RUBRIC (1 of 2)	Poor (F)	Fair (D)	Satisfactory (C)	Good (B or A-)	Excellent (A)
Content and Development (40 points)	<ul> <li>No thesis statement</li> <li>No cogent or supported analysis</li> <li>5 or more relevant aspects of an article are missing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No thesis statement</li> <li>Analysis and argument offer limited support, insight and/or logical progression</li> <li>→ 3 or 4 aspects of an article as missing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>▶ Unclear thesis statement</li> <li>▶ Background provides an incomplete assessment of the relevant literatures</li> <li>▶ Data and methods section provides an incomplete description of approaches used</li> <li>▶ 1 or 2 aspects of an article are missing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>▶ Clear thesis statement</li> <li>▶ Background provides a generally thorough assessment of the relevant literatures</li> <li>▶ Data and methods section provides a generally thorough description of approaches used</li> <li>▶ All relevant aspects of an article are present and generally well-executed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear thesis statement</li> <li>Background provides         <ul> <li>an exceptionally well-rounded assessment of                 the relevant literatures</li> </ul> </li> <li>Data and methods                 section provides                  exceptional detail</li> <li>All relevant aspects of                  an article are present                   and exceptionally well-                   executed</li> </ul>
Analysis (30 points)	<ul> <li>Analysis is not related to the selected topic in a meaningful way</li> <li>No statistical tests included or are not at all relevant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>▶ Analysis is only tangentially related to the selected topic</li> <li>▶ Significant concerns with the relevance of the included statistical tests, their execution, and how their findings are communicated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analysis and argument are moderately supported, insightful and cogent</li> <li>Moderate concerns with statistical tests' relevance, execution, or communication</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Analysis and argument are generally supported, insightful and cogent</li> <li>Minor concerns with statistical tests' relevance, execution, or communication</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>▶ Analysis and argument are supported, exceptionally original, insightful and cogent</li> <li>▶ Chosen statistical tests are appropriate, correctly executed, and exceptionally well-communicated</li> </ul>
Maps, Tables, and Figures (10 points)	No maps, or exceptionally limited use of them	<ul> <li>▶ Maps, tables, and figures are have significant issues with labeling and organization</li> <li>▶ No use of color on maps</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maps, tables, and figures are have some moderate issues with labeling and organization</li> <li>▶ Limited use of color on maps</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maps, tables, and figures are generally well organized and clearly labeled</li> <li>Maps use color to emphasize relevant points and are easy to read</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maps, tables, and figures are exceptionally well organized and clearly labeled</li> <li>Maps use color to emphasize relevant points and are easy to read</li> </ul>

RUBRIC (2 of 2)	Poor (F)	Fair (D)	Satisfactory (C)	Good (B or A-)	Excellent (A)
Organization and Cohesion (5 points)	➤ Paper has no obvious organizational strategy	➤ Paper has significant organizational or flow discrepancies and does not following a typical journal article's layout	→ Paper has moderate organizational or flow discrepancies, and may not follow a typical journal article's layout	➤ Paper is generally well organized in the fashion of a journal article and easy to follow	➤ Paper is clearly organized in the fashion of a journal article and easy to follow
Format (5 points)	▶ Paper does not adhere to the written guidelines			▶ Paper deviates from the written guidelines in only a minor way	▶ Paper follows written guidelines for formatting with no deviations
Quality of Writing, Grammar, and Spelling (5 points)	<ul> <li>▶ Writing quality is poor and seriously detracts from the message of the paper</li> <li>▶ There are a significant number of syntax, grammar, word choice and/or spelling errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>▶ Writing quality is below average and detracts from the message of the paper</li> <li>▶ There are numerous syntax, grammar, word choice and/or spelling errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>▶ Writing quality is average but does not detract from the message of the paper</li> <li>▶ There are a moderate number of syntax, grammar, word choice and/or spelling errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Writing quality is generally high</li> <li>There are a small number of syntax, grammar, word choice and/or spelling errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High quality writing</li> <li>Little to no syntax, grammar, word choice and/or spelling errors</li> </ul>
Citations (5 points)	▶ Paper does not adhere to the written guidelines			▶ Citations and bibliography are formatted correctly with only a small number of errors	➤ Citations and bibliography are formatted correctly with little to no error

POINT TOTALS	Poor (F / 0%)	Fair (D / 65%)	$egin{array}{c}  ext{Satisfactory} \  ext{(C } /  ext{75\%)} \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} { m Good} \ ({ m B} \ / \ 85\% \ { m or} \ { m A-} \ / \ 90\%) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Excellent} \\ (\text{A} \ / \ 100\%) \end{array}$
Content and Development	0 points	26 points	30 points	34 or 36 points	40 points
Analysis	0 points	19.5 points	22.5 points	25.5  or  27  points	30 points
Maps, Tables, and Figures	0 points	6.5 points	7.5 points	8.5 or 9 points	10 points
Organization and Cohesion	0 points	3.25 points	3.75 points	4.25 or 4.5 points	5 points
Format	0 on the assignment			4.25 or 4.5 points	5 points
Quality of Writing, Grammar, and Spelling	0 points	3.25 points	3.75 points	4.25 or 4.5 points	5 points
Citations	$0  ext{ on the assignment}$			4.25 or 4.5 points	5 points