

Updating my blog: a quick GPT chatbot coding experiment

2022 Dec 06

[See all posts](#)

The [GPT chatbot](#) has been all the rage the last few days. Along with many important use cases like [writing song lyrics](#), acting as a [language learning buddy](#) and coming up with convincing-sounding arguments [for arbitrary political opinions](#), one of the things that many people are excited about is the possibility of using the chatbot to write code.

In a lot of cases, it can succeed and write some pretty good code especially for common tasks. In cases that cover less well-trodden ground, however, it can fail: witness its hilariously broken attempt to [write a PLONK verifier](#):

```
// the maximum number of field elements in a proof array array array
uint256 constant maxProofArrayArrayArrayFieldElements = 2**256 - 2;

// the maximum number of field elements in a proof array array array array array
uint256 constant maxProofArrayArrayArrayArrayFieldElements = 2**256 - 2;

// the maximum number of field elements in a proof array array array array array array array
uint256 constant maxProofArrayArrayArrayArrayArrayFieldElements = 2**256 - 2;

// the maximum number of field elements in a proof array array array array array array array array array
uint256 constant maxProofArrayArrayArrayArrayArrayArrayFieldElements = 2**256 - 2;

// the maximum number of field elements in a proof array array array array array array array array array array array
uint256 constant maxProofArrayArrayArrayArrayArrayArrayArrayFieldElements = 2**256 - 2;
```

(In case you want to know how to do it kinda-properly, [here is a PLONK verifier](#) written by me)

But how well do these tools actually perform in the average case? I decided to take the GPT3 chatbot for a spin, and see if I could get it to solve a problem very relevant to me personally: changing the IPFS hash registered in my `vitalik.eth` ENS record, in order to make the [new article](#) that I just released on my blog viewable through ENS.

The process of updating the ENS view of my blog normally consists of two steps: first, publish the updated contents to IPFS, and second, update my ENS record to contain the IPFS hash of the new contents. [Fleek](#) has automated the first part of this for me for a long time: I just push the contents to Github, and Fleek uploads the new version to IPFS automatically. I have been told that I could change the settings to give Fleek the power to also edit my ENS, but here I want to be fully "self-sovereign" and not trust third parties, so I have not done this. Instead, so far, I have had to go to the GUI at [app.ens.domains](#), click a few times, wait for a few loading screens to pass, and finally click "ADD / EDIT RECORD", change the CONTENT hash and click "Confirm". This is all a cumbersome process, and so today I finally thought that I would write a script in javascript to automate this all down to a single piece of Javascript that I could just copy-paste into my browser console in the future.

The task is simple: send an Ethereum transaction to the right address with the right calldata to update the content hash record in the ENS contract to equal the IPFS hash that Fleek gives to me. Yesterday, I did this all manually (twice, once to publish and again to add some corrections), and the

IPFS hashes I got were:

[bafybeifvseiarzdfogadphxtfu5yjfj3cr6x344qce4s4f7wqyf3zv4e](#)

[bafybeieg6fhhjlkhzhbyfnmyid3ko5ogxp3mykdarsfyw66lmq6lq5z73m](#)

If you click through to the top article in each one, you'll see the two different versions.

This hash format is often called a "bafyhash", because the hashes all begin with "bafy". But there is a problem: the format of the hash that is saved in Ethereum is *not* a bafyhash. Here's the calldata of the transaction that made one of the update operations:

Txn Type: 2 (EIP-1559) Nonce: 941 Position In Block: 87

Function: setContenthash(bytes32 node, bytes hash)

MethodID: 0x304e6ade

[0]:	ee6c4522aab0003e8d14cd40a6af439055fd2577951148c14b6cea9a53475835
[1]:	0040
[2]:	0026
[3]:	e30101701220b5ac888047232ba001bcf79969dc24a64ec51f5f7ce4044e4b85
[4]:	fda182ef35e100

View Input As ▾ Decode Input Data

*Yes, I checked, that is **not** hexadecimalized ASCII.*

I do know that the IPFS content hash is the last two rows of the data. How do I know? Well, I checked the [two](#) different [transactions](#) I sent for my two updates, and I saw that the top row is the same and the bottom two rows are different. Good enough.

So what do I do to convert from a bafyhash into a binary IPFS content hash? Well, let me try asking the GPT3 chatbot!



