# Distribution Theory and Applications — Summary

## Lucas Riedstra

## November 2, 2020

## Contents

| 1 |                                    | tributions  | 2 |  |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
|   | 1.1                                | Test functions and distributions  | 2 |  |
|   | 1.2                                | Limits in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$   | 3 |  |
|   | 1.3                                | Basic operations  | 4 |  |
|   |                                    | 1.3.1 Differentiation and multiplication by smooth functions                      | 4 |  |
|   |                                    | 1.3.2 Reflection and translation  |   |  |
|   |                                    | 1.3.3 Convolution   |   |  |
|   | 1.4                                | Density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$            | 6 |  |
| 2 | Distributions with compact support |   |   |  |
|   | 2.1                                | Test functions and distributions  | 8 |  |
|   | 2.2                                | Convolution between $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ | 6 |  |
| 3 | Ten                                | apered distributions and Fourier analysis   | 9 |  |
|   | 3.1                                | Functions of rapid decay  | 9 |  |
|   |                                    | The Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$                              |   |  |

## 1 Distributions

### 1.1 Test functions and distributions

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open, then we define the set of test functions on X as

$$\mathcal{D}(X) \coloneqq C_0^{\infty}(X) = \{f \colon X \to \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is smooth with compact support}\}.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(\varphi_m) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X)$ . We say that  $(\varphi_m) \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  if

- 1. there exists a compact  $K \subseteq X$  such that supp  $\varphi_m \subseteq K$  for all m;
- 2.  $\partial^{\alpha} \varphi_m \to 0$  uniformly for each multi-index  $\alpha$ .

Note that, for any  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  and any multi-index  $\alpha$  we have

$$\int_X \varphi \cdot \partial^\alpha \psi \, \mathrm{d}x = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_X \psi \cdot \partial^\alpha \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \,,$$

which follows from partial integration and the fact that all boundary terms vanish since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  have compact support.

Also, by Taylor's theorem, for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $x, h \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\varphi(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x) + R_N(x,h) \quad \text{where } R_N(x,h) = o(|h|^N) \text{ uniformly in } x.$$

**Definition 1.3.** A distribution on X is a linear map  $u: \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  if for every compact set  $K \subseteq X$  there exist constants C, N such that for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with supp  $\varphi \subseteq K$  we have

$$|u(\varphi)| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \sup |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi|.$$
 (1)

Condition 1 is called the *seminorm condition*. If, in the seminorm condition, the same N can be used for every compact set  $K \subseteq X$ , then the least such N is called the *order* of u, written  $\operatorname{ord}(u)$ .

The set of all distributions in X is denoted  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ .

If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , then instead of  $u(\varphi)$  we usually write  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle$ .

**Recap 1.4.** A function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is called *locally integrable* if  $\int_K |f| dx < \infty$  for all compact  $K \subseteq X$ .

The set of locally integrable functions on X is denoted  $L^1_{loc}(X)$ .

**Example 1.5.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $f_{\alpha} \in L^1_{loc}(X)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq M$ . Define the linear map  $T : \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \int_X f_\alpha \cdot \partial^\alpha \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

It is trivial that T is linear, and we verify that T is a distribution as follows: take  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq K$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \int_{K} |f_{\alpha}| \cdot |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi| \cdot \int_{K} |f_{\alpha}| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \left( \max_{\alpha} \int_{K} |f_{\alpha}| \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the seminorm condition is satisfied with N=M. From this, it also follows that  $\operatorname{ord}(T)\leqslant M$ .

A special case of the previous example is the case M=0: in this case the distribution simply becomes

$$\langle \tau_f, \varphi \rangle = \int_X f \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \,.$$

Henceforth we will abuse notation: if  $f \in L^1_{loc}(X)$ , then we will write f instead of  $\tau_f$ , i.e.,  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_X f \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x$ .

