SemEval-2016 Task 4: Sentiment Analysis in Twitter

Preslav Nakov♣, Alan Ritter⋄, Sara Rosenthal⋄, Fabrizio Sebastiani♣, Veselin Stoyanov♠

♣Qatar Computing Research Institute, Hamad bin Khalifa University, Qatar

⋄Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University, USA

⋄Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, USA

♠Facebook, USA

Fabrizio Sebastiani is currently on leave from Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy.

		2013		2014			2015	2016
#	System	Tweet	SMS	Tweet	Tweet	Live-	Tweet	Tweet
					sarcasm	Journal		
1	SwissCheese	0.700_{5}	0.637_{2}	0.716_{5}	0.566_{1}	0.695_{7}	0.671_1	0.633 ₁
2	SENSEI-LIF	0.706_4	0.634_{3}	0.744_2	0.467_{8}	0.741_{1}	0.662_2	0.630_2
3	unimelb	0.687_{7}	0.593_{10}	0.706_{7}	0.449_{11}	0.683_{9}	0.650_{4}	0.617 ₃
4	INESC-ID	0.723_2	0.609_{6}	0.728_{3}	0.554_{3}	0.702_{4}	0.657_{3}	0.610_4
5	aueb.twitter.sentiment	0.666_{8}	0.618_{5}	0.708_{6}	0.410_{17}	0.695_{7}	0.623_{7}	0.605_{5}
6	SentiSys	0.714_{3}	0.633_{4}	0.723_4	0.515_{5}	0.726_{2}	0.644_{5}	0.598 ₆
7	I2RNTU	0.693_{6}	0.597_{7}	0.680_{8}	0.469_{6}	0.696_{6}	0.638_{6}	0.596 ₇
8	INSIGHT-1	0.602_{16}	0.582_{12}	0.644_{16}	0.391_{23}	0.559_{23}	0.595_{16}	0.593 ₈
9	twise	0.610_{15}	0.540_{17}	0.645_{14}	0.450_{10}	0.648_{13}	0.621_{8}	0.586 ₉
10	ECNU	0.643 ₁₀	0.593_{9}	0.662_9	0.425_{14}	0.663_{10}	0.606_{11}	0.585 ₁₀
11	NTNUSentEval	0.623_{12}	0.641_{1}	0.650_{11}	0.427_{13}	0.719_{3}	0.599_{13}	0.583 ₁₁
12	MDSENT	0.589_{19}	0.509_{21}	0.588_{20}	0.386_{24}	0.606_{19}	0.593_{18}	0.580_{12}
13	CUFE	0.642_{11}	0.596_{8}	0.661_{10}	0.466_{9}	0.697_{5}	0.598_{14}	0.580_{13}
14	THUIR	0.616_{13}	0.575_{14}	0.648_{12}	0.400_{20}	0.640_{16}	0.617_{10}	0.576 ₁₄
15	PUT	0.565_{21}	0.511_{20}	0.615_{19}	0.360_{27}	0.648_{14}	0.597_{15}	0.576 ₁₅
16	LYS	0.650_{9}	0.579_{13}	0.647_{13}	0.406_{18}	0.655_{11}	0.603_{12}	0.575 ₁₆
17	IIP	0.598_{17}	0.466_{23}	0.645_{14}	0.405_{19}	0.640_{15}	0.619_9	0.574 ₁₇
18	DIEGOLab16	0.813_1	0.543_{16}	0.759_1	0.562_2	0.615_{18}	0.595_{17}	0.571 ₁₈
19	UniPI	0.592_{18}	0.585_{11}	0.627_{18}	0.381_{25}	0.654_{12}	0.586_{19}	0.571 ₁₉
20	GTI	0.612_{14}	0.524_{18}	0.639_{17}	0.468_{7}	0.623_{17}	0.584_{20}	0.539 ₂₀
21	OPAL	0.567_{20}	0.562_{15}	0.556_{23}	0.395_{21}	0.594_{21}	0.531_{22}	0.505_{21}
22	DSIC-ELIRF	0.494_{25}	0.404_{26}	0.546_{26}	0.343_{29}	0.517_{24}	0.531_{21}	0.502_{22}
23	UofL	0.490_{26}	0.443_{24}	0.547_{25}	0.372_{26}	0.574_{22}	0.502_{25}	0.499_{23}
24	ELiRF	0.462_{28}	0.408_{25}	0.514_{28}	0.310_{33}	0.493_{25}	0.493_{26}	0.498_{24}
25	ISTI-CNR	0.538_{22}	0.492_{22}	0.572_{21}	0.327_{30}	0.598_{20}	0.508_{24}	0.494_{25}
26	SteM	0.518_{23}	0.315_{29}	0.571_{22}	0.320_{32}	0.405_{28}	0.517_{23}	0.478 ₂₆
27	Tweester	0.506_{24}	0.340_{28}	0.529_{27}	0.540_{4}	0.379_{29}	0.479_{28}	0.455 ₂₇
28	Minions	0.489_{27}	0.521_{19}	0.554_{24}	0.420_{16}	0.475_{26}	0.481_{27}	0.415 ₂₈
29	aicyber	0.418_{29}	0.361_{27}	0.457_{29}	0.326_{31}	0.440_{27}	0.432_{29}	0.402 ₂₉
30	mib	0.394_{30}	0.310_{30}	0.415_{31}	0.352_{28}	0.359_{31}	0.413_{31}	0.401 ₃₀
31	VCU-TSA	0.383_{31}	0.306_{31}	0.444_{30}	0.425_{15}	0.336_{32}	0.416_{30}	0.372 ₃₁
32	SentimentalITists	0.339_{33}	0.238_{33}	0.393_{33}	0.288_{34}	0.323_{34}	0.343_{33}	0.339 ₃₂
33	Wisers_Research	0.355_{32}	0.284_{32}	0.393_{32}	0.430_{12}	0.366_{30}	0.377_{32}	0.330 ₃₃
34	CICBUAPnlp	0.194_{34}	0.193_{34}	0.335_{34}	0.393_{22}	0.326_{33}	0.303_{34}	0.303 ₃₄
	baseline (positive)	0.292	0.190	0.346	0.277	0.272	0.303	0.255