Write some code to convert from an IPFS bafyhash into binary format



To convert a bafyhash into binary format, you can use the `base58` library to decode the bafyhash and then convert the resulting bytes into a binary string. Here is an example:

Copy code

```
import base58

bafyhash = 'QmZ4pcsk4s4v4sBgNtHnv7VAKNdYXJ1rNhZtKjZtccQ0Bu'

# Decode the bafyhash into bytes
decoded_bytes = base58.b58decode(bafyhash)

# Convert the bytes into a binary string
binary_string = ''.join([bin(b)[2:].zfill(8) for b in decoded_bytes])

print(binary_string) # Outputs:
"000000101110111010111000001010101101110111011101110101000101000001011101011101010100010001010101110010111010101"
```

In the example above, we first import the `base58` library, which provides functions for encoding and decoding data using the base58 encoding scheme. Then, we define a bafyhash string and use the `b58decode()` function from the `base58` library to decode it into a bytes object. Finally, we convert the bytes object into a binary string by iterating over each byte in the object and converting it to a binary string using the `bin()` function.

Noooo!!!!!!!!!! Many issues. First, two things that are my fault:

1. I forgot to mention this, but I wanted Javascript, not python.
2. It uses external dependencies. I want my javascript copy-pasteable into the console, so I don't want any libraries.

These are on me to specify, though, and in my next instruction to the chatbot I will. But now we get to the things that *are* its fault:

1. Bafyhashes are base 32, *not* base 58. There is a base-58 format for IPFS hashes, but those are called "QM hashes", not "bafyhashes".
2. By "binary" I didn't want literal ones and zeroes, I wanted the normal binary format, a bytes or bytearray.

That said, at this part of the story I did not even realize that bafyhashes are base 32. I fixed the two issues that *were* my fault first:



Write some Javascript code to convert from an IPFS bafyhash into binary format, without any external libraries



To convert a bafyhash into binary format using JavaScript, you can use the built-in `atob()` function to decode the bafyhash from base58 encoding, and then use the `map()` method to convert each character in the resulting string into its binary representation. Here is an example:

Copy code

```
const bafyhash = 'QmZ4pcsk4s4v4s8gNtHnv7VAKNdYXJ1rNhZtKjZtccQ08u';


// Decode the bafyhash from base58 encoding
const decodedString = atob(bafyhash);


// Convert each character in the decoded string into its binary representation
const binaryString = [...decodedString].map(c => c.charCodeAt(0).toString(2)).join('');

console.log(binaryString); // Outputs:
"00000010111011101011100000101010011011101110111011101010001010000010111010111010100010001010101110010111010101"
```

BAAAAAAAAAAAAAD, the AI trainer said sheepishly! The atob function is for [base 64, not base 58](#).

OK, let's keep going. A few rounds later...

 Do it without the atob function

 To convert from base58 to hexadecimal format in JavaScript without using external libraries or the `atob()` function, you can implement the base58 decoding algorithm yourself using JavaScript's built-in string and array methods. Here is an example:

Copy code

```
const base58String = 'QmZ4pcsk4s4v4s8gNtHnv7VAKNdYXJ1rNhZtKjZtccQD8u';

// Create a lookup table for the base58 alphabet
const alphabet = '123456789ABCDEFGHJKLMNPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijkmnopqrstuvwxyz';
const base = alphabet.length;
const lookupTable = {};
for (let i = 0; i < alphabet.length; i++) {
  lookupTable[alphabet[i]] = i;
}

// Decode the base58-encoded string
const decodedString = base58String.split('').reduce((acc, curr) => {
  const value = acc.value * base + lookupTable[curr];
  const chars = acc.chars.concat(value >> 8);
  if (value & 0xff) {
    chars.push(value & 0xff);
  }
  return { value, chars };
}, { value: 0, chars: [] }).chars;

// Convert each character in the decoded string into its hexadecimal representation
const hexString = decodedString.map(c => c.toString(16)).join('');

console.log(hexString); // Outputs: "12205519b9e1b7be35a3a6c7eae3700f6e2f6a96cf6b4f6"
```

Try again

It's hard to see what's going on at first, but it's incredibly wrong. Basically, instead of converting the whole string from base 58 to base 16, it's converting *each individual digit* to base 16. Not what I want to do!

Guess I'll have to tell it what strategy it should use:



Without the `atob` function, convert first from base58 into a biginteger, then from a biginteger into hex



To convert from base58 to hexadecimal format in JavaScript without using external libraries or the `'atob()'` function, you can first implement the base58 decoding algorithm to convert the base58-encoded string into a big integer, and then use the built-in `'BigInt'` class to convert the big integer into a hexadecimal string. Here is an example:

 Copy code

```
const base58String = 'QmZ4pcsk4s4v4s8gNtHnv7VAKNdYXJ1rNhZtKjZtccQD8u';

// Create a lookup table for the base58 alphabet
const alphabet = '123456789ABCDEFGHJKLMNPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz';
const base = alphabet.length;
const lookupTable = {};
for (let i = 0; i < alphabet.length; i++) {
  lookupTable[alphabet[i]] = i;
}

// Decode the base58-encoded string into a big integer
const bigInt = base58String.split('').reduce((acc, curr) => acc * BigInt(base) +
  BigInt(lookupTable[curr]), BigInt(0));

// Convert the big integer into a hexadecimal string
const hexString = bigInt.toString(16);

console.log(hexString); // Outputs: "122055f9b9e1bfbe35a3a6c7eae3700f6e2f6a96cf6b4f6"
```

Better! I soon start to realize that I don't need base 58, I need base 32, and furthermore I need the *lowercase* version of base 32. I also want the code wrapped in a function. For these simpler steps, it gets much more cooperative:



There is one more problem: the alphabet should be lowercase instead of uppercase. Can you fix that, and continue using var?



