

RANDOM GRAPHS PROJECT

SHANE LUBOLD

Description: In this project, we will analyze a common random graph model, the Erdős–Rényi model. We will use basic probability theory and simulations to understand the properties and behavior of this random graph model, with an emphasis on these properties as the size of the graph grows. To begin, we will first review basic graph theory and probability theory. Then, we will examine the degree distribution of nodes in this model, the expected number of cliques, and study an important property in random graph theory: the “threshold property”. In addition, we will examine the probabilistic method, an interesting proof technique that is frequently used to prove statements about random graph models.

Contact: Shane Lubold, sl223@uw.edu, Padelford A-318.

Overview of Project

- (1) Week 1: Review graph theory fundamentals and basic probability.
- (2) Week 2: Introduce Erdős–Rényi graph model and basic simulations
- (3) Week 3: Degree distribution of model
- (4) Week 4: Number of triangles/cliques in ER.

1. GRAPH THEORY AND PROBABILITY REVIEW (WEEK 1)

We first review basic graph theory concepts.

Definition 1.1 (Graph). A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a set of vertices (or nodes) $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and a set of edges $E \subseteq V \times V$.

A simple way to represent the edges in a graph is with an adjacency matrix.

Definition 1.2 (Adjacency matrix). For a graph $G = (V, E)$ on n nodes, the adjacency matrix A of G is an $n \times n$ matrix such that $A_{ij} = 1$ if there is an edge between nodes i and j and zero otherwise.

Exercise 1.1. Let $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the vertex set of a graph G . How many graphs are there on this vertex set? Draw all possible graphs on this vertex set. Determine the total number of graphs on $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and try to extend this formula to the general case when $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1, n\}$ (This is more challenging. To do this, think about how many $n \times n$ symmetric matrices there are with zero on the diagonal and only 0 or 1 on the off-diagonal. Then note that for each adjacency matrix, there is a corresponding graph).

Definition 1.3 (Path). A path between nodes i and j is a sequence of distinct edges (e_1, \dots, e_m) connecting i and j such that all nodes along the sequence of edges are distinct.

Informally, a path is a sequence of edges that allows one to “walk” from node i to node j along edges in G .

Definition 1.4 (Connected Nodes and connected Graph). Two nodes i and j are connected if there exists a path between i and j . A graph is called connected if all $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of nodes are connected.

Exercise 1.2. Draw an example of a graph on 5 nodes $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ such that three of the nodes are connected and the other two are not connected.

Definition 1.5 (Isolated Node). A node is called isolated if it does not have an edge with any other node in the graph.

Definition 1.6 (Clique). A clique $C \subseteq V$ of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a set of nodes such that for every pair (i, j) in C , i and j are connected. That is, the subgraph induced by C is complete.

Exercise 1.3. Draw an example of a graph on 5 nodes $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with a 3 clique and a graph on 5 nodes with a 5 clique. (Note that a graph on n nodes containing an n clique is called a complete graph on n nodes, denoted by K_n).

We now introduce the degree of a node.

Definition 1.7. Let $i \in V$ be a node of a graph $G = (V, E)$ with adjacency matrix A . Then, the degree of node i , denoted by $d(i)$, is the number of edges connecting i to other nodes in the graph; that is,

$$d(i) = \sum_{j \in V} A_{i,j}.$$

We will be using R throughout this project, so let's get familiar with using R to make graphs. A good reference for this is Section 2 of <https://kateto.net/netscix2016.html>. To get started, run the following commands in R.

```
library(igraph)
g1 <- graph( edges=c(1,2, 2,3, 3, 1), n=3, directed=F )
plot(g1)
```

The edges specified are (1,2), (2, 3), (3,1) on $n = 3$ nodes. The notation “directed = F” means that we are creating an undirected graph. By changing these values, we can create graphs with different edge sets. For example,

```
library(igraph)
g1 <- graph( edges=c(1,2, 2,3, 3, 1, 1, 5, 2, 4, 4, 5), n=5, directed=F)
plot(g1)
```

We now review some basic probability theory that will be used throughout this project.

