

# Complex Analysis Homework 5

Colin Williams

October 7, 2020

## Question 1

Let  $\text{Log}(z)$  be a principal value of the logarithm, i.e.,

$$\text{Log}(z) = \ln(r) + i\theta \quad \text{for } z = re^{i\theta}, \quad r > 0, \quad -\pi < \theta \leq \pi.$$

Show that for any two nonzero complex numbers  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , that

$$\text{Log}(z_1 z_2) = \text{Log}(z_1) + \text{Log}(z_2) + 2N\pi i \quad (1)$$

where  $N$  has the value 0, 1, or  $-1$ .

**Answer.**

First, let  $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$  and  $z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$  for  $r_1, r_2 > 0$  and  $-\pi < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \pi$ . Then we have that

$$z_1 z_2 = (r_1 e^{i\theta_1})(r_2 e^{i\theta_2}) = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}$$

Clearly  $r_1 r_2 > 0$  since  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ . However, with  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$  we have three different cases:

Case 1:  $-2\pi < \theta_1 + \theta_2 \leq -\pi$

Since the argument of  $z_1 z_2$  is not in the desired interval for  $\text{Log}(\cdot)$ , we have to adjust  $z_1 z_2$  to be  $z_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + 2\pi)}$  which now puts the argument of  $z_1 z_2$  in the interval  $(0, \pi]$  which is acceptable. Thus,  $\text{Log}(z_1 z_2) = \ln(r_1 r_2) + i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + 2\pi) = (\ln(r_1) + i\theta_1) + (\ln(r_2) + i\theta_2) + 2\pi i = \text{Log}(z_1) + \text{Log}(z_2) + 2\pi i$  which satisfies (1) with  $N = 1$ .

Case 2:  $-\pi < \theta_1 + \theta_2 \leq \pi$

Now the argument of  $z_1 z_2$  is clearly in the desired interval so we need not make any changes and can simply note that  $\text{Log}(z_1 z_2) = \ln(r_1 r_2) + i(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = (\ln(r_1) + i\theta_1) + (\ln(r_2) + i\theta_2) = \text{Log}(z_1) + \text{Log}(z_2)$  which satisfies (1) with  $N = 0$ .

Case 3:  $\pi < \theta_1 + \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$

Once again, the argument of  $z_1 z_2$  is not in the desired interval, so we must make the adjustment  $z_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 - 2\pi)}$  which now puts the argument of  $z_1 z_2$  in the interval  $(-\pi, 0]$  which is acceptable. Thus,  $\text{Log}(z_1 z_2) = \ln(r_1 r_2) + i(\theta_1 + \theta_2 - 2\pi) = (\ln(r_1) + i\theta_1) + (\ln(r_2) + i\theta_2) - 2\pi i = \text{Log}(z_1) + \text{Log}(z_2) - 2\pi i$  which satisfies (1) with  $N = -1$ .

Thus, since these 3 cases determine all possibilities, and we have shown that all three cases relate to (1) with only  $N = 1, 0, 1$ , we have satisfied the question.