**Lemma 1.6** (Sequential continuity). Let  $u: \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a linear map. Then u is a distribution if and only if, for every sequence  $(\varphi_m) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $\varphi_m \to 0$  as in definition 1.2, we have  $\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle \to 0$ .

*Proof.* ' $\Longrightarrow$ ' If u is a distribution and  $(\varphi_m) \to 0$ , then  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_m \subseteq K$  for some compact K, and by eq. (1) there exist C, N such that

$$|\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle| \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \sup |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi_m| \to 0.$$

' $\iff$ ' Suppose there is a compact set K such that eq. (1) is not valid for any C, N. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and C = N = m, then there is some  $\varphi_m$  with  $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_m) \subseteq K$ , and

$$|\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle| > m \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \sup |\hat{\sigma}^{\alpha} \varphi_m|.$$

By dividing  $\varphi_m$  by  $\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle \neq 0$ , we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle = 1$ . We now have a sequence  $(\varphi_m)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{m} > \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \sup |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi_m| \implies |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi_m| < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \le m \implies \partial^{\alpha} \varphi_m \to 0 \text{ uniformly for all } \alpha.$$

Since each  $\varphi_m$  also satisfies supp  $\varphi_m \subseteq K$ , by definition 1.2 we have that  $\varphi_m \to 0$ , but also  $\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle \to 1$ , a contradiction.

## 1.2 Limits in $\mathcal{D}'(X)$

**Definition 1.7.** We say that a sequence  $(u_m) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(X)$  converges to  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and write  $u_m \to u$  if

$$\langle u_m, \varphi \rangle \to \langle u, \varphi \rangle$$
 for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .

The following theorem is non-examinable but interesting:

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $(u_m)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$  such that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \langle u_m, \varphi \rangle$  exists for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Then the map  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle \coloneqq \lim_{m\to\infty} \langle u_m, \varphi \rangle$  is a distribution in X.

*Proof.* This is a direct application of the uniform boundedness principle.

**Example 1.9.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and consider the sequence of functions  $u_m \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $u_m(x) = \sin(mx)$ . Then, for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\langle u_m, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(mx)\varphi(x) dx = \frac{1}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(mx)\varphi'(x) dx \le \frac{1}{m} \int |\varphi'(x)| dx \to 0.$$

Therefore, it holds that  $u_m \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ . With our abuse of notation we write this as  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \sin(mx) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### 1.3 Basic operations

#### Differentiation and multiplication by smooth functions

For  $u \in C^{\infty}(X)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we have noted that

$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} u, \varphi \rangle = \int_{X} \partial^{\alpha} u \cdot \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{X} u \cdot \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x = \langle u, (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \rangle.$$

Since the RHS makes sense for any distribution u, we define

**Definition 1.10.** For  $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ , we define  $\partial^{\alpha}(fu)$  by

$$\langle \partial^{\alpha}(fu), \varphi \rangle := \langle u, (-1)^{|\alpha|} f \cdot \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \rangle$$

Remark. This definition outlines a more general pattern when working with distributions: first we take some well-defined operator on the collection of smooth maps, then we rewrite it to a form that is sensible for any distribution, and then we define that new form as the operator on distributions. This process is called extending the definition by duality.

**Example 1.11.** Let  $u = \delta_x$ , then we have

$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} \delta_x, \varphi \rangle = \langle \delta_x, (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)$$

Furthermore, consider the Heaviside function  $H(x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \ge 0}$ . We have

$$\langle H', \varphi \rangle = -\langle H, \varphi' \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x) \varphi'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \varphi(0) = \langle \delta_{0}, \varphi \rangle,$$

so we write  $H' = \delta_0$  in the distributional sense.

**Lemma 1.12.** Suppose  $u' \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies u' = 0. Then u is constant (i.e.,  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \langle c, \varphi \rangle = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x$ for some c).

*Proof.* Fix any  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\langle 1, \vartheta \rangle = 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  and define

$$\varphi_A := \varphi - \langle 1, \varphi \rangle \vartheta$$
,  $\varphi_B := \langle 1, \varphi \rangle \vartheta$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi_A + \varphi_B$ .

