ZhihuRank: A Topic-Sensitive Expert Finding Algorithm in Community Question Answering Websites

Xuebo Liu, Shuang Ye, Xin Li, Yonghao Luo, and Yanghui Rao^(⊠)

School of Mobile Information Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, China raoyangh@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract. Expert finding is important to the development of community question answering websites and e-learning. In this study, we propose a topic-sensitive probabilistic model to estimate the user authority ranking for each question, which is based on the link analysis technique and topical similarities between users and questions. Most of the existing approaches focus on the user relationship only. Compared to the existing approaches, our method is more effective because we consider the link structure and the topical similarity simultaneously. We use the real-world data set from *Zhihu* (a famous CQA website in China) to conduct experiments. Experimental results show that our algorithm outperforms other algorithms in the user authority ranking.

Keywords: Community question answering \cdot Expert finding \cdot PageRank \cdot Latent topic modeling

1 Introduction

Community Question Answering (CQA) websites are extremely popular in recent years. In CQA websites, users can raise their own questions, answer the questions posted by others and read the corresponding answers to a question. It is interesting that the CQA websites have strong social characteristics, which are different from the traditional ones, such as $Baidu\ Knows\ (https://www.zhidao.baidu.com)$. Take $Zhihu\ (https://www.zhihu.com)$ as an example, the content read by a user u in his/her home page depend on the people u has followed (i.e., "friends" of u). Therefore, a user is able to see the questions raised by all his/her friends, their answers and their "liked" answers. By allowing the interaction between users, the number of registered users in CQA websites has grown tremendously.

Although the CQA website has attracted many users, it brings several challenges to provide high quality services: (1) **Poor expertise matching:** Many

The research work described in this article has been substantially supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" (Project Number: 46000-31610009).

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015 F.W.B. Li et al. (Eds.): ICWL 2015, LNCS 9412, pp. 165–173, 2015. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-25515-6_15

questions are difficult to be recommended to the expert with the best-matching interest and ability to answer them, which results in suboptimal answers and delay of satisfaction [1]. (2) **No answer:** Since it is hard for users to find questions that they are really interested in, there are many questions with no answer. (3) **Similar questions:** If a user can not find a satisfying answer for one question, he/she may post a new similar question. Thus, it is necessary to use expert finding algorithms in CQA websites to solve these problems.

Traditional algorithms of expert finding are based on the relationship between users primarily [2,3], which are insufficient to get a unique user authority ranking for each question. For example, the appropriate users to answer different questions may differ. If we rank the user authority based on the relationship between users only, the user who is a movie star will have many followers and achieve a high ranking. However, he/she may not be appropriate to answer the questions about computers. We here propose a new algorithm based on the link analysis technique and topical similarities between users and questions, which can get a unique user authority ranking for each question. In our algorithm, we consider all answers posted by a user as a document, the description and all answers to a question are also treated as a document, then we apply latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) [4] to extract topics from both users and questions. After generating these topics, we can measure the topical similarity between questions and answers, and rank users by the relationship between users and the topical similarity between users and questions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the relative works on user ranking in social networks. In Sect. 3, the *ZhihuRank* algorithm is described in detail. Section 4 illustrates the dataset used and the experimental results. We conclude our paper and make a future plan in Sect. 5.

2 Related Work

The existing algorithms computed the user authority in CQA websites by the relationship between users primarily. Bouguessa et al. [5] proposed a method to dig out the expert user, which is based on the best answer the user posted. Jurczyk and Agichtein [6] applied HITS algorithm [3] to their works and compute the user ranking by the followed-following relationship. Zhang et al. [7] proposed an algorithm based on the users' specialty. Although the algorithms that analyze the relationship between users have achieved the desired results, there are some challenging problems that are difficult to be solved by these algorithms, e.g., the expert discovered could not give the satisfying answer to the field he/she is not skilled in. Thus, some other algorithms based on topics were proposed. Guo et al. [8] proposed an algorithm to explore the similarity between users and the askers by the tags of users. Liu et al. [9] used language model and LDA to detect the best answerer.