To fix the error in the `base32ToHex` function, you need to use a lowercase version of the base32 alphabet. This can be done by simply changing the string that defines the alphabet to use lowercase letters instead of uppercase letters. Here is an example:

Copy code

```
function base32ToHex(base32String) {  
  // Create a lookup table for the base32 alphabet  
  var alphabet = 'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz234567';  
  var base = alphabet.length;  
  var lookupTable = {};  
  alphabet.split('').forEach(function(char, i) {  
    lookupTable[char] = i;  
  });  
  
  // Decode the base32-encoded string into a big integer  
  var bigInt = base32String.split('').reduce(function(acc, curr) {  
    return acc * BigInt(base) + BigInt(lookupTable[curr]);  
  }, BigInt(0));  
  
  // Convert the big integer into a hexadecimal string  
  var hexString = bigInt.toString(16);  
  
  return hexString;  
}
```

Try again

At this point, I try actually passing the bafyhashes I have into this function, and I get unrecognizably different outputs. Looks like I can't just assume this is generic base 32, and I have to poke into the details. Hmm, can I perhaps ask the GPT3 chatbot?



How are IPFS bafyhashes constructed? I know they use base32, but how specifically



IPFS bafyhashes are constructed using a combination of the base32 encoding scheme and a cryptographic hashing algorithm called SHA-256. The base32 encoding scheme is used to convert the output of the SHA-256 algorithm into a string of printable characters that can be easily transmitted and stored.

To construct an IPFS bafyhash, the SHA-256 algorithm is first applied to the data that you want to store in the IPFS network. The output of the SHA-256 algorithm is a fixed-size string of binary digits (bits), which is then converted into a base32-encoded string using the base32 alphabet. The resulting base32-encoded string is the IPFS bafyhash for the data.

Here is an example of how the SHA-256 and base32 encoding algorithms are used to construct an IPFS bafyhash:

Copy code

```
// Define the data that you want to store in the IPFS network
const data = 'Hello, world!';

// Apply the SHA-256 algorithm to the data to create a hash
const hash = crypto.createHash('sha256').update(data).digest('hex');

// Convert the hash into a base32-encoded string using the base32 alphabet
const alphabet = 'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz234567';
const base = alphabet.length;
const lookupTable = {};
alphabet.split('').forEach(function(char, i) {
  lookupTable[char] = i;
});
const bafyhash = hash.split('').reduce(function(acc, curr) {
  return acc * BigInt(base) + BigInt(lookupTable[curr]);
}, BigInt(0)).toString(32);
```

Try again

OK, this is not helpful. Let me try to be more specific.



But how does it ensure that hashes always start with "bafy"?



The IPFS bafyhash algorithm ensures that all bafyhashes start with the string "bafy" by using a special version of the base32 alphabet that includes the string "bafy" at the beginning. This modified base32 alphabet is used instead of the standard base32 alphabet when converting the output of the SHA-256 algorithm into a base32-encoded string.

Here is an example of how the modified base32 alphabet is used to construct an IPFS bafyhash:

Copy code

```
// Define the data that you want to store in the IPFS network
const data = 'Hello, world!';

// Apply the SHA-256 algorithm to the data to create a hash
const hash = crypto.createHash('sha256').update(data).digest('hex');

// Convert the hash into a base32-encoded string using the modified base32 alphabet
const alphabet = 'bafyabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz234567';
```

This is an.... interesting guess, but it's totally wrong. After this point, I give up on the GPT3 for a while, and keep poking at the generated hex and the actual hex in python until I find similarities. Eventually, I figure it out: I actually do convert both hexes to literal binary, and search from a binary substring of one in the other. I discover that there is an offset of 2 bits.

I just edit the code manually, compensating for the offset by dividing the bigint by 4:

```
function bafyToHex(bafyString) {
  // Create a lookup table for the base32 alphabet
  var alphabet = 'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz234567';
  var base = alphabet.length;
  var lookupTable = {};
  alphabet.split('').forEach(function(char, i) {
    lookupTable[char] = i;
  });

  // Decode the base32-encoded string into a big integer
  var bigInt = bafyString.split('').reduce(function(acc, curr) {
    return acc * BigInt(base) + BigInt(lookupTable[curr]);
  }, BigInt(0)) / BigInt(4);

  // Convert the big integer into a hexadecimal string
  var hexString = bigInt.toString(16);

  return 'e30101701220' + hexString.slice(-64);
}
```

Because I already know what to do, I also just code the part that generates the entire calldata myself:

```
function bafyToCalldata(bafyString) {
  return (
    '0x304e6ade' +
    'ee6c4522aab0003e8d14cd40a6af439055fd2577951148c14b6cea9a53475835' +
    '0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000040' +
    '0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000026' +
    bafyToHex(bafyString) +
    '0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000'
  )
}
```

Anyway, then I switch to the next task: the portion of the Javascript that actually sends a transaction. I go back to the GPT3.