Note that  $\langle 1, \varphi_A \rangle = \langle 1, \varphi \rangle - \langle 1, \varphi \rangle \langle 1, \vartheta \rangle = 0$ . We claim that the function  $\Phi_A(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi_A(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$  has compact support: since  $\sup \varphi_A \subseteq [a, b]$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , clearly  $\Phi_A(x) = 0$  for x < a, while for x > b we have  $\Phi_A(x) = \langle 1, \varphi_A \rangle = 0$ . Obviously, it holds that  $\Phi'_A = \varphi_a$ . Now we compute

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, \varphi_A \rangle + \langle u, \varphi_B \rangle = \langle u, \Phi_A' \rangle + \langle 1, \varphi \rangle \langle u, \vartheta \rangle = -\langle u', \Phi_A \rangle + c\langle 1, \varphi \rangle = \langle c, \varphi \rangle.$$

Since  $\varphi$  was chosen arbitrarily this shows that u is constant.

#### Reflection and translation

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define the translation of  $\varphi$  by h by

$$(\tau_h \varphi)(x) := \varphi(x - h),$$

and the reflection of  $\varphi$  by  $\check{\varphi}(x) := \varphi(-x)$ .

Extending the definitions of translation and reflection by duality yields the following:

**Definition 1.13.** For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define

$$\langle \tau_h u, \varphi \rangle \coloneqq \langle u, \tau_{-h} \varphi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \widecheck{u}, \varphi \rangle \coloneqq \langle u, \widecheck{\varphi} \rangle.$$

**Lemma 1.14.** For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define  $V_h \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $0 \neq h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$V_h \coloneqq \frac{\tau_{-h} u - u}{\|h\|}$$

If  $(h_j) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a sequence for which  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{h_j}{\|h_j\|} = m \in S^{n-1}$ , then  $V_{h_j} \to \sum_i m_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* By definition, we can write  $\langle V_h, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\|h\|} \langle u, \tau_h \varphi - \varphi \rangle$ . Now Taylor's theorem tells us that

$$(\tau_h \varphi - \varphi)(x) = \varphi(x - h) - \varphi(x) = -\sum_i h_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} + R(x, h),$$

where  $R(x,h) = o(\|h\|)$  in  $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (exercise sheet 1, question 2).

By sequential continuity, we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \langle V_{h_j}, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, -\sum_i m_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \rangle = \langle \sum_i m_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \varphi \rangle,$$

which shows that  $V_{h_j} \to \sum_i m_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

#### 1.3.3 Convolution

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , note that  $(\tau_x \check{\varphi})(y) = \check{\varphi}(y - x) = \varphi(x - y)$ .

**Definition 1.15.** For  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we define the convolution  $u * \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  as

$$(u * \varphi)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x - y)\varphi(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y)\varphi(x - y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \langle u, \tau_x \widecheck{\varphi} \rangle.$$

Since the RHS makes sense for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we extend the definition this way: for  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we define the convolution  $u * \varphi$  as

$$(u * \varphi)(x) := \langle u, \tau_x \check{\varphi} \rangle.$$

**Lemma 1.16.** Let  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$  and define  $\Phi_x(y) := \varphi(x,y)$ . Suppose for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a neighbourhood N(x) and a compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\varphi(x,y)$  for all  $x \in N(x), y \notin K$ .

Then  $x \mapsto \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle$  is differentiable with

$$\partial_x^{\alpha} \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle = \langle u, \partial_x^{\alpha} \Phi_x \rangle$$

for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$ 

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then by Taylor's formula we have

$$\Phi_{x+h}(y) = \Phi_x(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} + R(x, y, h),$$

where  $\partial_y^{\alpha} R(x,y,h) = o(\|h\|)$ , uniformly in y, for any multi-index  $\alpha$ . Furthermore, by assumption there exists a compact K such that for h small enough, supp  $R(x,\cdot,h) \subseteq K$ . Therefore,  $R(x,\cdot,h)$  is a test function for h small enough.

