

# Applied Math HW 1

Colin Williams

September 11, 2021

## Question 1

Show that any linear transformation  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  can be expressed as

$$f(x) = Ax$$

where  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix.

*Proof.*

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$  be a column vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, recall that  $x$  can be expressed as

$$x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + \dots + x_n e_n$$

where  $(e_j)$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus, by the linearity of  $f$ , we can say

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + \dots + x_n e_n) \\ &= f(x_1 e_1) + f(x_2 e_2) + \dots + f(x_n e_n) \\ &= x_1 f(e_1) + x_2 f(e_2) + \dots + x_n f(e_n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(e_j) \end{aligned}$$

Next, denote  $f(e_j)$  as the following vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$f(e_j) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1j} \\ a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mj} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, substitute this expression into the previous summation to get:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j f(e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \begin{bmatrix} a_{1j} \\ a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mj} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1} \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m2} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + x_n \begin{bmatrix} a_{1n} \\ a_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 a_{11} + x_2 a_{12} + \dots + x_n a_{1n} \\ x_1 a_{21} + x_2 a_{22} + \dots + x_n a_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 a_{m1} + x_2 a_{m2} + \dots + x_n a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= Ax \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

## Question 2

Show that any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $m < n$  has full rank if and only if the map  $x \mapsto Ax$  is surjective.

*Proof.*

First, assume  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is of full rank, i.e.  $\text{Rank}(A) = m$ . By definition of Rank, this means that  $A$  has  $m$  linearly independent columns. Let  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be these linearly independent columns (Note that since  $m < n$ , this is not all columns of  $A$ ). Note that  $S$  must be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$  since it is a set of  $m$  linearly independent vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Therefore, any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  can be expressed as a linear combination of vectors in  $S$ . Thus, since the matrix-vector multiplication  $Ax$  can be thought of as a linear combination of the columns of  $A$ , we know that there exists some solution to the equation  $Ax = y$  which means that the map  $x \mapsto Ax$  is surjective.

Next, assume that the map  $x \mapsto Ax$  is surjective. This means that for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we can find a solution to the equation  $Ax = y$ . Since this matrix-vector multiplication can be thought of as a linear combination of the columns of  $A$ , we can say that  $y$  is an element of the column space of  $A$ . Since this can be done for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we can say that the column space of  $A$  is equal to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . In other words, we have that  $\dim(\text{Column Space}) = \text{Rank}(A) = m$ . Thus,  $A$  is full rank.  $\square$

## Question 3

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , with  $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ .

(a) Show that  $A$  can be written as an outer product  $uv^T$ , with  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

- *Proof.* Since  $\text{Rank}(A) = 1$ , we know that  $A$  only has 1 linearly independent column. In other words, every column of  $A$  is equal to a scalar multiple of the first column of  $A$ :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \beta_1 a_1 & \beta_2 a_1 & \dots & \beta_n a_1 \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

Where  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (Clearly,  $\beta_1 = 1$  but the presentation seemed nicer to leave it as is). Thus, if we define

$$u := a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad v := \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we get that

$$uv^T = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot [\beta_1 \quad \beta_2 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n] \\ = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \beta_1 & u_1 \beta_2 & \dots & u_1 \beta_n \\ u_2 \beta_1 & u_2 \beta_2 & \dots & u_2 \beta_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_n \beta_1 & u_n \beta_2 & \dots & u_n \beta_n \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \beta_1 a_1 & \beta_2 a_1 & \dots & \beta_n a_1 \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} \\ = A$$

Notice that  $a_1$  is nonzero and that not every  $\beta_i$  is zero or otherwise  $A$  would be the zero matrix which has Rank of zero. Thus,  $u, v \neq 0$  and we have shown the desired statement.  $\square$

(b) Give an interpretation of  $\text{null}(A)$  and  $\text{range}(A)$  in terms of the vectors  $u$  and  $v$  in part (a).