Recently, there are algorithms that composed the relationship between users and the similarity of topics. *TwitterRank* [10] is a typical algorithm which is able to figure out the influence of Twitter users based on the followed-following

relationship and topic similarities. Zhou et al. [11] proposed a TSPR algorithm for expert recommendation in CQA websites. In the TSPR algorithm [12], the topics of users were first extracted by LDA, and then experts were recommended by the number of answers a user posted and the similarity between the user and the asker. Zhao et al. [13] designed a method to generate experts and topics simultaneously by incorporating the users' contribution dynamically. Chen et al. [14] established the user reputation rating system based on user comments. Pal et al. [15] proposed an algorithm based on Gaussian mixture models to identify topical authorities in microblogs. Liu et al. [16] proposed a new topic model for expert recommendation in CQA websites.

Different from these algorithms, our method considers both the link structure of users and the topical similarity between users and questions, which achieved a competitive performance. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that the topical similarity between users and questions for expert recommendation problems in CQA websites has been considered.

3 ZhihuRank Algorithm

ZhihuRank algorithm is able to figure out the user authority ranking in each question, which is based on the relationship between users and the topical similarities between users and questions. Conventionally, the more "likes" a user receives, the higher authority he/she will achieve, which is similar to the ranking approach of websites. It is important to note that the weight of each "likes" given to an answer is different from the others. Assume that both $User\ B$ with higher authority and $User\ C$ with lower authority give "likes" to $User\ A$, the "likes" from $User\ B$ is often more powerful to improve the authority of $User\ A$ than $User\ C$. Therefore, we compute of influence of users based on the iteration method of PageRank [2]. In addition, since each question may entail different topics and the topics those users are familiar with may be many, we take also the similarity between users and topics into consideration.

3.1 Topic Extraction from Users

We apply LDA [4] to perform the topic extraction from users. LDA is an unsupervised topic extraction model, which is based on the bag of word assumption. It treats each text as a vector whose characteristic of each dimension is the number of a word that appears in the text. Each text can be expressed as the probability distribution of a series of topics and each topic can be expressed as the probability distribution of a series of words. LDA is a nature model for topic extraction from long text, which has been applied to various areas including social emotion mining [17,18], emotional dictionary construction [19], and emerging event detection [20]. In LDA, the probability distribution of topics for each text and words for each topic can be estimated by Gibbs sampling [21]. The detailed process of user topic extraction in *ZhihuRank* is as follows:

Firstly, we consider all answers of a user posted as a text and the one-to-one mapping between a user and its text is established. Meanwhile, all answers to a question are treated as another text. There is also a one-to-one mapping between a question and its text. Secondly, we use LDA to train the text of all users and question, in which, the probability distribution of the topics corresponding to each text θ and probability distribution of the words corresponding to each topic φ can be estimated. Thirdly, we keep θ unchanged and carry out the Gibbs sampling only with the input of user text. Finally, we get a new φ_{uz} as follows.

Definition 1 φ_{UZ} : The user-topic matrix, where U is the number of users, Z is the number of topics. φ_{iz} represents the number of words that assigned to topic z appearing in all answers posted by User i.

3.2 User Authority Transition Matrix

In the past researches on social networks, the relationship of following and being followed between users is often used to generate the user authority transition matrix by iterative computation [22]. Different from the traditional social networks, the approval mechanism is introduced into the CQA websites. Conventionally, the more "likes" a user receives, the higher authority he/she will achieve. Meanwhile, the weights of a "likes" given by different users are varied, i.e., the approval by the expert in a certain field is more powerful to improve the authority of the user whom he/she give "likes" to in this field. In addition, the "likes" given by a user who seldom make approval is of higher value in comparison to those who often delivers "likes". Therefore, we consider the users' authority ranking in each topic as a $Markov\ Chain\ [22]$, and the transition matrix of topic z is defined as follows:

Definition 2

$$T_z(i,j) = \frac{V_{j \to i}}{\sum_{for \, every \, user \, k} V_{j \to k}} \times sim_z(i,j), \tag{1}$$

where T_z represents the user authority transition matrix of topic z. $T_z(i,j)$ represents the influence of User i to User j in topic z. $V_{j\rightarrow i}$ represents the number of "likes" that User j gives to User i, and the denominator is the summation of the number of "likes" that User j gives to all users. $sim_z(i,j)$ represents the similarity between User i and j in topic z.

