BGN: 2191A a. DPLL: 1. Write the formula in clause form 2. Delete tentological clauses (clauses containing both a literal and its negation) 3. If there are any unit clauses, set a flag of and delete them, removing its negation from any other clauses. 4. If my clauses contain pure literals, delete them and set f. 5. If the empty clause is reached, the formula is neither socisfiable nor valid 6. If all clauses are deleted, the formula is satisfiable if I is set, valid otherwise. 7. Perform a case split on a liberal and reapply the algorithm to both cases. If either case is valid or satisfiable, the formula is satisfiable. Otherwise, it is wither valid nor satisfiable. Advantages: contains less redundancy than BDD, so less memory usage.

BPD:
1. Idestify a top- keel connective of the formula
2. Recursively convert both sides of the connective to 8DDs
3. (malus H- 800 4 1 0 11 1
3. Combine the BDDs using the rules for that connective
4. If the resulting BDD is 1, the formula is valid. If it is 0, the formula is netter valid nor satisfiable. Otherwise, the formula is satisfiable.
If it is 0, the formula is nether valid now soutistiable
Otherwise, the formula is substituble.
Advantages: Considerably more efficient than DPLL
the things of the track of the
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
Code Average Code and the Code of the Code
A THE PARTY OF THE
A A A SHOW A SHO
The state of the s
The second secon

It is logically correct in the sense that any Satisfying assignment of the original formula one, and vice versa, but validity is not presented in il. This is likely to significantly decrease the nuntime of
the DPU operation as the algorithm will have to perform
fewer case splits and can instead vely more on the
non-recursive operations. c.i. The formula is true iff if has a fixed point and is not the identity. x my f(x) $\frac{\neg P(f(x)), \neg P(x) \Rightarrow}{\neg P(f(x)), \neg P(x)} (AU)$ $\frac{\neg P(f(x)), \neg P(x)}{\neg P(x), \neg P(x)} \Rightarrow (VU)$ $\frac{\neg P(f(x)), \neg P(x)}{\neg P(x), \neg P(x)} \Rightarrow (VU)$ x of f(n) P(x) ~ P(f(x)) = (nt) 72 (P(2) n n MP(2))) => (3() [7 (7P(a) 1/2 (- P(f(z)) V P(x))) V]x (P(x) 1 - P(f(x))) > (pp(a) ~ tx (-p(H2))) ~ P(x) => (AL) からしているかがなくかくくらしょう)かりいります 子なくらいかっかくらしょう) 一つついるかかくれかけらいかりいかりましてもいへつかけるける [7P(a) A fat (F(f(z)) - P(x)] ->] * (P(x) - P(f(x))) =>

ii. FalseP(x) for all 2 is a falsifying interpretation.
$P(k), Q(\omega), \neg P(z) \qquad P(k), Q(\omega), Q(z) \qquad (40)$ $P(k), \forall z Q(z), \neg P(z) \qquad (40)$
$\frac{P(k), \forall x Q(x), \neg P(x)}{\forall x P(x), \forall x Q(x), Q(x)} \qquad (\forall U)$ $\frac{\forall x P(x), \forall x Q(x), \neg P(x) \Rightarrow}{\forall x P(x), \forall x Q(x), Q(x) \Rightarrow} \qquad (\forall U)$
$\forall x P(x), \forall x O(x), (\neg P(x) \lor O(x)) \Rightarrow (\lor C)$
(\forall_2(\forall_2))_a(\forall_2(\forall_2)) \to \forall_2(\forall_2)\forall_2(\forall_2)) \to \forall_2(\forall_2) \to \forall_2(\forall_2)) \to \forall_2(\forall_2) \to \forall_2(\forall_2)) \to \forall_2(\forall_2) \to \forall_2(\forall_2) \to \forall_2(\forall_2)) \to \forall_2(\forall_2)
$(\forall x P(x)) \wedge (\forall x O(x)), \exists x (\forall x P(x) \vee O(x)) \Rightarrow (\exists t)$
$(\forall \chi P(x)) \wedge (\forall x Q(x) _{V} \exists \chi (P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y)$
The state of the s
THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
The state of the s