Practical 9: Further issues

Data

1. The PEFR data.

This dataset holds the data described in Chapter 1. They concern measures of peak-expiratory-flow rate (PEFR) taken with two instruments on 17 people in an experiment reported by Bland and Altman (*Lancet* I, 1986, 307-310). The two instruments were the Standard Wright and the Mini Wright peak flow meter. Each method was used twice. The variables are:

```
id Participant identifier

wp1 Standard Wright measure at 1st occasion

wp2 Standard Wright measure at 2nd occasion

wm1 Mini Wright measure at 1st occasion

wm2 Mini Wright measure at 2nd occasion
```

Questions

1. Read the data and plot all the 4 measures against the person identifier using:

```
. twoway ///
   (scatter wm1 id,ms(circle)) (scatter wm2 id,ms(circle_hollow)) ///
   (scatter wp1 id,ms(T))(scatter wp2 id,ms(D)), ///
   xtitle(Subject id) xlabel(1/17) ytitle(MW Measurements) ///
   legend( order(1 "WM-1" 2 "WM-2" 3 "WR-1" 4 "WR-2"))
```

What do you notice?

- 2. "Doubly reshape" the data as described in the lecture.
- 3. Fit a 2 level random intercept model to the data, using REML. Make a note of the restricted maximum likelihood. How do you interpret the results?
- 4. Add the explanatory variable mini and fit the model using REML. Make a note of the restricted maximum likelihood.
- 5. Fit a 3 level random intercept model to the data, as shown in the lecture, using REML, without any explanatory variables. Make a note of the restricted maximum likelihood. How do you interpret the results?

- $6.\,$ Refit this last model using MLE. What do you find?
- 7. Which of these are nested and which are not? Fill in the values in this table.

| Question | Model | log restr lik | k |
|----------|------------------------|---------------|---|
| 3) | 2 levels, no expl vars | | |
| 4) | 2 levels, with mini | | |
| 5) | 3 levels, no expl vars | | |

k: total number of parameters.

 $8. \ \, \text{Use the LRT to compare some of these models.}$