  can be represented as a power series on  $X$ ?

*Solution.* It is known that if two power series agree on an open set, they agree on the entire space. Since every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  is identically zero on some open set (outside its support), the only element of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  with a power series representation is the zero function.

**Question 4.** Prove the  $C^\infty$  Urysohn lemma: if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , show that one can find a  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  and  $\varphi = 1$  on a neighborhood of  $K$ .

*Solution.* Let  $K \subseteq U_1$ . Define  $U_2 := U_1 + B(0, 1)$  and let  $\chi = \mathbb{1}_{U_2}$ . Now let  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi dx = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq B(0, 1)$ . Then we compute

$$(\chi * \psi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(y) \psi(x-y) dy = \int_{U_2} \psi(x-y) dy.$$

Clearly,  $\chi * \psi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , and furthermore, we have for  $x \in U_1$  that

$$\int_{U_2} \psi(x-y) dy = \int_{U_2-x} \psi(z) dz \stackrel{*}{=} 1,$$

since  $B(0, 1) \subseteq U_2 - x$ . This proves the claim.

**Question 5.** Given  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ , the derivative  $\partial^\alpha T$  is defined by

$$\langle \partial^\alpha T, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle T, \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X).$$

Show that  $\partial^\alpha T \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ . If  $\text{ord}(T) = m$  what can you say about  $\text{ord}(\partial^\alpha T)$ ?

*Proof.* Let  $K \subseteq X$  be compact and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Since  $T$  is a distribution, we know that there exists constants  $C, N$  such that

$$|\langle T, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \sup |\partial^\beta \varphi|.$$

Letting  $M := |\alpha|$ , we find

$$|\langle \partial^\alpha T, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle T, \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \sup |\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \varphi| \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq M+N} \sup |\partial^\beta \varphi|.$$

We conclude that  $\partial^\alpha T$  is a distribution, and that if  $\text{ord}(T) = m$ ,  $\text{ord}(\partial^\alpha T) \leq m + |\alpha|$ .  $\square$

**Question 6.** Given  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(X)$ , prove that for each multi-index  $\alpha$

$$\partial^\alpha(Tf) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f \partial^{\alpha-\beta} T$$

in  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , then by definition we have  $\langle \partial^\alpha(Tf), \varphi \rangle = \langle T, (-1)^{|\alpha|} f \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle$ . Approximate  $T$  by a sequence  $(\psi_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(X)$ , then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, (-1)^{|\alpha|} f \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi_n, (-1)^{|\alpha|} f \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_X \psi_n(x) f(x) \partial^\alpha \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \partial^\alpha (\psi_n(x) f(x)) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \left( \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f(x) \cdot \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \psi_n(x) \right) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \langle \partial^\beta f \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \psi_n, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (-1)^{|\alpha-\beta|} \langle \psi_n, \partial^\beta f \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \varphi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (-1)^{|\alpha-\beta|} \langle T, \partial^\beta f \partial^{\alpha-\beta} \varphi \rangle = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \langle \partial^\beta f \partial^{\alpha-\beta} T, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \partial^\beta f \partial^{\alpha-\beta} T, \varphi \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Question 7.** Let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence in  $X$  with no limit point in  $X$ . Consider the family of linear maps  $u_\alpha: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\langle u_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x_k)$$

for each multi-index  $\alpha$ . For what  $\alpha$  is  $u_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ ? What is  $\text{ord}(u_\alpha)$ ?

*Solution.* Let  $K \subseteq X$  be compact. Since  $(x_k)$  does not have a limit point, only finitely many of the  $x_k$  lie in  $K$  (otherwise  $(x_k)$  would have a subsequence contained in  $K$  which would have a convergent subsequence). Without loss of generality, assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ , and  $x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots \notin K$ . Now, for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq K$  we find

$$|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \partial^\alpha \varphi(x_k) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \partial^\alpha \varphi(x_k) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x_k)| \leq n \cdot \sup |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq n \cdot \sum_{|\beta| \leq |\alpha|} \sup |\partial^\beta \varphi|.$$

This shows that  $u_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  for any  $\alpha$ , with  $\text{ord}(u_\alpha) \leq |\alpha|$ . We claim that this is an equality, i.e.,  $\text{ord}(u_\alpha) = |\alpha|$ . **TODO:** How to show??

**Question 8.** Find the most general solution to the equations

(a)  $u' = 1$ ,

(b)  $xu' = \delta_0$ ,

(c)  $(e^{2\pi i x} - 1)u' = 0$

in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .

(a) If  $u' = 1$  then we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx = \langle 1, \varphi \rangle = \langle u', \varphi \rangle = -\langle u, \varphi' \rangle,$$

so for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we find by partial integration  $\langle u, \varphi' \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x + c)\varphi'(x) dx$ . From this we deduce that  $u = x + c$  for some  $c$ .

(b) If  $xu' = \delta_0$  then we have

$$\varphi(0) = \langle \delta_0, \varphi \rangle = \langle xu', \varphi \rangle = \langle u', x\varphi \rangle = \langle u, -(x\varphi)' \rangle = \langle u, -x\varphi' - \varphi \rangle$$

Note that the above is satisfied for  $u = -\delta_0 + c$  for any constant  $c$ . **TODO:** is this the most general solution?

(c) Since  $e^{2\pi i x} = 1 \iff x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , intuitively it must be the case that  $u'$  is 0, except “on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ”, whatever that may mean. Therefore, we guess that, for any sequence  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the map

$$u = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \mathbb{1}_{x \geq n}.$$

We compute the derivative of  $u$ . It is easily seen that  $u' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \delta_n$  (the infiniteness of the sum does not pose a problem since the test functions are compactly supported, so  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle$  will always be a finite sum). From this, we see that

$$\langle (e^{2\pi i x} - 1)u', \varphi \rangle = \langle u', (e^{2\pi i x} - 1)\varphi \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n (e^{2\pi i n} - 1)\varphi(n) = 0,$$

so  $u$  satisfies the equation. **TODO:** Why is this the most general solution? Intuitively clear, but how to make this rigorous?

**Question 9.** Define the distribution  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by the locally integrable function  $u(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_{x \geq y}$ . Show that  $\partial_x^2 u - \partial_y^2 u = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Can you give a physical interpretation of this result?

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_x^2 u - \partial_y^2 u, f \rangle &= \langle \partial_x^2 u, f \rangle - \langle \partial_y^2 u, f \rangle = \langle u, \partial_x^2 f \rangle - \langle u, \partial_y^2 f \rangle = \langle u, \partial_x^2 f - \partial_y^2 f \rangle \\
&\stackrel{*}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} \partial_x^2 f(x, y) \, dx \, dy - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^x \partial_y^2 f(x, y) \, dy \, dx \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x f(y, y) \, dy - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_y f(x, x) \, dx \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\partial_x f + \partial_y f)(x, x) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\star$  follows from Fubini's theorem. Define  $g(x) = f(x, x)$ , then it is easily seen that  $g'(x) = \partial_x f(x, x) + \partial_y f(x, x)$ , so we find that

$$\langle \partial_x^2 u - \partial_y^2 u, f \rangle = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(x) \, dx = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g(x) - \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0 - 0 = 0.$$

□

This shows that  $\partial_x u - \partial_y u = 0$ , or equivalently, that  $u$  satisfies the wave equation.