

Table of Contents

1	Exercise 1	2
2	Exercise 2	3
3	Exercise 3	5
4	Exercise 4	6

Exercise 1

Prove the following result: Suppose there exists a positive-definite symmetric matrix P and a positive scalar α which satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} PA + A^T P + C^T C + 2\alpha P & PB \\ B^T P & -\gamma^{-2} I \end{bmatrix} \leq 0.$$

Then the system (11.18)-(11.19) is globally asymptotically stable about the origin with rate of convergence α .

Where (11.18)

$$\dot{x} = Ax + B\phi(Cx)$$

and (11.19)

$$\|\phi(z)\| \leq \gamma \|z\|.$$

Solution:

From the Schur complement result we can rewrite the given matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} -\gamma^{-2} I &< 0 \\ PA + A^T P + 2\alpha P - PB(-\gamma^{-2} I)^{-1} B^T P &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the second equation can be organized to be

$$\begin{aligned} PA + A^T P + 2\alpha P + \gamma^2 P B B^T P &< 0 \\ PA + A^T P + \gamma^2 P B B^T P &< -2\alpha P < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and from **Theorem 18** we can say that if

$$PA + A^T P + \gamma^2 P B B^T P < 0$$

is satisfied the system (11.18)-(11.19) is globally asymptotically stable about the origin with Lyapunov matrix P . And now if we let $Q = \alpha P > 0$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\min}(P^{-1}Q) &= \lambda_{\min}(P^{-1}\alpha P) \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the rate of convergence is α .

q.e.d

Exercise 2

Recall the double inverted pendulum of Exercise 34. Using the results of this section, obtain a value of the spring constant k which guarantees that this system is globally exponentially stable about the zero solution.

The double inverted pendulum is described as

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\theta}_1 + 2\dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_2 + 2k\theta_1 - k\theta_2 - \sin \theta_1 &= 0 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 - \dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 - k\theta_1 + k\theta_2 - \sin \theta_2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Solution:

The given system equations can be modified as

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\theta}_1 &= -2\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2 - 2k\theta_1 + k\theta_2 + \sin \theta_1 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 &= \dot{\theta}_1 - \dot{\theta}_2 + k\theta_1 - k\theta_2 + \sin \theta_2\end{aligned}$$

In space-state representation it becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \\ \ddot{\theta}_1 \\ \ddot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2k & k & -2 & 1 \\ k & -k & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sin \theta_1 \\ \sin \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now if we define $x_1 := \theta_1$, $x_2 := \theta_2$, $x_3 := \dot{\theta}_1$, and $x_4 := \dot{\theta}_2$, we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \ddot{x}_3 \\ \ddot{x}_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2k & k & -2 & 1 \\ k & -k & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sin x_1 \\ \sin x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We structure the nonlinearity to be

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(x) &= \sin x_1 \\ \psi_2(x) &= \sin x_2\end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned}-1 &\leq \sin x_1 \leq 1 \\ -1 &\leq \sin x_2 \leq 1\end{aligned}$$

The system matrices become

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2k & k & -2 & 1 \\ k & -k & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0], \quad C_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

Now if $z_1 := x_1$, $z_2 := x_2$, $\lambda_1 = 1$, and λ_2 , we can say that

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \phi_1(\lambda_1^{-1} z_1) \\ \lambda_2 \phi_2(\lambda_2^{-1} z_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad z_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p_i}.$$

Then the system can also be expressed as

$$\dot{x} = Ax + \tilde{B}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{C}x)$$

with

$$\tilde{B} := [\lambda_1^{-1} B_1 \quad \lambda_2^{-1} B_2], \quad \tilde{C} := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 C_1 \\ \lambda_2 C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Provided what we have so far we can setup the LMI to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} PA + A^T P + \lambda_1^2 C_1^T C_1 + \lambda_2^2 C_2^T C_2 & \gamma P B_1 & \gamma P B_2 \\ \gamma B_1^T P & -\lambda_1^2 I & 0 \\ \gamma B_2^T P & 0 & -\lambda_2^2 I \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Since $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$, and $\lambda_2 = 1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} PA + A^T P + C_1^T C_1 + C_2^T C_2 & P B_1 & P B_2 \\ B_1^T P & -I & 0 \\ B_2^T P & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

$$0 < P$$

Now we solve this using MATLAB's LMI Toolbox, and the code is as follows.