Definition 3

$$sim_z(i,j) = 1 - 0.5 \times ((\varphi'_{iz} - \varphi'_{jz}) \times ln\left(\frac{\varphi'_{iz}}{\varphi'_{jz}}\right)),$$
 (2)

where φ' is the row-normalized form of matrix φ , i.e., the L_1 -norm of each row is 1. φ'_{iz} reflects the degree of interest of User i in topic z. If the degrees of interest of User i and j in topic z are close, both $\ln\left(\frac{\varphi'_{iz}}{\varphi'_{jz}}\right)$ and $\varphi'_{iz} - \varphi'_{jz}$ tend

to approximate 0 while sim tends to approximate 1,otherwise sim will be small. If there is a huge gap between the degrees of interest of User i and j in topic z, the value of $\ln\left(\frac{\varphi'_{iz}}{\varphi'_{jz}}\right)$ will be positive infinity. The larger the value of sim is, the more similar User i and j in topic z will be.

3.3 User Authority Ranking for Each Topic

In Sect. 3.2, we get the user authority transition matrix iteratively. Next, Zhi-huRank takes the approval relationship between users and the topical similarity into account to compute the authority ranking of users in topic z:

Definition 4

$$UR_z = \lambda T_z \times UR_z + (1 - \lambda)\varphi_z'', \tag{3}$$

where UR_z represents the user authority ranking of topic z. λ is a weighting parameter between 0 and 1. A lager value of λ indicates that the approval relationship between users has a greater influence on the authority ranking. While a smaller value of λ indicates that the degree of interest of the user to topic z has a greater influence on the authority ranking. T_z is the transition matrix described in Sect. 3.2. φ_z'' is the column-normalized form of matrix φ , i.e., the L_1 -norm of each column is 1. It represents the degree of interest of each user to topic z.

After convergence, we get the final result of the user authority ranking for each topic.

3.4 Topic Extraction from Questions

We consider all answers to a question as a document. Then we apply LDA trained in Sect. 3.1, i.e., keep θ unchanged and carry out the Gibbs sampling with the input of question document again. Finally, we get ψ_{QZ} as follows.

Definition 5 ψ_{QZ} : The question-topic matrix, where Q is the number of questions, Z is the number of topics. ψ_{qz} represents the number of words that assigned to topic z in all the answers of question q.

3.5 User Authority Ranking for Each Question

Since we get matrix ψ (the topic distribution of every question) and UR (the user authority ranking of every topic), multiply the two matrices (i.e., Bayes's rule) then we get the user authority ranking of each question.

Definition 6

$$QR = \psi \times UR,\tag{4}$$

where ψ represents the topic distribution of each question. UR represents the user authority ranking of each topic. The multiplication result is the user authority ranking of every question.

4 Experimental Analysis

The previous section introduced the details of our algorithm. In this section, the dataset we used and the experimental results are to be shown.

4.1 Dataset

We use the real-world data from *Zhihu* for experiments. *Zhihu* is one of the most popular question answering communities in China, where all users who "like" an answer are available. Thus, we can get the user authority transition matrix based on users' "like" relationship.

We crawled 576 questions, 9043 users and 209309 answers from Zhihu, and employed Jieba Chinese Text Segmentation (https://www.github.com/fxsjy/jieba) to perform the Chinese word segmentation. The detail process of preparing the above dataset is as follows:

- For each question, its description, contents of all its answers and the real ranking of all answers were crawled.
- For each user, the number of friends, followers, answers, "likes" received and the contents of all answers he/she posted were crawled.