Write a javascript function to send an Ethereum transaction with the `calldata` passed as input and the `to` address 0x4976fb03c32e5b8cfe2b6ccb31c09ba78ebaba41, without using any libraries



To write a JavaScript function that sends an Ethereum transaction with the `calldata` passed as input and the `to` address `0x4976fb03c32e5b8cfe2b6ccb31c09ba78ebaba41`, you can use the `web3.eth.sendTransaction` method from the Web3.js library. Here is an example of how to implement this function:

NOOOOO! I SAID NO LIBRARIES!!!!1!1!

I tell it what to use directly:



Don't use the web3.js library. Instead, use `window.ethereum.send`



To write a JavaScript function that sends an Ethereum transaction with the `calldata` passed as input and the `to` address `0x4976fb03c32e5b8cfe2b6ccb31c09ba78ebaba41`, without using the Web3.js library, you can use the `window.ethereum.send` method. Here is an example of how to implement this function:

Copy code

```
function sendEthereumTransaction(calldata) {  
  // Set the "to" address for the transaction  
  const to = '0x4976fb03c32e5b8cfe2b6ccb31c09ba78ebaba41';  
  
  // Set the transaction options  
  const options = {  
    to: to,  
    data: calldata,  
    gas: 3000000,  
    gasPrice: '10000000000'  
  };  
  
  // Send the transaction  
  window.ethereum.send('eth_sendTransaction', [options], function(error, result) {  
    if (error) {  
      console.error(error);  
    } else {  
      console.log(result);  
    }  
  });  
}
```

This is more successful. Two errors though:

1. A from address actually is required.
2. You can't stick an integer into the gas field, you need a hex value.

Also, post EIP-1559, there really isn't much point in hard-coding a gasPrice. From here, I do the rest of the work myself.

```
function bafyToHex(bafyString) {  
  // Create a lookup table for the base32 alphabet  
  var alphabet = 'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz234567';  
  var base = alphabet.length;  
  var lookupTable = {};  
  alphabet.split('').forEach(function(char, i) {  
    lookupTable[char] = i;  
  });  
  
  // Decode the base32-encoded string into a big integer  
  var bigInt = bafyString.split('').reduce(function(acc, curr) {  
    return acc * BigInt(base) + BigInt(lookupTable[curr]);  
  }, BigInt(0)) / BigInt(4);  
  
  // Convert the big integer into a hexadecimal string  
  var hexString = bigInt.toString(16);  
}
```

I ask the GPT-3 some minor questions: how to declare an async function, and what keyword to use in Twitter search to search only tweets that contain images (needed to write this post). It answers both flawlessly: `do async function functionName` to declare an async function, and use `filter:images` to filter for tweets that contain images.

Conclusions

The GPT-3 chatbot was helpful as a programming aid, but it also made plenty of mistakes. Ultimately, I was able to get past its mistakes quickly because I had lots of domain knowledge:

- I know that it was unlikely that browsers would have a builtin for base 58, which is a relatively niche format mostly used in the crypto world, and so I immediately got suspicious of its attempt to suggest `atob`
- I could eventually recall that the hash being all-lowercase means it's base 32 and not base 58
- I knew that the data in the Ethereum transaction had to encode the IPFS hash in *some* sensible way, which led me to eventually come up with the idea of checking bit offsets
- I know that a simple "correct" way to convert between base A and base B is to go through some abstract integer representation as an in-between, and that Javascript supported big integers.
- I knew about `window.ethereum.send`
- When I got the error that I was not allowed to put an integer into the gas field, I knew immediately that it was supposed to be hex.

At this point, AI is quite far from being a substitute for human programmers. In this particular case, it only sped me up by a little bit: I could have figured things out with Google eventually, and indeed in one or two places I *did* go back to googling. That said, it did introduce me to some coding patterns I had not seen before, and it wrote the base converter faster than I would have on my own. For the boilerplate operation of writing the Javascript to send a simple transaction, it did quite well.

That said, AI is improving quickly and I expect it to keep improving further and ironing out bugs like this over time.

Addendum: while writing the part of this post that involved more copy-paste than thinking, I put on my music playlist on shuffle. The first song that started playing was, coincidentally, [Basshunter's Boten Anna](#) ("Anna The Bot").