Session 5: Computer-based Exercise

Question 1

- (a) 40 events are observed among 100 subjects. Assuming a binomial model with parameter π , and using, say, 41 values of π from 0.20 to 0.60 (ie in steps of 0.01), use Stata to plot the log-likelihood ratio (*llr*) function against π .
- (b) With the help of suggestions below, use the quadratic function $-(\pi M)^2/2S^2$ to approximate this curve. What value of M should you choose? Plot the quadratic curves corresponding to different values of S, superimposed on the true log-likelihood ratio curve. For example, for S = 0.1 (shown immediately below), using your chosen value of M in place of M:

Try a number of values of *S*, and choose a value for which the quadratic curve is a good approximation to the true log-likelihood ratio.

- (c) Compare your chosen value of *S* with one deduced theoretically.
- (d) Visually compare the 95% likelihood ratio confidence interval for π derived from the true loglikelihood with that derived from the quadratic approximation.

Question 2

- (a) The Stata command <code>bloglik</code> carries out the calculations for the exact binomial log-likelihood and its quadratic approximation. Type <code>bloglik</code> 40 60 in Stata, and you should get results similar to those in question 1. Note that the default cut-off for the log-likelihood ratio is chosen to be -1:92 = 3.84/2; this produces a likelihood ratio confidence interval (sometimes termed supported range) which corresponds to a 95% confidence interval if the log-likelihood were quadratic.
- (b) Use bloglik for 4 events out of 10 subjects, 40 out of 100, and 400 out of 1000. What do you conclude?
- (c) Now use bloglik for 1 event out of 100 subjects, 10 out of 1000, and 100 out of 10000. What do you now conclude? Is there a particular problem with the first of these? What would happen for 99 events out of 100 subjects?

Question 3

(a) Assuming a Poisson model with rate λ for 8 events occurring in 160 personyears of observation, use algebra to obtain the MLE of $\log(\lambda)$ and its standard error.

- (b) Use Stata to plot the true log-likelihood ratio against $\log(\lambda)$, and then plot also on the same graph its quadratic approximation, using, say, 81 values of λ between 0.02 and 0.10 (ie in steps of 0.001). Does the quadratic approximation seem appropriate?
- (c) From your quadratic approximation, derive the approximate likelihood ratio confidence interval for $\log(\lambda)$, and hence for λ .