4.2 Parameters

When applying LDA in topic extraction, the hyper parameters α and β are set to be 0.1 and 0.01, respectively. We selected 50 topics, performed 1000 times of iterations and finally got these topics.

When computing the user authority ranking of each topic, λ is set to be 0.85 based on cross-validation.

4.3 Evaluation Metrics

To measure the accuracy of different algorithms, two evaluation metrics commonly used in information retrieval were chosen:

- Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR): This metric is the multiplicative inverse of the rank of the first retrieved expert for each topic.
- *nDCG*:

$$nDCG@K = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q \in Q} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{1}{log_2(j+1)} score(M_{q,j})}{IdealScore(K,q)}.$$
 (5)

In the above, Q is the set of questions. $M_{q,j}$ is the j-th expert generated by method M for question q. $score(M_{q,j}) = 2^{v(M_{q,j})} - 1$. $v(M_{q,j})$ is the ground truth score for the expert $M_{q,j}$. IdealScore(K,q) is the ideal ranking score of the top K experts for question q.

4.4 Comparison with Baselines

In this part, we will introduce the baselines and the criterion to measure the experimental results. The baselines used are:

- In-degree by Number of Followers: This algorithm measures the authority of users according to the number of followers. The more followers a user has, the higher value of the user authority will be.
- In-degree by Number of "Likes": The algorithm measures the authority of users according to the number of "likes" received. The more "likes" a user owns, the higher value of the user authority will be.
- Topic-sensitive PageRank [11]: The algorithm generates the user ranking of each question according to the following aspects: (1) the user topical similarity between the asker and other users; (2) the number of times that users answered the questions raised by the asker.

For convenience of description, the algorithms are denotes as: ZhihuRank (ZR), In-degree by number of followers (IDF), In-degree by number of "likes" (IDV), and Topic-sensitive PageRank (TSPR). The following table shows our experimental results:

ZR	0.84114	0.87893
TSPR	0.63710	0.77037
IDV	0.74568	0.84730
IDF	0.75676	0.85459
Algorithm	MRR	nDCG

Table 1. Performance of expert finding for different methods.

From Table 1, we can observe that the proposed ZR outperformed other methods for both metrics. The results indicate that it is effective to consider the topical similarity between questions and users when computing the user authority ranking.

5 Conclusion

In the proliferating Web 2.0 communities, the behavior of users is highly influenced by the behavior of their neighbors or community members [23]. This paper proposed an effective algorithm to estimate the user authority ranking in CQA websites, which is based on the relationship between users and the topical similarity between users and questions. We evaluated the algorithm by the dataset from Zhihu and experimental results demonstrated the effectiveness of our algorithm when compared to other existing methods. The proposed user authority

ranking algorithm has potential applications in Web-based learning [24] and learner profile generation [25].

In the future, we plan to test the algorithm by more data sets, and design a new topic model to cover the shortcomings of LDA in short text topic extraction when there are few answers to a question.

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions on an earlier version of this paper. The research described in this paper has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61502545), and "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" (Grant No. 46000-31121401).