- Recall that  $\text{null}(A)$  is the set of all vectors  $x$  such that  $Ax = 0$ . However, in our case, this is equivalent to evaluating  $uv^T x = 0$ . Since Matrix multiplication is an associative operation, we can evaluate this as

$u(v^T x) = 0$ . Note that  $v^T x \in \mathbb{R}$  so  $u(v^T x) = 0 \iff v^T x = 0$ . Therefore,  $x$  must be an orthogonal vector to  $v$ , meaning we can interpret  $\text{null}(A)$  as

$$\text{null}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \text{ is orthogonal to } v\}$$

- Recall that  $\text{range}(A)$  is the span of the columns of  $A$ . However in our case, every column is a multiple of  $u$ , so we can say that

$$\text{range}(A) = \{\beta u \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

## Question 4

For  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , show that  $(AB)^* = B^* A^*$ .

*Proof.*

Let us do this by direct computation. I will use the notation  $[C]_{ij}$  to represent the  $(i, j)$ -th component of the matrix  $C$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [AB]_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n [A]_{ik} [B]_{kj} && \text{by definition of matrix product} \\ \implies [(AB)^*]_{ij} &= \overline{\sum_{k=1}^n [A]_{ik} [B]_{kj}} && \text{since adjoint swaps indices then conjugates} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{[A]_{ik} [B]_{kj}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{[A]_{ik}} \overline{[B]_{kj}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{[B]_{kj}} \overline{[A]_{ik}} && \text{since adjoint swaps indices then conjugates} \\ &= [B^* A^*]_{ij} && \text{by definition of matrix product} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, I have shown that the  $(i, j)$ -th component of  $(AB)^*$  is equal to the  $(i, j)$ -th component of  $B^* A^*$  which proves the two matrices are equal.  $\square$

## Question 5

- (a) Show that

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq n\|x\|_\infty$$

*Proof.*

- First, note that

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |x_j| \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

Thus, let  $k$  be the index of the maximum coordinate of  $x$  so that  $\|x\|_\infty = |x_k|$ . Then, we clearly have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_\infty &= |x_k| \\ &\leq |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_k| + \dots + |x_n| \\ &= \|x\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first inequality.

- Next, notice that since  $|x_k|$  is the maximum of all coordinates of  $x$  that  $|x_i| \leq |x_k|$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_1 &= |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| \\ &\leq |x_k| + |x_k| + \dots + |x_k| \\ &= n|x_k| \\ &= n\|x\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus, I have shown both inequalities hold true.

□

(b) Show that

$$\|A\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}, \quad A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

defines a matrix norm on  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

*Proof.*

- Notice that  $a_{ij}^2$  is guaranteed to be non-negative since  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $\|A\|$  is the square root of the sum of non-negative numbers, so  $\|A\| \geq 0$  which clearly has equality when  $A$  is the zero matrix. On the other hand, if  $\|A\| = 0 \implies \|A\|^2 = 0$ , then we can conclude that each  $a_{ij}^2 = 0$  since we're evaluating a sum and each term is non-negative. Thus,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ .
- Next, notice the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \|a_j\|_2^2 && \text{by definition of vector 2-norm} \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

The final expression is simply the vector 2-norm of the vector composed of each column of  $A$  stacked on top of each other. Thus, the matrix norm we are given can be thought of as the vector 2-norm for a vector  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \cdot n}$  where the tilde simply represents a re-indexing of the matrix  $A$ . Thus, we can use the fact that the vector 2-norm satisfies the Triangle Inequality to say:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A + B\| &= \|\widetilde{A + B}\|_2 \\ &= \|\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\tilde{A}\|_2 + \|\tilde{B}\|_2 \\ &= \|A\| + \|B\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Triangle Inequality is satisfied for the Matrix Norm

- Lastly, let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be a scalar, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha A\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha a_{ij})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^2 a_{ij}^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \|A\|^2 \\ \implies \|\alpha A\| &= |\alpha| \|A\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this function satisfies all three required properties of a norm.

□