As a result, we obtain the minimal spring constant k that guarantees that this system is GES about the zero solution to be

$$k = 18.0398$$

with a corresponding P matrix of

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 119.9267 & -72.4921 & 1.1087 & -0.6401 \\ -72.4921 & 47.4346 & -0.6401 & 0.4686 \\ 1.1087 & -0.6401 & 2.6295 & -1.3891 \\ -0.6401 & 0.4686 & -1.3891 & 1.2405 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 3

Prove the following result: Suppose there exists a positive-definite symmetric matrix P and a positive scalar α which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} PA + A'P + 2\alpha P &\leq 0 \\ B'P &= C \end{aligned}$$

Then the system (11.37)-(11.38) is globally exponentially stable about the origin with rate α and with Lyapunov matrix P .

Where (11.37) is

$$\dot{x} = Ax - B\phi(Cx)$$

and (11.38) is

$$z'\phi(z) \leq 0$$

for all z .

Solution:

If $V = x'Px$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= \dot{x}'Px + x'P\dot{x} \\ &= 2x'P\dot{x} \\ &= 2x'P(Ax - B\phi(Cx)) \\ &= 2x'PAx - 2x'PB\phi(Cx) \\ &= x'(PA + A'P)x - 2x'C'\phi(Cx) \\ &= x'(PA + A'P)x - 2(Cx)'\phi(Cx) \\ &\leq x'(PA + A'P)x. \end{aligned}$$

Since from the given conditions we know that

$$PA + A'P < -2\alpha P$$

we can posit that

$$\dot{V} < -2\alpha V.$$

Hence, the system (11.37)-(11.38) is globally exponentially stable about the origin with rate α and with a Lyapunov matrix P .

q.e.d

Exercise 4

Consider the transfer function

$$\hat{g}(s) = \frac{\beta s + 1}{s^2 + s + 2}$$

Using Lemma 12, determine the range of β for which this transfer function is SPR. Verify your results with the KYSPR lemma.

Solution:

This transfer function can be expressed as the following state space model

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [1 \quad \beta], \quad D = 0$$

From Lemma 12 we first check the stability of this transfer function, so

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^2 + \lambda + 2 = 0$$

which gives us eigenvalues of

$$\text{eig}(A) = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{7}i}{2}.$$

Since the eigenvalues have a negative real part **this system is stable**.

Next, we check the dissipativity of the transfer function.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(j\omega) &= \frac{\beta j\omega + 1}{-\omega^2 + j\omega + 2} \\ &= \frac{1 + \beta j\omega}{(2 - \omega^2) + j\omega} \\ &= \frac{(1 + \beta j\omega)((2 - \omega^2) - j\omega)}{((2 - \omega^2) + j\omega)((2 - \omega^2) - j\omega)} \\ &= \frac{2 + (\beta - 1)\omega^2 - ((2 - \omega^2)\beta\omega - \omega)j}{(2 - \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\hat{g}(j\omega) + \hat{g}(j\omega)' = \frac{2 + (\beta - 1)\omega^2}{(2 - \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2}$$

which is greater than 0 when $\beta \geq 1$, thus

$$\hat{g}(j\omega) + \hat{g}(j\omega)' > 0 \quad \text{if } \beta \geq 1$$

Finally, we check the asymptotic side condition

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \omega^2 \frac{2 + (\beta - 1)\omega^2}{(2 - \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2} &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{2}{\omega^2} + (\beta - 1)}{(\frac{2}{\omega^2} - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{\omega^2}} \\ &= \beta - 1.\end{aligned}$$

This becomes positive when only $\beta > 1$. Hence,

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \omega^2 \hat{g}(j\omega) + \hat{g}(j\omega)' \neq 0.$$

Thus, from Lemma 12 we have proven this transfer function to be **strictly positive real (SPR)**.

Let us verify this using the KYSPR lemma. First we check the observability and controllability of the system when $\beta = 1.2$.

$$\begin{aligned}Q_c &= [B \quad AB] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{rank}(Q_c) &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the system is controllable.

$$\begin{aligned}Q_o &= \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.2 \\ -2.4 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{rank}(Q_o) &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the system is observable.