

# Chapter 1: Executive Summary

## Key Findings

The MILP optimization identifies the most cost-effective ammonia bunkering configurations for Busan Port across three supply scenarios. **Case 1 (local storage) is the most economical**, followed by Case 2-2 (Ulsan), with Case 2-1 (Yeosu) being the most expensive due to longer transport distances.

---

### Optimal Configurations (1000 m<sup>3</sup>/h Pump)

Case	Configuration	NPC (20yr)	LCOAmmonia	Fleet Size (2050)
Case 1: Busan Storage	2,500 m <sup>3</sup> shuttle	\$237.05M	\$1.01/ton	~20 shuttles
Case 2-1: Yeosu	10,000 m <sup>3</sup> shuttle	\$747.18M	\$3.17/ton	~10 shuttles
Case 2-2: Ulsan	5,000 m <sup>3</sup> shuttle	\$402.37M	\$1.71/ton	~15 shuttles

---

### Cost Comparison

Case 1 (Busan) :	\$237M (baseline)
Case 2-2 (Ulsan) :	\$402M (+70%)
Case 2-1 (Yeosu) :	\$747M (+215%)

---

### Why Case 1 is Optimal

1. **Short Travel Distance:** 1 hour round trip vs 3.34h (Ulsan) or 11.46h (Yeosu)
  2. **Higher Utilization:** More cycles per year = better asset utilization
  3. **Smaller Shuttles:** 2,500 m<sup>3</sup> vs 5,000-10,000 m<sup>3</sup> = lower CAPEX per unit
-

## Why 2,500 m<sup>3</sup> Shuttle (Case 1)

Shuttle Size	NPC	LCO	Reason
2,000 m <sup>3</sup>	\$281.7M	\$1.20/ton	Higher OPEX (more trips)
<b>2,500 m<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>\$237.05M</b>	<b>\$1.01/ton</b>	<b>Optimal balance</b>
3,000 m <sup>3</sup>	\$282.25M	\$1.20/ton	Higher CAPEX
5,000 m <sup>3</sup>	\$264.24M	\$1.12/ton	Overkill for demand

The 2,500 m<sup>3</sup> shuttle requires 2 trips per bunkering call (5000 m<sup>3</sup> demand), achieving optimal balance between: - Fleet size (capital cost) - Trip frequency (operating cost) - Asset utilization (efficiency)

---

## Recommendation

### For Busan Port ammonia bunkering infrastructure (2030-2050):

1. **Primary Recommendation:** Build local storage tanks at Busan Port with 2,500 m<sup>3</sup> shuttle fleet
    - 20-year NPC: \$237.05M
    - Levelized cost: \$1.01/ton
  2. **Alternative (if local storage not feasible):** Ulsan supply with 5,000 m<sup>3</sup> shuttle fleet
    - 20-year NPC: \$402.37M
    - Levelized cost: \$1.71/ton
    - Premium: +70% over Case 1
  3. **Not Recommended:** Yeosu supply
    - 20-year NPC: \$747.18M
    - Levelized cost: \$3.17/ton
    - Premium: +215% over Case 1
- 

## Key Assumptions

- **Pump Rate:** 1000 m<sup>3</sup>/h (fixed for main analysis)
- **Discount Rate:** 0.0 (no time value of money adjustment)
- **Annualization Rate:** 7.0% (for asset cost spreading)
- **Demand Growth:** 50 vessels (2030) to 500 vessels (2050)
- **Bunker Volume:** 5,000 m<sup>3</sup> per call