References

- 1. Agichtein, E., Castillo, C., Donato, D., Gionis, A., Mishne, G.: Finding high-quality content in social media. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Web Search and Web Data Mining (WSDM), pp. 183–194 (2008)
- 2. Brin, S., Page, L.: The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine. Comput. Netw. ISDN Syst. **30**(1–7), 107–117 (1998)
- 3. Kleinberg, J.M.: Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment. J. ACM **46**(5), 604–632 (1999)
- 4. Blei, D.M., Ng, A.Y., Jordan, M.I.: Latent dirichlet allocation. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 3, 993–1022 (2003)
- Bouguessa, M., Dumoulin, B., Wang, S.: Identifying authoritative actors in question- answering forums: the case of Yahoo! answers. In: Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (SIGKDD), pp. 866–874 (2008)
- 6. Jurczyk, P., Agichtein, E.: Discovering authorities in question answer communities by using link analysis. In: Proceedings of the 16th ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pp. 919–922 (2007)
- 7. Zhang, J., Ackerman, M.S., Adamic, L.: Expertise networks in online communities: structure and algorithms. In: Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on World Wide Web (WWW), pp. 221–230 (2007)
- 8. Guo, J., Xu, S., Bao, S., Yu, Y.: Tapping on the potential of q & a community by recommending answer providers. In: Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pp. 921–930 (2008)
- 9. Liu, X., Croft, W.B., Koll, M.: Finding experts in community-based question answering services. In: Proceedings of the 2005 ACM CIKM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pp. 315–316 (2005)
- 10. Weng, J., Lim, E.-P., Jiang, J., He, Q.: Twitterrank: finding topic-sensitive influential twitterers. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Web Search and Web Data Mining (WSDM), pp. 261–270 (2010)
- 11. Zhou, G., Lai, S., Liu, K., Zhao, J.: Topic-sensitive probabilistic model for expert finding in question answer communities. In: Proceedings of the 21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pp. 1662–1666 (2012)
- 12. Haveliwala, T.H.: Topic-sensitive PageRank. In: Proceedings of the 11th International World Wide Web Conference (WWW), pp. 517–526 (2002)

- 13. Zhao, T., Bian, N., Li, C., Li, M.: Topic-level expert modeling in community question answering. In: Proceedings of the 13th SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM), pp. 776–784 (2013)
- 14. Chen, B.-C., Guo, J., Tseng, B., Yang, J.: User reputation in a comment rating environment. In: Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (SIGKDD), pp. 159–167 (2011)
- 15. Pal, A., Counts, S.: Identifying topical authorities in microblogs. In: Proceedings of the Forth International Conference on Web Search and Web Data Mining (WSDM), pp. 45–54 (2011)
- Liu, Y., Qiu, M., Gottipati, S., Zhu, F., Jiang, J., Sun, H., Chen, Z.: CQARank: jointly model topics and expertise in community question answering. In: Proceedings of the 22nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), pp. 99–108 (2013)
- 17. Rao, Y.H., Li, Q., Wenyin, L., Wu, Q.Y., Quan, X.J.: Affective topic model for social emotion detection. Neural Netw. 58, 29–37 (2014)
- 18. Rao, Y.H., Li, Q., Mao, X.D., Wenyin, L.: Sentiment topic models for social emotion mining. Inf. Sci. **266**, 90–100 (2014)
- 19. Rao, Y.H., Lei, J.S., Wenyin, L., Li, Q., Chen, M.L.: Building emotional dictionary for sentiment analysis of online news. World Wide Web J. 17, 723–742 (2014)
- 20. Rao, Y.H., Li, Q.: Term weighting schemes for emerging event detection. In: IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence and Intelligent Agent Technology (WI-IAT), pp. 105–112 (2012)
- 21. Geman, S., Geman, D.: Stochastic relaxation, gibbs distributions, and the bayesian restoration of images. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. **6**(6), 721–741 (1984)
- 22. Norris, J.R.: Markov Chains. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998)
- 23. Xie, H.R., Li, Q., Mao, X.D., Li, X.D., Cai, Y., Rao, Y.H.: Community-aware user profile enrichment in folksonomy. Neural Netw. 58, 111–121 (2014)
- 24. Li, Q., Lau, R.W.H., Wah, B., Ashman, H., Leung, E., Li, F., Lee, V.: Guest editors' introduction: emerging internet technologies for e-learning. IEEE Internet Comput. **13**(4), 11–17 (2009)
- 25. Zou, D., Xie, H., Li, Q., Wang, F.L., Chen, W.: The load-based learner profile for incidental word learning task generation. In: Popescu, E., Lau, R.W.H., Pata, K., Leung, H., Laanpere, M. (eds.) ICWL 2014. LNCS, vol. 8613, pp. 190–200. Springer, Heidelberg (2014)