# **Causality - Perspective**

This manuscript (<u>permalink</u>) was automatically generated from <u>slobentanzer/causality perspective 2023@ff3b8cc</u> on October 27, 2023.

### **Authors**

- Sebastian Lobentanzer
  - © 0000-0003-3399-6695 · ♥ slobentanzer · ♥ slobentanzer

    Heidelberg University, Faculty of Medicine and Heidelberg University Hospital, Institute for Computational Biomedicine, Heidelberg, Germany
- Julio Saez-Rodriguez
  - © 0000-0002-8552-8976 · ♠ saezrodriguez · ❤️ saezlab

    Heidelberg University, Faculty of Medicine and Heidelberg University Hospital, Institute for Computational Biomedicine, Heidelberg, Germany

#### **Abstract**

### Introduction

Correlation is not causation. As simple as this widely agreed-upon statement may seem, scientifically defining causality and using it to drive our modern biomedical research is immensely challenging. Since being described by Aristotle approximately 2500 years ago, causal reasoning (CR) remained virtually unchanged [1] until significant formal and mathematical advancements in the last decades [4]. In parallel, biomedicine has made major leaps in the past century, in particular in the development of high-throughput and large-scale methods.

Randomised clinical trials show that, in a lower-dimensional context, we can achieve the high levels of confidence needed to satisfy the ethical requirements of modern medicine. However, translating this mode of reasoning into the high-dimensional space of modern omics is met with enormous challenges. The dramatically increased parameter space of models at the molecular level leads to problems in the performance of methods and the identifiability of results, as well as in model explainability.

With this perspective, we want to encourage and guide the use of CR to inform biomedical problems and vice versa. We will elaborate on three main points:

- biases and what they mean for CR, particularly in the context of biomedical data
- the role of prior knowledge in CR and how to translate prior knowledge into suitable biases
- the role of foundation models in molecular systems biology and their relationship to CR

### **Bias**

# Meaning and examples of biases

Why do we need biases?

# Bias from prior knowledge

# **Prior knowledge**

OmniPath/BioCypher

Ontologies

# Modelling on prior knowledge

Statistical, causal, and mechanistic models

Why modelling needs biases and how to introduce them

# **Causality in foundation models**

Current interest in transformers

Recent foundation model benchmarks

Is attention (and large amounts of data) "all you need" to induce reliable biases in your model? (GPT "understands" language well) [5]

What is the mathematical relationship between explicit (e.g. ODE) and implicit (transformers) models?

Latent encodings of explicit prior knowledge (GEARS)

### References

#### 1. The Organon, Or Logical Treatises, Of Aristole, Vol. 1 Of 2

Aristotle

Forgotten Books (2015) ISBN: 9781330267608

#### 2. **Causality**

Judea Pearl

Cambridge University Press (2009-09-14) https://doi.org/ggd72q

DOI: <u>10.1017/cbo9780511803161</u>

#### 3. Identification of Causal Effects Using Instrumental Variables

Joshua D Angrist, Guido W Imbens, Donald B Rubin Journal of the American Statistical Association (1996-06) <a href="https://doi.org/gdz4f4">https://doi.org/gdz4f4</a> DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/gdz4f4">10.1080/01621459.1996.10476902</a>

#### 4. Myth and measurement: the new economics of the minimum wage

David E Card, Alan B Krueger *Princeton University Press* (2016)

ISBN: 9781400880874

#### 5. ChatGPT broke the Turing test — the race is on for new ways to assess Al

Celeste Biever

Nature (2023-07-25) https://doi.org/gskd92

DOI: <u>10.1038/d41586-023-02361-7</u> · PMID: <u>37491395</u>