

Dear Srinath,

Your writing and thoughts were well written and very interesting to read. Some details that you executed very well on were your organization skills because your essay had a very clear and coherent flow. The thesis at the end of your introduction set up a good preview to the rest of your piece and helped indicate the structure of your argument. In addition I think the placement of your multimodal element was great because it really supplemented the argument you were making at that point.

I believe that your essay's argument is that machine literature will become prevalent in the future, but it will not be able to overtake human authorship and this can be seen through RACTER's and Bök's work.

Even though you had many positives about your paper there were some areas which can be improved on. For example, your topic sentence sometimes gets lost in your body paragraphs like in the first paragraph where you had to read a bit into the paragraph before encountering the topic sentence. I also feel you can expand your argument beyond RACTER and Bök's work and possibly use those as supplements for your ideas. Moreover, you can also expand upon some of the conclusions you make like "This would improve its capability to write about complex topics and express itself better." You can expand on this conclusion and reconnect it back to your main argument instead of just ending your paragraph with that point.

There was not much confusion in your piece because it was clear and straightforward. However, the section about syntax was a bit unclear so you might want to look at that again.

Sincerely,

Saivardhan Mada