

$$E(\lambda) = \langle \psi(\lambda) | \hat{H}_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 | \psi(\lambda) \rangle$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} | \hat{H}_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 | \psi(\lambda) \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi | \hat{H}_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 | \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi | P_1 + 2\lambda P_2 | \psi \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} | \hat{H}_0 | \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi | P_1 | \psi \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial C} \frac{\partial C}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \lambda}$$

↑ orbital coeffs ↗ basis fns

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial C} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial C} \left\langle \psi | \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{P}_1 + \lambda^2 \hat{P}_2 | \psi \right\rangle = \\ &= 2 \underbrace{\left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial C} | \hat{H}_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 | \psi \right\rangle}_{=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial C} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial C} | \hat{H}_0 | \psi \right\rangle = 0$$

Br. theorem

true for variationally optimized
wavefunctions : HF, DFT, M_{SCF}
not for MP, CC, ...

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial C} | \hat{H}_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 | \psi \right\rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \lambda} \right) \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial C^2} \middle| H_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 / 4 \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \lambda} \right) \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| H_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 / 4 \middle| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| P_1 + 2\lambda P_2 / 4 \right\rangle \\
&\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| H_0 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_2 / 4 \right\rangle \Big|_{\lambda=0} = \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \lambda} \right) \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial C^2} \middle| H_0 / 4 \right\rangle + \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \lambda} \right) \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| H_0 \middle| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| P_1 / 4 \right\rangle = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial C^2} \middle| \hat{H}_0 / 4 \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| \hat{H}_0 \middle| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \right\rangle \right] \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \lambda} \right) = \\
&\quad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad = - \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial C} \middle| P_1 / 4 \right\rangle \\
&\sim \left\langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} \middle| \hat{H} / 4 \right\rangle - \delta_i \delta_j E_0 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{green arrow}} \sim \left\langle \Phi_i^a \middle| \hat{H}_0 \middle| \Phi_j^b \right\rangle \\
&\quad \qquad \qquad \qquad \sim \left\langle \Phi_i^a \middle| P_1 / 4 \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

property gradient
 both excitations & deexcitations are included
 both real & imaginary variations

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} P \\ P^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Y, Z - vector representing real & im. parts of first-order response

$$A_{ij}^{ab} = \langle \phi_i^a / H_0 / \phi_j^b \rangle - E_0 \delta_{ij} \delta_{jb} =$$

$$= \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} (\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_i) + \langle ij | ab \rangle - \langle i a | j b \rangle$$

$$B_{ij}^{ab} = \langle \phi_i / H_0 / \phi_{ij}^{ab} \rangle = \langle ij | ab \rangle - \langle ij | ba \rangle$$

$$P_i^a = \langle i | P | a \rangle$$

exchange
integrals

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{bmatrix} - \omega \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} P \\ P^* \end{bmatrix}$$

for dynamic properties

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = \omega \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix}$$

generalized eigenvalue problem
 $\pm \omega \rightarrow$ excitation & deexcitation energies

TDHF equations

if $B=0$ Tamm-Dancoff appr.
TDA

then TDHF \rightarrow CTS

B : correction term allowing de-excitations, provides correlation for the reference state.

also can be obtained using Random Phase Approximation in Response theory

(RPA)

TDHF
"

full RPA

- eqn with exchange integrals

direct RPA (or simply RPA) - exchange integrals are neglected

TDDFT

$$A_{ij}^{ab} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} (\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_i) + \langle ij | ab \rangle +$$

$$B_{ij}^{ab} = \langle ij | ab \rangle + \langle ij | f_{xc} | ab \rangle$$

f_{xc} XC kernel

$$f_{xc}(r, t, r', t') = \frac{\delta V_{xc}[\rho](r, t)}{\delta \rho(r', t')}$$

adiabatic approximation:

$$f_{xc}^{\text{adiab}}(r, r') = \frac{\delta V[\rho](r)}{\delta \rho(r')} = \frac{\delta^2 E_{xc}[\rho]}{\delta \rho(r) \delta \rho(r')}$$

TDA (neglect of B) — good approximation

TDDFT is significant improvement over TDHF due to better orbital energy differences in matrix A

Cost: A and B : $\text{occ} \times \text{vir}$

in TDA cost $\approx \text{CIS } (N^5)$

in full TDDFT is several times higher

HF model

$$\hat{f}_i \chi_i(x) = \varepsilon_i \chi_i(x)$$

$$\hat{f}_i = \hat{h}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{elec}}} (\hat{T}_i(j) - \hat{K}_i(j))$$

for occupied orbital k :

$$\hat{f}_k = \hat{h}_k + \sum_{j=1}^N (\hat{j}_j(k) - \hat{k}_j(k)) =$$

$$(\hat{j}_k(k) - \hat{k}_k(k) = 0)$$

$$= \hat{f}_k + \hat{h}_k + \sum_{j \neq k}^N (\hat{j}_j(k) - \hat{k}_j(k))$$

electron on occ. k experiences field from $(N-1)$ electrons

for virtual orbital a :

$$\hat{f}_a = \hat{h}_a + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{elec}}} (\hat{j}_j(a) + \hat{k}_j(a))$$

electron on vir. a sees field from N electrons

E_a corresponds to electron attachment to orb. a

$$(E_a - \varepsilon_i) \neq \omega_{ia}$$

KS model:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \hat{v}_{\text{eff}}(r) \right) \chi_i(r) = \varepsilon_i \chi_i(r)$$

$$\hat{v}_{\text{eff}}(r) = \hat{v}_{\text{ext}}(r) + \int \frac{f(r')}{|r-r'|} dr' + v_{\text{xc}}(r)$$

$$v_{\text{xc}} = \frac{\delta E_{\text{xc}}[\rho]}{\delta \rho(r)}$$

Same field is felt by electron placed on occ. orb. or vir. orb. - field of (N)

ε_a corresponds to exc. energy

$$(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_i) \approx w_{ia}$$

true for non-hybrid functions,