Definition 1.8 (Expected value). *The expected value of random variable $X \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ with probability mass function p is defined as*

$$E(X) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \cdot p(x)$$

For a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of random variables, it always holds that $E(\sum_{n=1}^N X_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N E(X_n)$, even if the $\{X_n\}$ are dependent.

Proposition 1.1. *Let X be a random variable and let I be an indicator random variable that is 1 when $X \in A$ and 0 when $X \notin A$. Then,*

$$E(I) = P(X \in A) .$$

Exercise 1.4. *Prove this result.*

As an example, let $X \sim N(0,1)$ and let $A = [0, \infty)$. Then, if I is an indicator random variable that is 1 when $X \in A$ and 0 otherwise, then $P(X \in A) = 1/2$ (check this!), so that $E(I) = 1/2$ too.

Definition 1.9. *Let n be an integer and $p \in [0,1]$. A discrete random variable X has a binomial distribution $\text{Binomial}(n,p)$ when the probability mass function of X is*

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1, n\} .$$

Exercise 1.5. *Show that if X has a $\text{Binomial}(n,p)$ distribution then $E(X) = np$.*

Definition 1.10. *Let $\lambda > 0$. A discrete random variable X has a $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ distribution when the probability mass function of X is*

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k) = \frac{\lambda^k \exp(-\lambda)}{k!} .$$

Exercise 1.6. *Prove that if $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, then $E(X) = \lambda$.*

Next week, we will show that the Poisson and Binomial distributions are closely related as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.11. *Let A and B be events. A and B are said to be independent when*

$$P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A)P(B)$$

2. ERDŐS–RÉNYI MODEL (WEEK 2)

We now introduce the Erdős–Rényi (ER) model. To do this, we fix an integer n and a value $p \in [0, 1]$, which controls the probability nodes connect to each other. To simulate an ER model, we do the following: For each pair of nodes $(i, j) \in V^2$ with $i < j$, add an edge between nodes i and j independently with probability p . There are a total of $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ possible edges in the graph.

In the previous section, we described the degree of a node i for a deterministic graph. Now, when discussing a random graph, the degree of a node for each simulation is random, and so we therefore want to talk about the *degree distribution* of a node.

Exercise 2.1. *Simulate 1000 ER graphs with $n = 100$, $p \in \{1/10, 1/2, 9/10\}$. For each graph and each value of p , compute the degree of the 1st node and plot a histogram of these values. Based on your previous probability courses, can you guess what the distribution of the degree of a node is?*

We have the following result:

Proposition 2.1. *The degree distribution of any vertex i is Binomial($n - 1, p$); that is,*

$$\mathbb{P}(d(i) = k) = \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k}.$$

Exercise 2.2. *Prove this result.*

From this result, we know a lot about the expected degree of a node and its variance. In particular, we know that $E(d(i)) = (n-1)p$ (which you proved last week) and $\text{Var}(d_i) = p(n-1)(1-p)$. We can also compute the probability that a node is *isolated*; that is, that it connects to no other node. By setting $k = 0$, it is given by $\mathbb{P}(i \text{ is isolated}) = (1-p)^{n-1}$. Similarly, by setting $k = n-1$, we see that the probability that node i is connected to all other nodes in the graph is given by p^{n-1} .

Exercise 2.3. *Simulate 1000 ER graphs with $n = 10$, $p \in \{1/10, 1/2, 9/10\}$. For each graph and each value of p , compute the probability that the first node is isolated and that it is connected to all other nodes in the graph, and compare to the values given in the paragraph above.*

As is the case for most of the results in this project, we are interested in the behavior of the ER model as the graph gets larger (i.e., as $n \rightarrow \infty$). To explore this, we assume that $p = p(n)$ is a function of n and we simulate $G \sim G(n, p(n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have the following result about the degree distribution of such a graph:

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $np(n) \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}(d(i) = k) \rightarrow \frac{c^k e^{-c}}{k!}.$$

That is, the degree distribution of any node approaches a Poisson distribution with parameter c .

