

Session 10: Solutions for Exercises and Practical**Exercise 10.1.1**

1. From notes,

$$l_p(\theta) = k_1 \log \theta - k \log(p_0 + \theta p_1) \Rightarrow l'_p(\theta) = \frac{k_1}{\theta} - \frac{k p_1}{p_0 + \theta p_1}$$

Setting $l'_p = 0$ gives

$$\frac{k_1}{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{k p_1}{p_0 + \hat{\theta} p_1}$$

$$k_1(p_0 + \hat{\theta} p_1) = k p_1 \hat{\theta}$$

$$\hat{\theta}(k p_1 - k_1 p_1) = k_1 p_0$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{k_1 p_0}{(k - k_1) p_1} = \frac{k_1 p_0}{k_0 p_1} = \frac{k_1/p_1}{k_0/p_0}$$

as required. By invariance of MLE, $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}_1}{\hat{\lambda}_0} = \frac{k_1/p_1}{k_0/p_0}$.

2. Now we require the variance of $\log \theta$. Let $\beta = \log \theta$, so $\theta = e^\beta$. In other words, we require S^2 , where $S^2 = -1/l''(\hat{\beta})$. Rewriting the profile log-likelihood in terms of β :

$$l(\beta) = k_1 \beta - k \log(p_0 + e^\beta p_1)$$

$$l'(\beta) = k_1 - \frac{k e^\beta p_1}{p_0 + e^\beta p_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l''(\beta) &= -\frac{k e^\beta p_1}{p_0 + e^\beta p_1} + k \left(\frac{e^\beta p_1}{p_0 + e^\beta p_1} \right)^2 = \frac{k(e^\beta p_1)^2 - k e^\beta p_1(p_0 + e^\beta p_1)}{(p_0 + e^\beta p_1)^2} \\ &= \frac{k e^\beta p_1(e^\beta p_1 - p_0 - e^\beta p_1)}{(p_0 + e^\beta p_1)^2} = -\frac{k e^\beta p_0 p_1}{(p_0 + e^\beta p_1)^2} \\ -\frac{1}{l''(\hat{\beta})} &= \frac{(p_0 + e^{\hat{\beta}} p_1)^2}{k e^{\hat{\beta}} p_0 p_1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\beta} = \log \hat{\theta}$ by invariance of the MLE, $\hat{\beta} = \log \left(\frac{k_1/p_1}{k_0/p_0} \right)$ and $e^{\hat{\beta}} = \frac{k_1/p_1}{k_0/p_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{l''(\hat{\beta})} &= \frac{\left(p_0 + \frac{k_1/p_1}{k_0/p_0} p_1 \right)^2}{k \frac{k_1/p_1}{k_0/p_0} p_0 p_1} = \frac{\left(p_0 + \frac{k_1}{k_0} p_0 \right)^2}{k \frac{k_1}{k_0} p_0^2} = \frac{p_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{k_1}{k_0} \right)^2}{k \frac{k_1}{k_0} p_0^2} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{k_1}{k_0} \right)^2}{k \frac{k_1}{k_0}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{k_0^2} (k_0 + k_1)^2}{k \frac{k_1}{k_0}} = \frac{\frac{1}{k_0} k^2}{k k_1} = \frac{k}{k_0 k_1} = \frac{k_0 + k_1}{k_0 k_1} = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_0} \end{aligned}$$

So $S^2 = \frac{1}{k_0} + \frac{1}{k_1}$ as required.

Practical Exercise

a) [See solutions to Session 7 Practical Question 2c) for similar]

$$L(\lambda_x | \underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_x e^{-\lambda_x x_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow l(\lambda_x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\lambda_x e^{-\lambda_x x_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \lambda_x - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_x x_i = n \log \lambda_x - \lambda_x \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$= n \log \lambda_x - \lambda_x n \bar{x}$$

$$l'(\lambda_x) = \frac{n}{\lambda_x} - n \bar{x}$$

$$l'(\hat{\lambda}_x) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n}{\hat{\lambda}_x} = n \bar{x} \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_x = \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$$

Check this is a maximum:

$$l''(\lambda_x) = -\frac{n}{\lambda_x^2} \Rightarrow l''(\hat{\lambda}_x) = -n \bar{x}^2 < 0$$

since all x_i are greater than 0.