Combining the previous two facts shows that  $R(x,\cdot,h) = o(\|h\|)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  as  $h \to 0$ .

Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , then we find by sequential continuity that  $\langle u, R(x, \cdot, h) \rangle$  is also  $o(\|h\|)$ , and therefore

$$\langle u, \Phi_{x+h} \rangle = \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \langle u, \frac{\partial \Phi_x}{\partial x_i} \rangle + o(\|h\|).$$

This shows that  $x \mapsto \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle$  is differentiable with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle u, \Phi_x \rangle = \langle u, \frac{\partial \Phi_x}{\partial x_i} \rangle.$$

From this the result follows.

Corollary 1.17. If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $u * \varphi$  is differentiable with  $\partial^{\alpha}(u * \varphi) = u * \partial^{\alpha}\varphi$ .

*Proof.* Apply the previous lemma with  $\Phi_x(y) := \varphi(x-y)$ .

Due to the previous corollary, we often call  $u * \varphi$  a regularisation of u.

Convention. If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , then instead of  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle$  we also write  $\langle u(t), \varphi(t) \rangle$  (or with any other dummy variable) when the variable used for  $\varphi$  is not directly clear.

## 1.4 Density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

**Lemma 1.18.** If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$(u * \varphi) * \psi = u * (\varphi * \psi).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Now we write

$$((u * \varphi) * \psi)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle u(z), (\tau_y \check{\varphi})(z) \rangle \cdot \psi(x - y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle u(z), (\tau_{x-y} \check{\varphi})(z) \rangle \cdot \psi(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle u(z), \psi(y)(\tau_{x-y} \check{\varphi})(z) \rangle \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

We would like to interchange integral and application of u, and we will have to justify this using Riemann sums:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \langle u(z), \psi(y)(\tau_{x-y}\check{\varphi})(z) \rangle \, \mathrm{d}y = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \langle u(z), \psi(\varepsilon m)\varphi(x-z-\varepsilon m) \rangle \varepsilon^{n}$$

$$\stackrel{*}{=} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \langle u(z), \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \psi(\varepsilon m)\varphi(x-z-\varepsilon m)\varepsilon^{n} \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{**}{=} \left\langle u(z), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi(y)\varphi(x-z-y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle u(z), (\varphi * \psi)(x-z) \rangle = \langle u(z), (\tau_{x}\varphi * \psi)(z) \rangle = (u * (\varphi * \psi))(x).$$

Here, \* is by the fact that the sum is finite since  $\psi$  has compact support, while \*\* is by sequential continuity of u and the fact that the Riemann sum converges to the convolution integral in the space of test functions (non-examinable fact).

We will use the following trick many times:

**Proposition 1.19.** For any  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = (u * \check{\varphi})(0)$ .

*Proof.* We have 
$$(u * \check{\varphi})(0) = \langle u, \tau_0 \varphi \rangle = \langle u, \varphi \rangle$$
.

For example, from this trick it follows that if  $u * \varphi = 0$  for all  $\varphi$ , then u = 0.

**Theorem 1.20.** If  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_k) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\varphi_k \to u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Proof. Fix  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$ , and set  $\psi_k(x) := k^n \psi(kx)$ . Note that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_k \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$ . Now, fix any  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $\{\|x\| < 1\}$  and  $\chi \equiv 0$  on  $\{\|x\| < 2\}$ . Define  $\chi_k(x) := \chi(x/k)$ , so that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \chi_k(x) = 1$  for all x. We will set

$$\varphi_k(x) := \chi_k(x)(u * \psi_k)(x).$$

Clearly we have  $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  since each  $\chi_k$  has compact support.

