

Bennet Sloan

Mth 342

HW 3

1a.) If $AB = 0$, then either $B = 0$ or A is not invertible.

Proof: Let A, B be any matrices in \mathbb{R}^n

consider $AB = 0$.

case 1: If A is invertible then:

$$AB = 0$$

$$A^{-1}AB = A^{-1}0$$

$$IB = 0$$

$$B = 0 \quad \text{so } B = 0 \text{ if } A \text{ is invertible.}$$

case 2: If A is not invertible:

A is not invertible iff the column vectors of A are linearly dependent.

By matrix multiplication, $AB = A[B_1 \dots B_n]$

where B_1, \dots, B_n are the columns of B .

Moreover, $A[B_1 \dots B_n] = B_{11}A_1 + B_{21}A_2 + \dots + B_{n1}A_n$ (column 1)
 $B_{1n}A_1 + B_{2n}A_2 + \dots + B_{nn}A_n$ (last column)

Therefore the (i, j) entry of AB is:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} = (AB)_{ij}$$

$$\text{so, if } (AB)_{ij} = [a_{i1} \ a_{i2} \ \dots \ a_{in}] \begin{bmatrix} b_{1j} \\ b_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ b_{nj} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Consider: } (AB)_{ij} = a_{i1} b_{1j} + a_{i2} b_{2j} + \dots + a_{in} b_{nj} = 0$$

If $B \neq 0$, then $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3}, \dots, a_{in}$ must satisfy the equation for some nonzero

scalar c such that $\sum c a_{ik} = 0$ where

$$c = b_{kj}.$$

16.) If A is symmetric & invertible,
then A^{-1} is symmetric.

Proof:

Let $A = A^T$ be a symmetric matrix

If A is invertible then there exists:

$$A^{-1} \text{ \& } (A^T)^{-1}$$

$$\text{And, } (A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$$

$$\text{Consider } A = A^T$$

$$A A^{-1} = A^T A^{-1}$$

$$I = A^T A^{-1}$$

$$(A^T)^{-1} I = (A^T)^{-1} A^T A^{-1}$$

$$(A^T)^{-1} = I A^{-1}$$

$$(A^T)^{-1} = A^{-1}$$

Therefore A^{-1} is symmetric.

2.) Let $C[0,1]$ denote the vector space of all continuous functions defined on $[0,1]$

For each $a \in [0,1]$ the evaluation map:

$$E_a: C[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad E_a(f) = f(a)$$

is a linear transformation. Describe $\text{Ker}(E_a)$.

→ If f is a continuous function in \mathbb{R}

Then the kernel will be its zero solution.

$$\text{That means } \text{Ker}(E_a) = \{f \mid f \in C[0,1], f(a) = 0\}$$

Therefore (a) is the root(s) of $f \in C[0,1]$.

3.) Let V & W be vector spaces and
let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation.

Let $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k \in V$.

3a.) Show that if $T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)$ are L.I.
then so are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k .

Proof: Let $T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)$ be linearly Independent,

And c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k be scalars.

Consider $c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k = 0$

$$T(c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k) = T(0)$$

$$T(c_1 v_1) + T(c_2 v_2) + \dots + T(c_k v_k) = 0$$

$$c_1 T(v_1) + c_2 T(v_2) + \dots + c_k T(v_k) = 0$$

Since $T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)$ are defined as L.I.

Then c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k must all be zero,

Since any scalar multiple of a L.I. vector in the
set is still linearly Independent.

31.) Show by counterexample the converse of 3a is false

Converse: IF vectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k are L.I.,

Then the vectors $T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)$ are L.I.

Counterexample: Any non-injective function.

Let $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $T(x, y) = (x, 0)$

IF $v_1 = (1, 0)$ & $v_2 = (0, 1)$

Then v_1, v_2 are L.I. vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 .

However, $T(v_1) = T(1, 0) = (1, 0)$

And $T(v_2) = T(0, 1) = (0, 0)$

Since $T(v_1)$ & $T(v_2)$ are Linearly Dependent,

The statement is disproven.

3c.) show the converse of 3a holds if
 T is injective.

Let (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) be linearly Independent vectors.

If $T: V \rightarrow W$ is injective,

Then $T(x) = T(y)$ implies $x = y$.

Consider $T(0) = T(0)$,

Since only zero can satisfy this equality,

$$\text{Ker}(T) = \{ \vec{0} \}.$$

Therefore if:

$$c_1 T(v_1) + c_2 T(v_2) + \dots + c_n T(v_n) = 0$$

Then scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n must all be zero.

Therefore $T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)$ must be
linearly Independent as well.

u.) Let U & V be subspaces of W .

4a.) The subspace sum is: $U+V = \{x+y \mid x \in U, y \in V\}$

Show $U+V$ is also a subspace.

i.) If U & V are subspaces then $0 \in U, 0 \in V$.

Therefore $0+0 \in U+V \rightarrow \underline{0 \in U+V}$.

ii.) Let $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in U+V$.

Then $\vec{w}_1 = \vec{u}_1 + \vec{v}_1$ And $\vec{w}_2 = \vec{u}_2 + \vec{v}_2$

Where $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2 \in U$ And $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in V$

So, $\vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2 \in U$ And $\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \in V$

Consider $(\vec{w}_1) + (\vec{w}_2)$

$$(\vec{u}_1 + \vec{v}_1) + (\vec{u}_2 + \vec{v}_2)$$

$$(\vec{u}_1 + \vec{u}_2) + (\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) \in U+V$$

Therefore $\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 \in U+V$

iii.) Let $a \in F$, $\vec{w} \in U+V$ where $\vec{w} = \vec{u} + \vec{v}$

Consider $a\vec{w} = a(\vec{u} + \vec{v})$

$$= a\vec{u} + a\vec{v} \in U+V \quad \therefore U+V \text{ is a}$$

Therefore $a\vec{w} \in U+V$

subspace of W

u.b.) Let $\vec{w} \in W$, but $\vec{w} \notin V$. Show for
all $\vec{v} \in V$, $\vec{v} + \vec{w} \notin V$.

I f $\vec{v} + \vec{w} \in V$, $\pm \vec{v} \in V$ since V is a subspace

Then $-\vec{v} + \vec{v} = \vec{0} \in V$

Consider $-\vec{v} + \vec{v} + \vec{w}$
 $\vec{0} + \vec{w}$

$$\vec{w} \notin V \quad \therefore \vec{v} + \vec{w} \notin V$$

u.c.) Show that if $U \not\subseteq V$ & $V \not\subseteq U$

then $U \cup V$ is not a subspace.

Let $\vec{u} \in U$ but $\vec{u} \notin V$

And $\vec{v} \in V$ but $\vec{v} \notin U$

Then, $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cup V$

Consider $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$
 $\vec{u} + (-\vec{v} + \vec{v})$

$$\vec{u} \notin V$$

And $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$

$(-\vec{u} + \vec{u}) + \vec{v}$ (additive inverse)

$$\vec{v} \notin U$$

$$\therefore \vec{u} + \vec{v} \notin U \text{ \& } \vec{u} + \vec{v} \notin V$$

So $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is not a subspace.