Table 1: Results for Subtask A "Message Polarity Classification". The systems are ordered by their score on the Twitter2016 test dataset; the rankings on the individual datasets are indicated with a subscript.

#	System	Score
1	Tweester	0.797
2	LYS	0.791
3	thecerealkiller	0.784
4	ECNU	0.768
5	INSIGHT-1	0.767
6	PUT	0.763
7	unimelb	0.758
8	twise	0.756
9	GTI	0.736
10	finki	0.720
11	pkudblab	0.689
12	CUFE	0.679
13	ISTI-CNR	0.671
14	SwissCheese	0.648
15	SentimentalITists	0.624
16	PotTS	0.618
17	OPAL	0.616
18	Wisers_Research	0.522
19	VCU-TSA	0.502
	baseline (positive)	0.500

Table 2: Results for Subtask B "Tweet classification according to a two-point scale". The systems are ordered by their ρ^{PN} score (higher is better).

#	System	Score
1	twise	0.719
2	ECNU	0.806
3	PUT	0.860
4	LYS	0.864
5	finki	0.869
6	INSIGHT-1	1.006
7	ISTI-CNR	1.074
8	YZU-NLP	1.111
9	SentimentalITists	1.148
10	PotTS	1.237
11	pkudblab	1.697
	baseline (0)	1.200

Table 3: Results for Subtask C "Tweet classification according to a five-point scale". The systems are ordered by their MAE^M score (lower is better).

#	System	Score
1	finki	0.034
2	LYS	0.053
	twise	0.053
4	INSIGHT-1	0.054
5	GTI	0.055
	QCRI	0.055
7	NRU-HSE	0.084
8	PotTS	0.094
9	pkudblab	0.099
10	ECNU	0.121
11	ISTI-CNR	0.127
12	SwissCheese	0.191
13	UDLAP	0.261
14	HSENN	0.399
	baseline (1 0)	0.887
	baseline (avg on train+dev+devtest)	0.175

Table 4: Results for Subtask D "Tweet quantification according to a two-point scale". The systems are ordered by their KLD score (lower is better).