Now, take any  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then we have

$$\langle \varphi_k, \vartheta \rangle = \langle \chi_k(u * \psi_k), \vartheta \rangle = \langle u * \psi_k, \chi_k \vartheta \rangle = \left[ (u * \psi_k) * \widecheck{\chi_k \vartheta} \right] (0)$$
$$= \left[ u * \left( \psi_k * \widecheck{\chi_k \vartheta} \right) \right] (0).$$

Now we compute  $\psi_k * \widetilde{\chi_k \vartheta}$ : note that

$$(\psi_k * \widetilde{\chi_k \vartheta})(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k^n \psi(k(x-y)) \chi\left(-\frac{y}{k}\right) \vartheta(-y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(y) \chi\left(\frac{y}{k^2} - \frac{x}{k}\right) \vartheta(\frac{y}{k} - x) \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \vartheta(-x) + R_k(-x) = (\vartheta + R_k)(x)$$

where 
$$R_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(y) \left[ \chi \left( \frac{y}{k^2} + \frac{x}{k} \right) \vartheta \left( \frac{y}{k} + x \right) - \vartheta(x) \right] dy$$
.  
So  $\langle \varphi_k, \vartheta \rangle = (u * (\vartheta + R_k))(0) = (u * \check{\vartheta})(0) + (u * \check{R}_k)(0) = \langle u, \vartheta \rangle + \langle u, R_k \rangle$ .

We must now only prove that  $R_k \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and then by sequential continuity it follows that  $\varphi_k \to u$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 2 Distributions with compact support

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $Y \subseteq X$  be open and  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ . We say that u vanishes on Y if  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** For  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ , we define the *support* of u as

$$\operatorname{supp} u := X \setminus \bigcup \{Y \subseteq X \mid Y \text{ open}, u \text{ vanishes on } Y\}.$$

For example, the support of  $\delta_x$  is simply  $\{x\}$ .

#### 2.1 Test functions and distributions

We will now consider a bigger space of test functions, which yields a smaller space of distributions.

**Definition 2.3.** We define  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  as the space of smooth functions  $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{C}$ . We say that a sequence  $(\varphi_m) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(X)$  converges to 0 if  $\partial^{\alpha} \varphi \to 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of X for every multi-index  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.4.** We define  $\mathcal{E}'(X)$  as the space of linear maps  $u \colon \mathcal{E}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  for which there exists a compact  $K \subseteq X$  and nonnegative constants C, N such that

$$|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| \leqslant C \sum_{\alpha \leqslant N} \sup_{K} |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi| \tag{2}$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (Sequential continuity). Let  $u: \mathcal{E}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a linear map. Then  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$  if and only if, for every sequence  $(\varphi_m) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(X)$  with  $\varphi_m \to 0$ , we have  $\langle u, \varphi_m \rangle \to 0$ .

Proof. 
$$\overline{\text{TODO}}$$
:

**Lemma 2.6.** If  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ , then  $u \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}(X)}$  defines an element of  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$  with compact support and finite order

Conversely, for each  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  with compact support there exists a unique extension  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$  with  $\operatorname{supp}(\tilde{u}) = \operatorname{supp}(u)$  and  $\tilde{u} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}(X)} = u$ .

Proof. Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$ , so that there exists a compact  $K \subseteq X$  with  $|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| \leqslant C \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant N} \sup_K |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi|$ . Now, for any compact  $K' \subseteq X$  and any  $\varphi$  with  $\sup \varphi \subseteq K'$ , eq. (1) is clearly satisfied, and we can use the same N for all compact K', so clearly  $u \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}(X)}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{D}'(X)$  with finite order. Finally, suppose  $\varphi$  is supported in  $X \backslash K$ , then it is clear that  $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0$ , which proves that  $\sup u \subseteq K$  and therefore that u has compact support.