Exercise 2.4. *Prove this result. (Hint: You will need to use the fact that $(1 - \frac{x}{n})^n \rightarrow e^{-x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.)*

Exercise 2.5. Assume that we take $p(n) = \frac{c}{n}$ for some real number c . Prove that $\mathbb{P}(\text{node 1 is isolated}) \rightarrow e^{-c}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, set $c = 1$ and simulate 1000 graphs with $n \in \{100, 1000, 10000\}$. For each value of n , count the number of times node 1 is isolated and compare this to e^{-1} . Does it seem to be getting closer to e^{-1} ?

Exercise 2.6. Pick a sequence $p_1(n)$ such that $np_1(n) \rightarrow 1$ and a sequence $p_2(n)$ such that $np_2(n) \rightarrow 2$. For each sequence, simulate 1000 ER graphs with $n \in \{100, 1000, 10000\}$. For each value of n , plot a histogram of the degree of node 1 and compare it to distribution from the proposition above.

Finally, we will prove two basic probability theory tools that will be used often in the following sections.

Proposition 2.3 (Markov's Inequality). If X is a non-negative random variable and $a > 0$, then $P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E(X)}{a}$.

Exercise 2.7. In this exercise we will prove Markov's inequality for discrete random variables (i.e., random variables taking values in $\{0, 1, \dots\}$.) To do this, first express $E(X)$ as

$$E(X) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} xp(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{a-1} xp(x) + \sum_{x=a}^{\infty} xp(x).$$

Then, drop one of the two terms above and bound the remaining term to obtain the term $aP(X \geq a)$. Then, divide by a to finish the proof.

Exercise 2.8 (Boole's Inequality). The following inequality holds for any events A_1, \dots, A_n :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

To prove that this inequality holds, note that if the $\{A_i\}$ are mutually disjoint (meaning that for each pair A_i, A_j it holds that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$), then the above inequality is in fact an equality. Now, by representing events as circles in a Venn diagram, argue why the above inequality always holds. See the Wikipedia page on "Inclusion-Exclusion principle" to see what it meant by Venn diagram and how it is helpful for this problem.

3. EXISTENCE OF TRIANGLES AND OTHER CLIQUES (WEEK 3)

In the ER model, it is possible to write in closed form the expected number of cliques. To do this, we will introduce a common probabilistic method that is often used when determining the expected number of objects (in our case, cliques) that appear.

To make things as concrete as possible to begin, we will first study the expected number of triangles (3-cliques) in an ER model. To do this, note that if we define $\Delta_{i,j,k}$ to be an indicator that is equal to one if nodes i, j, k are in a triangle, then we can write

$$X = \sum_{i,j,k} \Delta_{i,j,k}, \text{ where } \Delta_{i,j,k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } p^3 \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - p^3 \end{cases}$$

To find $E(X)$, the expected number of triangles in G ,

$$E(X) = \binom{n}{3} E(\Delta_{i,j,k}) = \binom{n}{3} p^3.$$

For example, set $p(n) = \frac{d}{n}$ for some constant d . Then, $E(X) = \binom{n}{3} \frac{d^3}{n^3} \approx \frac{d^3}{6}$.

Exercise 3.1. Simulate 1000 ER graphs with $n = 100$ and $p(n) = \frac{1}{n}$. For each graph, compute the number of triangles and compute the average number of triangles in the 1000 graphs. Compare it to $\frac{1}{6}$.

Exercise 3.2. If one is interested in the distribution of X , then one might try to argue that since X is a sum of identically distributed Bernoulli random variables, that X would have a binomial distribution. However, these Bernoulli random variables are not independent. Why?

The following exercise will derive the expected number of cliques in a ER model.

Exercise 3.3. Let X denote the number of k -cliques in an ER model for an integer k . Using the same argument as above, show that

$$E(X) = \binom{n}{k} p^{\binom{k}{2}}.$$

(Hint: try the case when $k = 4$, since $k = 3$ was done above. Think about what must happen for four nodes to all be connected. How many edges must exist between the four nodes?).