b) Joint log-likelihood is sum of log-likelihoods from the independent groups:

$$l(\lambda_x, \lambda_y | \underline{x}, \underline{y}) = n \log \lambda_x - \lambda_x n \bar{x} + n \log \lambda_y - \lambda_y n \bar{y}$$

Let $\theta = \lambda_x / \lambda_y$ and $r = \bar{x} / \bar{y}$. Use this to reparameterise the joint log-likelihood in terms of $\theta, \lambda_y, r, \bar{y}$:

$$l(\theta, \lambda_y) = n \log(\theta \lambda_y) - \theta \lambda_y n r \bar{y} + n \log \lambda_y - \lambda_y n \bar{y}$$

$$= n \log \theta + 2n \log \lambda_y - \lambda_y n \bar{y}(\theta r + 1)$$

Now partially differentiate with respect to λ_y :

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda_y} = \frac{2n}{\lambda_y} - n \bar{y}(\theta r + 1)$$

and equate to 0 to get $\hat{\lambda}_y(\theta)$:

$$\frac{2n}{\hat{\lambda}_y} = n \bar{y}(\theta r + 1) \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_y(\theta) = \frac{2n}{n \bar{y}(\theta r + 1)} = \frac{2}{\bar{y}(\theta r + 1)}$$

c) The profile log-likelihood is now obtained by substituting $\hat{\lambda}_y(\theta)$ for λ_y in the joint log-likelihood $l(\theta, \lambda_y)$:

$$l_p(\theta) = l(\theta, \hat{\lambda}_y(\theta)) = n \log \theta + 2n \log \hat{\lambda}_y(\theta) - \hat{\lambda}_y(\theta) n \bar{y}(\theta r + 1)$$

$$= n \log \theta + 2n \log \left(\frac{2}{\bar{y}(\theta r + 1)} \right) - \frac{2}{\bar{y}(\theta r + 1)} n \bar{y}(\theta r + 1)$$

$$= n \log \theta + 2n \log 2 - 2n \log(\bar{y}) - 2n \log(\theta r + 1) - 2n$$

Ignoring terms not in θ :

$$l_p(\theta) = n \log \theta - 2n \log(\theta r + 1)$$

We now obtain $\hat{\theta}$ by solving $l'_p(\hat{\theta}) = 0$:

$$l'_p(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} - \frac{2nr}{\hat{\theta}r + 1} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{2nr}{\hat{\theta}r + 1} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}r + 1 = 2r\hat{\theta}$$

$$\hat{\theta}r = 1 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{r}$$

(d) $\theta = \lambda_x/\lambda_y$ so by invariance of the MLE:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}_x}{\hat{\lambda}_y} = \frac{1/\bar{x}}{1/\bar{y}} = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} = \frac{1}{r}$$

(e) $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 = 1$ vs $H_1: \theta \neq 1$. The profile log-likelihood ratio statistic is then $-2 \text{pllr}(\theta_0)$:

$$H_0: -2 \text{pllr}(\theta_0) = -2 \left(l_p(\theta_0) - l_p(\hat{\theta}) \right) \sim \chi^2_1$$

Using the profile log-likelihood from (c), with appropriate substitutions:

$$l_p(\theta_0) = n \log \theta_0 - 2n \log(\theta_0 r + 1) = n \log 1 - 2n \log(r + 1) = -2n \log(r + 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(\hat{\theta}) &= n \log \hat{\theta} - 2n \log(\hat{\theta}r + 1) = n \log \frac{1}{r} - 2n \log\left(\frac{1}{r}r + 1\right) = -n \log r - 2n \log 2 \\ &= -n \log r - n \log 2^2 = -n \log(4r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{pllr}(\theta_0) &= l_p(\theta_0) - l_p(\hat{\theta}) = -2n \log(r + 1) + n \log(4r) \\ &= n \log\left(\frac{4r}{(r + 1)^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow -2 \text{pllr}(\theta_0) = -2n \log\left(\frac{4r}{(r + 1)^2}\right) = 2n \log\left(\frac{(r + 1)^2}{4r}\right)$$

When $n = 16$ and $r = 2$, the statistic evaluates to $32 \log(9/8) = 3.77$, which when referred to $\chi^2_{1,0.95} = 3.84$ means we do not reject the null hypothesis at the 5% level.

However, $p = 0.052$, which at the 5% level is borderline evidence that Stage II lung cancer patients have a worse survival prognosis than Stage I patients.