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TopPred II: an improved software for membrane protein structure predictions Manuel G.CIaros1 , Gunnar von Heijne2

The prediction of membrane protein structure begins with the construction of a hydrophobicity profile (Figure 1A) which serves to identify 'certain' and 'putative' transmembrane segments (Figure IB). This is accomplished using a trapezoid sliding window (a more detailed description of the method is given in (von Heijne, 1992)) which is more realistic than a simple rectangular window. Although several hydrophobicity scales are provided with the program, the GES one (Engelman et al., 1986) is recommended. Transmembrane domains are considered as 'certain' or 'putative' according to the 'Upper Cutoff and 'Lower Cutoff parameters. Once the transmembrane segments have been identified, the topologies (Figure 1C) are predicted differently for eukaryotic and prokaryotic proteins. For prokaryotic proteins, the number of positively charged residues (including the free N-terminal amino group) at inter-transmembrane segments of each structure is counted. Segments longer than the 'Critical Length' parameter [60 residues, (Andersson and von Heijne, 1993)] are not considered (von Heijne and Gavel, 1988), but the first N-terminal loop segment is always taken into account regardless of its length (von Heijne, unpublished results). The best topology is then predicted by application of the 'positive-inside' rule (von Heijne, 1986). In the case of eukaryotic proteins, three different criteria are used to determine the topology (Sipos and von Heijne, 1993). The first is, as for prokaryotic proteins, the difference in positively charged residues between the two sides of the membrane. The second criterion considered is the net charge difference (Arg, Lys, Glu, Asp) between the 15 N-terminal and the 15 C-terminal residues flanking the most N-terminal transmembrane segment (Hartman et al., 1989). Finally, the overall amino acid composition of loops longer than 60 residues is analysed by the compositional distance method (Nakashima and Nishikawa, 1992). The program also has an option in which the unfavorable free energy of membrane insertion of charged residues in the transmembrane segments can be reduced by means of the 'Charge-pair Energy' parameter if they can form i,i+3 and i,i + 4 charge-pairs.
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### Protein in silico analyses

The hydrophobic regions of HRPV-2 and HRPV-6 VP5 proteins were predicted using TMpred server[9](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-08728-7#ref-CR9), Phobius[40](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-08728-7#ref-CR40) and MPEx[41](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-08728-7#ref-CR41).
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A Simple Method for Displaying the Hydropathic Character of a Protein JACK KYTE AND RUSSELL F. DOOLITTLE
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A computer program that progressively evaluates the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of a protein along its amino acid sequence has been devised. For this purpose, a hydropathy scale has been composed wherein the hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties of each of the 20 amino acid side-chains is taken into consideration. The scale is based on an amalgam of experimental observations derived from the literature. The program uses a moving-segment approach that continuously determines the average hydropathy within a segment of predetermined length as it advances through the sequence. The consecutive scores are plotted from the amino to the carboxy terminus. At the same time, a midpoint line is printed that corresponds to the grand average of the hydropathy of the amino acid compositions found in most of the sequenced

proteins. In the case of soluble, globular proteins there is a remarkable correspondence between the interior portions of their sequence and the regions appearing on the hydrophobic side of the midpoint line, as well as the exterior portions and the regions on the hydrophilic side. The correlation was demonstrated by comparisons between the plotted values and known structures determined by crystallography. In the case of membrane-bound proteins, the portions of their sequences that are located within the lipid bilayer are also clearly delineated by large uninterrupted areas on the hydrophobic side of the midpoint line. As such, the membrane-spanning segment’s of these proteins can be identified by this procedure. Although the method is not unique and embodies principles that have long been appreciated, its simplicity and its graphic nature make it a very useful tool for the evaluation of protein structures.
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