

CSCI 567 - HOME WORK - 4

Sai Swarna Latha Vempaty - 5824899937

1.1)

$$\text{Likelihood } \mathcal{L}(\theta|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^N} & \text{if } 0 < x_i \leq \theta \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{MLE}(\theta) = \hat{\theta} = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$$

$\frac{1}{\theta^N}$ is decreasing function, lower the θ , maximum the likelihood. But, If $\theta = \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, second condition kicks in, and Likelihood becomes 0. So, the minimum value of x_n , that maximizes likelihood of θ is $\max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$.

1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} P(k|x_n, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) &= \frac{P(x_n|k, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \cdot P(k)}{P(x_n|k=1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \cdot P(k=1) + P(x_n|k=2, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \cdot P(k=2)} \\ &= \frac{\omega_k \cdot U(X=x_n|\theta_k)}{\omega_1 \cdot U(X=x_n|\theta_1) + \omega_2 \cdot U(X=x_n|\theta_2)} = \frac{\omega_k \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_k} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_k]}{\omega_1 \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_1} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] + \omega_2 \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_2} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2]} \end{aligned}$$

Expected complete-data log-likelihood:

Let $\theta = \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2$

$$Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD}) = \sum_n \sum_k P(k|x_n, \theta^{OLD}) \cdot \log P(x_n, k|\theta)$$

$$\text{Let } P(k|x_n, \theta^{OLD}) = P_{OLD}(k|x_n) = \frac{\omega_k^{OLD} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_k^{OLD}} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_k^{OLD}]}{\omega_1^{OLD} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_1^{OLD}} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1^{OLD}] + \omega_2^{OLD} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_2^{OLD}} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2^{OLD}]}$$

$$Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD}) = \sum_n \sum_k P_{OLD}(k|x_n) \cdot \log(P(k) \cdot P(x_n|k, \theta))$$

Substituting $P(k) = \omega_k$, $P(x_n|k, \theta) = U(X = x_n, \theta_k)$

$$Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD}) = \sum_n \sum_k P_{OLD}(k|x_n) \cdot [\log(\omega_k) + \log U(X = x_n, \theta_k)]$$

$$Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD}) = \sum_n \sum_k P_{OLD}(k|x_n) \cdot [\log(\omega_k) + \log(\frac{1}{\theta_k} \cdot \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_k])]$$

M-Step:

$$\theta = \arg \max Q_q(\theta, \theta^{OLD})$$

In the question it is mentioned that, $\theta_1 \geq \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, so for the values of x , less than θ_1^{OLD} , $P_{OLD}(k=1|x_n) = 0$, (As $0 \cdot (-\infty) = 0$).

So, while optimizing $\theta_2 = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, where $0 < \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\} \leq \theta_2^{OLD}$.

But, in case of $\theta_1 = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$, where $0 < \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\} \leq \theta_1^{OLD}$

2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x_b|x_a) &= \frac{P(x_b, x_a)}{P(x_a)} \\
 &= \frac{P(x)}{P(x_a)} \\
 &= \sum_k \frac{\pi_k \cdot P(x|k)}{P(x_a)} \\
 &= \sum_k \frac{\pi_k}{P(x_a)} \cdot P(x_b, x_a|k) \\
 &= \sum_k \frac{\pi_k}{P(x_a)} \cdot P(x_b|x_a, k) \cdot P(x_a|k)
 \end{aligned}$$

by comparing question with the above equation,

$$\lambda_k = \frac{P(x_a|k) \cdot \pi_k}{P(x_a)}$$

but, $P(x_a) = \sum_{j=1}^K P(k=j) \cdot P(x_a|k=j)$

$$\lambda_k = \frac{P(x_a|k) \cdot \pi_k}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j \cdot P(x_a|k=j)}$$

3.1)

$\gamma(z_{nk})$ when $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, j th term for which the responsibility is highest i.e., smallest $\|x_n - \mu_j\|_2^2$ goes to zero slowly in the denominator. So, $\gamma(z_{nk}) = 1$ for that j term, and all others it is 0.

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(z_{nk}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = \arg \min_j \|x_n - \mu_j\|_2^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So, as limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma(z_{nk}) = r_{nk} - - - - - (1)$

$\Sigma = \sigma^2 I \Rightarrow$ same variance for all classes,

Therefore, $\mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu_k, \sigma^2 I) = \text{constant} \cdot \exp\{\frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} \cdot \|x_n - \mu_k\|_2^2\} - - - - - (2)$

Substituting (1) and (2) in GMM expected log likelihood equation,

$$\text{GMM} = \frac{-1}{2} \cdot \sum_n \sum_k r_{nk} \cdot \|x_n - \mu_k\|_2^2 + \text{constant}$$

$$J = \sum_n \sum_k r_{nk} \cdot \|x_n - \mu_k\|_2^2$$

As, GMM is -ve of J, we can say that under limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, maximizing GMM is equal to minimizing to J.

4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} &= \sum_n \log P(x_n, y_n) \\
 &= \sum_n \log P(y_n) \cdot P(x_n|y_n) \\
 &= \sum_c \sum_{n:y_n=c} \log P(y_n) \cdot P(x_n|y_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

re-arranging the equation, and introducing $\gamma_{nc} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_n \in c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \cdot \log(P(y_n) \cdot P(x_n|y_n)) \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} [\log(P(Y=c)) + \sum_{d=1}^D \log(P(X_d = x_{nd}|Y=c))] \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \log(\pi_c) + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D \gamma_{nc} \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \sigma_{cd}^2}} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{-(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2 \cdot \sigma_{cd}^2}\right\}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \log(\pi_c) + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D \gamma_{nc} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi \cdot \sigma_{cd}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{\sigma_{cd}^2} \right]$$

4.2)

$$(\mu_{cd}^*, \sigma_{cd}^{2*}, \pi_c^*) = \arg \max \left\{ \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \log(\pi_c) + \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D \gamma_{nc} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi \cdot \sigma_{cd}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{\sigma_{cd}^2} \right] \right\}$$

maximizing \mathcal{L} w.r.t μ_{cd}

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_{cd}} = \sum_n \gamma_{nc} \cdot \frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})}{\sigma_{cd}^2} \cdot (-1) = 0$$

$$\mu_{cd}^* = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nc} \cdot x_{nd}}{\sum_n \gamma_{nc}}$$

i.e., $\mu_{cd}^* = (\text{Sum of all } x_{nd} \text{ in class } c) / (\text{no. of points in class } c)$

maximizing \mathcal{L} w.r.t σ_{cd}

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \sigma_{cd}} = \sum_n \gamma_{nc} \left[\frac{-2}{\sigma_{cd}} + \frac{2(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{\sigma_{cd}^3} \right] = 0$$

$$\sigma_{cd}^{2*} = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nc} \cdot (x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{\sum_n \gamma_{nc}}$$

maximizing \mathcal{L} w.r.t π_c ,

term related to π_c is $\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \log(\pi_c)$

using Lagrangian, and $\sum_c \pi_c = 1$, Likelihood becomes,

$$\sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \log(\pi_c) + \lambda (\sum_c \pi_c - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi_c} = \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_c} + \lambda = 0$$

$$\pi_c = \frac{-1}{\lambda} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc}$$

substituting in $\sum_c \pi_c = 1$, we get, $\lambda = -N$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_c^* = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nc}}{N}$$