Now suppose  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$  has compact support, let  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  be 1 in a neighbourhood of supp u, and define  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$  by

$$\langle \tilde{u}, \varphi \rangle := \langle u, \rho \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X).$$

Clearly  $\tilde{u}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{E}'(X)$  since  $\operatorname{supp}(\rho\varphi) \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \rho$  and

$$|\langle \tilde{u}, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle u, \rho \varphi \rangle| \leqslant C \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant N} \sup_{\sup p(\rho)} |\partial^{\alpha}(\rho \varphi)| \stackrel{\star}{\leqslant} C' \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant N} \sup_{\sup p} |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi|,$$

where  $\star$  follows from the Leibniz rule. It is also clear that supp  $\tilde{u} = \text{supp } u$ .

Finally we will show uniqueness: suppose  $\tilde{v}$  is an extension of u with supp  $\tilde{v} = \text{supp } u$ , and write any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X)$  as  $\varphi = \rho \varphi + (1 - \rho)\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1$ . Then since  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $\varphi_1$  vanishes on a neighbourhood of supp u, we find

$$\langle \tilde{v}, \varphi \rangle = \langle \tilde{v}, \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 \rangle = \langle \tilde{v}, \varphi_0 \rangle = \langle u, \varphi_0 \rangle,$$

which is independent of choice of extension.

## 2.2 Convolution between $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

**Definition 2.7.** Define for  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the convolution

$$(u * \varphi)(x) = \langle u, \tau_x \check{\varphi} \rangle.$$

This convolution satisfies the same properties as the convolution between  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also, if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $u * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , at least one of which has compact support, define  $u * v : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$(u * v) * \varphi = u * (v * \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We will show (example sheet 2, question 1) that u \* v is uniquely defined and gives rise to an element of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  via  $\langle u * v, \varphi \rangle := [(u * v) * \check{\varphi}](0)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** Given  $u, v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , at least one of which has compact support, we have u \* v = v \* u.

*Proof.* First we note that  $(u * \varphi) * \psi = u * (\varphi * \psi)$  holds if u has compact support and at least one of  $\varphi, \psi$  has compact support.

Fix  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we see from our earlier shown properties that

$$(u*v)*(\varphi*\psi) = u*(v*(\varphi*\psi)) = u*((v*\varphi)*\psi) = u*(\psi*(v*\varphi)) = (u*\psi)*(v*\varphi).$$

If we interchange u and v in the above, that is equivalent to interchanging  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , which we know must yield the same result. This shows u\*v and v\*u agree on  $\varphi*\psi$  for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Defining E = u\*v - v\*u, we find that  $0 = E*(\varphi*\psi) = (E*\varphi)*\psi$  for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so  $E*\varphi = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so E = 0.

## 3 Tempered distributions and Fourier analysis

### 3.1 Functions of rapid decay

**Definition 3.1.** For any  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  and multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  we define  $||f||_{\alpha,\beta} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \varphi|$ . We define the *Schwarz space* 

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\alpha,\beta} < \infty \text{ for all } \alpha,\beta \right\}.$$

We say that a sequence  $(\varphi_n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  converges to 0 if  $\|\varphi_m\|_{\alpha,\beta} \to 0$  for every  $\alpha, \beta$ .

**Example 3.2.** The function  $x \mapsto \exp(-\|x\|^2)$  lies in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** For all n we have that  $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + ||x||)^{-N} (1 + ||x||)^N |\varphi(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \stackrel{?}{\leqslant} C \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant N} ||\varphi||_{\alpha,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + ||x||)^{-N} \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + ||x||)^{-N} dx$  is finite for N large enough (??), this proves the claim.

**Definition 3.4.** A linear map  $u: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{C}$  is called a *tempered distribtion* if there exists constants C, N such that

$$|\langle u, \varphi \rangle| \leqslant C \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leqslant N} \|\varphi\|_{\alpha, \beta} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This definition is equivalent to sequential continuity.

### 3.2 The Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Convention. We write  $D := -i\partial$  and  $D^{\alpha} = (-i)^{|\alpha|}\partial^{\alpha}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define the Fourier transform of f by

$$[\mathcal{F}(f)](\lambda) = \hat{f}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is continuous.