Exercise 3.4. Simulate 1000 ER graphs with $n = 100, p = 1/3$. Compute the number of cliques of size $k \in \{3, 5, 7\}$ and compare that to the expected value in the proposition above.

Above, we used a very common probabilistic technique to count the number of cliques in an ER graph by writing X as a sum of indicator functions. To appreciate how useful this technique is, consider the following exercise, in which we will use this same approach to count the number of fixed points in a random permutation.

Exercise 3.5. Let $S_n = \{\pi : \pi \text{ is a permutation on } \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, where a permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection from this set to itself. We say that $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a fixed point of π if $\pi(i) = i$; that is, π does not change the value of i . First, show that $|S_n| = n!$. Then, by drawing π uniformly from S_n , show that the expected number of fixed points of π is 1.

Proposition 3.1 (First Moment Method). Let X be an integer-valued random variable. Then, $P(X > 0) \leq E(X)$.

Proof. Note that since X only takes integer values, we have that $P(X > 0) = P(X \geq 1)$. By Markov's inequality, we have that $P(X \geq 1) \leq E(X)$, so that $P(X > 0) \leq E(X)$, as claimed. \square

We now study the threshold properties of ER models. For example, what is the probability that $G(n, p(n))$ contains a clique of size 4 as $n \rightarrow \infty$? Clearly, as $p \rightarrow 0$, this will happen with smaller and smaller probability, but as $p \rightarrow 1$, then this will happen with probability closer and closer to 1. Therefore, one can ask, what is the “cutoff” for this behavior? That is, what is the behavior of $p(n)$ that will guarantee that $G(n, p(n))$ has a four clique? Before doing this, we first introduce some notation.

Definition 3.1. We say that a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is $o(n^\alpha)$ if $\frac{x_n}{n^\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Exercise 3.6. Give an example of a sequence that is $o(n)$ and one that is $o(n^2)$. Now prove that if $\{x_n\}$ is $o(n^\alpha)$ then $\{x_n\}$ is $o(n^\beta)$ when $\alpha < \beta$. Finally, prove that if $\{x_n\} = o(n^\alpha)$, then $\{x_n^\beta\} = o(n^{\alpha \times \beta})$.

We will use the first moment method to prove the following result:

Proposition 3.2. *If $p(n) = o(n^{-2/3})$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ contains a clique of size at least } 4) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let I be the set of 4-tuples containing the indices $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, we define X_i to be an indicator variable that is 1 when the four nodes in the i th 4-tuple are all connected. Then, we define $X \equiv \sum_{i \in I} X_i$, and

$$E(X) = E\left(\sum_{i \in I} X_i\right) = \binom{n}{4} p^6 \sim \frac{n^4 p^6}{24}.$$

The second equality follows since $|I| = \binom{n}{4}$. Since $p(n) = o(n^{-2/3})$, we have that $n^4 p^6 = o(1)$. To show this, note that if $p(n) = o(n^{-2/3})$, then $p(n)^6 = o(n^{-4})$, so that $n^4 p^6 \rightarrow 0$. So that $E(X) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by the first moment method, we have that $0 \leq P(X > 0) \leq E(X) \rightarrow 0$, so that $P(X > 0) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, since $P(X > 0) = P(G(n, p) \text{ contains a clique of size } 4)$, we have that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ contains a clique of size } 4) \rightarrow 0$, as claimed. \square

We will now extend this in the following result:

Proposition 3.3. *If $p(n) = o(n^{-\frac{2}{k-1}})$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ contains a clique of size at least } k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Exercise 3.7. *Prove this result using the same argument as above.*

In this section, we gave sufficient conditions on the $p(n)$ that guarantee k cliques in an ER model. Next week, we will provide necessary conditions and will therefore provide a complete understanding of the relationship between $p(n)$ and the existence of k -cliques. We can specialize this to the case of a triangle.

Proposition 3.4. *If $p(n) = o(n^{-1})$, then $P(G(n, p(n)) \text{ contains a triangle}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

We will demonstrate that this is true using simulations. To do this, we will use the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *The number of triangles in an undirected graph is $tr(A^3)/6$.*

Exercise 3.8. *Prove this result.*