#	System	Score
1	QCRI	0.243
2	finki	0.316
3	pkudblab	0.331
4	NRU-HSE	0.334
5	ECNU	0.341
6	ISTI-CNR	0.358
7	LYS	0.360
8	INSIGHT-1	0.366
9	HSENN	0.545
10	PotTS	0.818
	baseline (0 0 0 1 0)	0.734
	baseline (avg on train+dev+devtest)	0.474

Table 5: Results for Subtask E "Tweet quantification according to a five-point scale". The systems are ordered by their EMD score (lower is better).

Subtasks	Team ID	Affiliation	Nation	Paper
A	aicyber	aicyber.com	China	
A	aueb.twitter.sentiment	Department of Informatics, Athens University of Economics and Business	Greece	
	GIGDIIA D. I	Instituto Politcnico Nacional		
A	CICBUAPnlp	Benemrita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla	Mexico	
A B	CUFE	Cairo University	Egypt	
A	DIEGOLab16	Arizona State University	USA	
	DSIC-ELIRF	Universitat Politcnica de Valncia	Spain	
ABCDE		East China Normal University	China	
	ELiRF	Universitat Politcnica de Valncia	Spain	
BCDE			Macedonia	
	GTI	AtlantTIC Centre, University of Vigo	Spain	
	HSENN	National Research University Higher School of Economics	Russia	
		Institute for Infocomm Research, A*STAR		
A	I2RNTU	School of Computer Engineering, Nanyang Technological University	Singapore	
A	IIP	Infosys Limited	India	
A	ш	INESC-ID, Lisboa	Iliula	
A	INESC-ID	Instituto Superior Tcnico, Universidade de Lisboa	Portugal	
		INSIGHT Research Centre, National University of Ireland, Galway		1
ABCDE	INSIGHT-1	AYLIEN Inc.	Ireland	
		Universidade da Corua		
ABCDE	LYS		Spain	
	MDGENE	Universidade de Vigo	USA	
	MDSENT	University of Maryland Baltimore County		
	mib	. 8	Italy	
l I	Minions		Romania	
ABCDE		Istituto di Scienza e Tecnologie dell'Informazione, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche		
	NRU-HSE	, ,	Russia	
A	NTNUSentEval	Norwegian University of Science and Technology	Norway	
A B	OPAL	European Commission Joint Research Centre	Italy	
BCDE	pkudblab	Peking University	China	
BCDE	PotTS	University of Potsdam Retresco GmbH	Germany	
АВС	PUT		Poland	
				(9)
	QCRI (**) SENSEI-LIF	Qatar Computing Research Institute	Qatar	(?)
l I	111		France	
	SentimentalITists	University of Iasi	Romania	
A	SentiSys	·	France	
		Sabanci University	Turkey	
A	SteM	Marmara University	Turkey	
		Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg	Germany	
	SwissCheese	ETH Zrich	Switzerland	
	thecerealkiller	Amazon.com	USA	
A	THUIR	Tsinghua University	China	
		School of ECE, Technical University of Crete		
A B	Tweester	Department of Informatics, University of Athens	Greece	
AB	Tweester	Signal Analysis and Interpretation Laboratory (SAIL)	Greece	
ı		Institute for Language & Speech Processing - ILSP		
ABCD	twise	University of Grenoble-Alpes	France	
	UDLAP		Mexico	
	unimelb	77.1. 1. 03.5.11	Australia	
	UniPI		Italy	
	UofL		USA	1
	VCU-TSA	•	USA	
	Wisers_Research		Hong Kong SAR, China	
A D	wiseis_research	Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan		L Comment
C	YZU-NLP		Taiwan China	
24 40 45 54 55	m . ı		<u> </u>	
34 19 11 14 10	10tai			<u></u>

Table 6: Participating teams (Column 2), their affiliation (Column 3) and nationality (Column 4), the subtasks they have participated in (Column 1), and the paper they have contributed (Column 5). Teams marked with a (**) include some of the SemEval 2016 Task 4 organizers.