*Proof.* If  $\lambda_m \to \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\hat{f}(\lambda_m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda_m x} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \stackrel{\mathrm{DCT}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \hat{f}(\lambda),$$

where we were able to use the dominated convergence theorem since the integrand is absolutely bounded by |f| and  $f \in L^1$ .

It turns out that this idea generalises: the faster the function f decays, the smoother the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is.

**Lemma 3.7.** For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}[D_x^{\alpha}\varphi](\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha}\hat{\varphi}(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{F}[x^{\beta}\varphi](\lambda) = (-1)^{|\beta|}D_{\lambda}^{\beta}\hat{\varphi}(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|x^{\alpha}D^{\beta}\varphi| \to 0$  as  $||x|| \to \infty$ , we have using integration by parts

$$\mathcal{F}[D_{\lambda}^{\alpha}\varphi](\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda x} D_x^{\alpha}\varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_x^{\alpha}(e^{-i\lambda x})\varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \lambda^{\alpha}\hat{\varphi}(\lambda).$$

For the second part of the lemma, by differentiation under the integral sign we get

$$\mathcal{F}[x^{\beta}\varphi](\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\lambda x} x^{\beta} \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-D_{\lambda})^{\beta} e^{-i\lambda x}) \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= (-1)^{|\beta|} D_{\lambda}^{\beta} \hat{\varphi}(\lambda).$$

We define the inverse Fourier transform by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{f}](x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda x} \hat{f}(\lambda) \, d\lambda.$$

We will now show that on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the inverse Fourier transform is indeed an inverse:

**Theorem 3.8.** The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a continuous isomorphism from  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to itself.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . First, we show that  $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : by the previous lemma we have for multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  that

$$\left| \lambda^{\alpha} (-D_{\lambda})^{\beta} \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) \right| = \left| \lambda^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}[x^{\beta} \varphi](\lambda) \right| = \left| \mathcal{F}[D_{x}^{\alpha}(x^{\beta} \varphi)](\lambda) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i\lambda x} D^{\alpha}(x^{\beta} \varphi) \, \mathrm{d}x \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| D^{\alpha}(x^{\beta} \varphi) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \tag{3}$$

10

which is finite since  $D^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}\varphi)$  is also a Schwarz function and therefore integrable.

From the previous lemma we also infer that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is smooth, so indeed we have  $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . From eq. (3) it is also easily seen that if  $\varphi_m \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\hat{\varphi}_m \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  also, which shows that  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous.

To prove surjectivity and injectivity, we will show that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathcal{F}[f]](x) = f(x)$  (???). Indeed we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathcal{F}[\varphi]](x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda(x-y)} \varphi(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda(x-y)-\varepsilon \|\lambda\|^2} \varphi(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &\stackrel{\star}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda(x-y)-\varepsilon \|\lambda\|^2} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \, \mathrm{d}y \,, \end{split}$$

where  $\star$  follows from Fubini's theorem.

Now we note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda(x-y)-\varepsilon\|\lambda\|^2} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda_j(x_j-y_j)-\varepsilon\lambda_j^2} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda \stackrel{\star\star}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\pi}{e}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(x_i-y_i)^2}{4\varepsilon}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}\right)^{n/2} e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{4\varepsilon}}.$$

To explain ★★, TODO: .

and plugging that into the above yields

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathcal{F}[\varphi]](x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 2^{-n} (\pi \varepsilon)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) e^{-\|x - y\|^2 / (4\varepsilon)} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\stackrel{\star \star \star}{=} \pi^{-n/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}y') e^{-\|y'\|^2} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\stackrel{\mathrm{DCT}}{=} \pi^{-n/2} \varphi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\|y'\|^2} \, \mathrm{d}y = \varphi(x),$$

where  $\star \star \star$  follows from the substitution  $x - y = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}y'$ .

Finally, continuity of  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  is easily shown with an argument analogous to that for continuity of  $\mathcal{F}$  (????).