

Electric Motor Temperature Prediction Using Machine Learning

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

The permanent-magnet synchronous machine (PMSM) drive is one of the best choices for a full range of motion control applications. For example, the PMSM is widely used in robotics, machine tools, actuators, and it is being considered in high-power applications such as industrial drives and vehicular propulsion. It is also used for residential/commercial applications. The PMSM is known for having low torque ripple, superior dynamic performance, high efficiency, and high power density.

1.2 Purpose

The task is to design a model with appropriate feature engineering that estimates the target temperature of a rotor.

In this project, we will be using algorithms such as Linear Regression, Decision Tree, Random Forest and SVM. We will train and test the data with these algorithms and select the best model. The best algorithm will be selected and saved in pkl format. We will be doing flask integration and IBM deployment.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

2.1 Existing problem

To predict the temperature of the rotor accurately, the mechanism of heat generation and conduction for the motor should be researched.

Considering the complexity of the thermal characteristics on the actual motor work condition, the energy transfer paths inside the motor system were simplified.


2.2 Proposed solution

- Data Collection.
- Collect the dataset or Create the dataset
- Data Preprocessing.
- Import the Libraries.

- Importing the dataset.
- Checking for Null Values.
- Data Visualization.
- Taking care of Missing Data.
- Label encoding. o One Hot Encoding. o Feature Scaling. o Splitting Data into Train and Test.
- Model Building o Training and testing the model o Evaluation of Model(decision tree classification)
- Application Building o Create an HTML file o Build a Python Code

3. THEORITICAL ANALYSIS

3.1 Block diagram



3.2 Hardware and software requirements of the project

Hardware

Processor	:	Processor Intel CORE i3 and above
Internet Connection	:	Existing telephone lines, Data card, Fiber net
RAM	:	4 GB


Software

Operating System	:	Windows, Mac, Linux
Language	:	R Programming – R-4.1.1
GUI	:	R Studio

4. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS

4.1 Analysis or the investigation made while working on the solution.



A bar chart or bar graph is a chart or graph that presents categorical data with rectangular bars with heights or lengths proportional to the values that they represent. The bars can be plotted vertically or horizontally.



As we can see, session ids 66, 6, and 20 have the most number of measurements recorded.

Box plot:

A boxplot is a standardized way of displaying the distribution of data based on a five-number summary ("minimum", first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), and "maximum"). It can tell you about your outliers and what their values are.




All features boxplots are plotted and the following conclusions are drawn.

As we can see from the above plots, the mean and median for most of the plots are very close to each other. So the data seems to have low skewness for almost all variables.


Distribution plot:

The distribution plot is suitable for comparing range and distribution for groups of numerical data. Data is plotted as value points along an axis.

```
In [9]: 1 plt.figure(figsize = (15,10))
2
3 for i in range(len(df.columns)-1):
4     plt.subplot(3,4,i+1)
5     sns.distplot(df.iloc[:,i])
6
7 plt.show()
8
```



5. FLOWCHART



6. RESULT

RESULT Final findings (Output) of the project along with screenshots.

Linear model Accuracy

```
y_pred,r2score,mse = get_r2score_mse(MLG_model,x_test,y_test)
display(r2score,mse)
```

Accuracy : 77.39%

Mean Squared Error : 0.22414302708228925

Decision Tree model Accuracy

```
y_pred,r2score,mse = get_r2score_mse(tree_model,x_test,y_test)
display(r2score,mse)
```

Accuracy : 99.87%

Mean Squared Error : 0.0013198958720427526

Random Forest model Accuracy

```
y_pred,r2score,mse = get_r2score_mse(rand_tree,x_test,y_test)
display(r2score,mse)
```

Accuracy : 99.94%

Mean Squared Error : 0.0005694348334251511

7. Advantages of PMSM Motors

- **Higher efficiency than Brushless DC Motors**
- No torque ripple when motor is commutated
- Higher torque and better performance
- More reliable and less noisy, than other asynchronous motors
- High performance in both high and low speed of operation
- Low rotor inertia makes it easy to control
- Efficient dissipation of heat
- Reduced size of the motor

Disadvantages of PMSM Motors

- There is a risk of demagnetization of the poles which may be caused by a large armature current. Demagnetization can also occur due to excessive heating and also when the motor is in an overload for a long period of time.
- Extra ampere cannot be added to reduce the armature reaction.
- The magnetic field of the PMDC motor is preset at all times, even when the motor is not being used.
- The permanent magnet produces a high flux density as that an externally supplied shunt field does. Therefore, a PMDC motor has lower induced torque per ampere-turns of armature current than a shunt motor of the same rating.
- Permanent magnet motor solutions tend to need a higher initial cost than the use of AC induction motors so more difficult to start up than AC induction motors.

8. Application

- **Servo Mechanism in Automobiles:** Servo mechanisms is a set of motors and motor controllers that produce motion at a higher energy level than the input applied. PMSM motors are the first choice of Motors to support such mechanism. This is because PMSM Motors are highly efficient, produce less noise and are resistant to wear and tear. One example is the servo brake that amplifies the force used by the driver on the brake pedals. Another example is the Servo Steering which is one step ahead of the regular power steering. This also makes use of a PMSM motor.
- **Electric Vehicle Drivetrain:** Baring a few Electric Vehicles which use BLDC motors, most OEMs are deploying AC motors to power the EV drivetrain. And PMSM is the preferred choice. Reasons being high power density and the availability of efficient PMSM motor control solutions.

9. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this work was to predict the temperature of synchronous motor with a permanent magnet using machine learning methods. First of all, in this work a study of the subject area and a review of publications with research established in the laboratory of the university of Paderborn SDPM. With the help of the unified UML language, diagrams are designed that show how the implementation model can act as a part of the control system of the mechanism that works on SDPM. To implement this project, the method of machine learning Random Forest Regression is chosen. The implementation tool is python programming language with add-ins such as NumPy, Pandas, Scikit-learn, matplotlib, and seaborn. A comparison of the machine learning method and the means of implementation with analogues is also performed. Because of this this project, a study of data from the SDPM sensory data set is conducted, the predicted feature, which was the temperature of the stator winding, is determined, and this feature was predicted. After analysing the obtained forecasting results, we can conclude that the purpose and main objectives of this work are achieved and the trained model can be used to accurately estimate and predict the stator and rotor temperatures of a synchronous motor on permanent magnets.

10. Future Scope

New features are being introduced in the vehicles at an unprecedented rate. And motors, especially smart motor systems are at the core of such innovations.

The scope of this work was restricted to the application of simple machine learning algorithms to a PMSM due to data availability. Future work could however aim to generalize across different motor types which will require collecting data more representative of the diverse types of motors.

11. Bibliography

References

1. I. Boldea, L. N. Tutelea, L. Parsa, and D. Dorrell, “Automotive electric propulsion systems with reduced or no permanent magnets: an overview,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 5696–5711, 2014. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
2. H. Liang, Y. Chen, S. Liang, and C. Wang, “Fault detection of stator inter-turn short-circuit in PMSM on stator current and vibration signal,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 9, p. 1677, 2018. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
3. D. Huang, W. Li, Y. Wang, and Z. Cao, “Influence of magnetic slot wedge on rotor losses and temperature field of PMSM,” *Electric Machines and Control. Magnetics*, vol. 20, pp. 60–66, 2016. View at: [Google Scholar](#)
4. Y. Chen, S. Liang, W. Li, H. Liang, and C. Wang, “Faults and diagnosis methods of permanent magnet synchronous motors: a review,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 10, p. 2116, 2019. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
5. W. Li, Y. Chen, X. Li, and S. Liang, “Matching quality detection system of synchronizer ring and cone,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 17, p. 3622, 2019. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
6. J. Dong, Y. Huang, L. Jin et al., “Thermal optimization of high-speed permanent motor,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 50, Article ID 7018504, 2014. View at: [Publisher Site](#) | [Google Scholar](#)
7. K.-S. Kim, B.-H. Lee, and H.-J. Kim, “Thermal analysis of outer rotor type IPMSM using thermal equivalent circuit,” in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems*, pp. 1–4, Sapporo, Japan, October 2012. View at: [Google Scholar](#)

12. Appendix

12.1 Dataset and Importing Libraries

```
In [1]: 1 import numpy as np
        2 import pandas as pd
        3 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        4 from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
        5 import seaborn as sns
        6 from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
        7 from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
        8 from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
        9 from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
       10 from sklearn.metrics import r2_score, mean_squared_error
       11 from sklearn.svm import SVR
       12 import pickle
```

```
In [2]: 1 df = pd.read_csv(".\dataset\pmsm_temperature_data.csv")
        2 df
```

	ambient	coolant	u_d	u_q	motor_speed	torque	i_d	i_q	pm	stator_yoke	stator_tooth	s
0	-0.752143	-1.118446	0.327935	-1.297858	-1.222428	-0.250182	1.029572	-0.245880	-2.522071	-1.831422	-2.068143	-2
1	-0.771263	-1.117021	0.329665	-1.297686	-1.222429	-0.249133	1.029509	-0.245832	-2.522418	-1.830969	-2.064859	-2
2	-0.782892	-1.116681	0.332771	-1.301822	-1.222428	-0.249431	1.029448	-0.245818	-2.522673	-1.830400	-2.064073	-2
3	-0.780935	-1.116764	0.333700	-1.301852	-1.222430	-0.248836	1.032845	-0.246955	-2.521639	-1.830333	-2.063137	-2
4	-0.774043	-1.116775	0.335206	-1.303118	-1.222429	-0.248701	1.031807	-0.246610	-2.521900	-1.830498	-2.062795	-2
...
998065	-0.047497	0.341638	0.331475	-1.246114	-1.222428	-0.255640	1.029142	-0.245723	0.429853	1.018568	0.836084	0.
998066	-0.048839	0.320022	0.331701	-1.250655	-1.222437	-0.255640	1.029148	-0.245736	0.429751	1.013416	0.834438	0.
998067	-0.042350	0.307415	0.330946	-1.246852	-1.222430	-0.255640	1.029191	-0.245701	0.429439	1.002908	0.833836	0.
998068	-0.039433	0.302082	0.330987	-1.249505	-1.222432	-0.255640	1.029147	-0.245727	0.429558	0.999157	0.830504	0.
998069	-0.043803	0.312666	0.330830	-1.246590	-1.222431	-0.255640	1.029141	-0.245722	0.429166	0.987163	0.828046	0.

998070 rows x 13 columns

12.2 Pre Processing

```
In [3]: 1 df.shape
```

```
(998070, 13)
```

```
In [4]: 1 df.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 998070 entries, 0 to 998069
Data columns (total 13 columns):
#   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype  
---  -
0   ambient         998070 non-null float64
1   coolant         998070 non-null float64
2   u_d             998070 non-null float64
3   u_q             998070 non-null float64
4   motor_speed     998070 non-null float64
5   torque          998070 non-null float64
6   i_d             998070 non-null float64
7   i_q             998070 non-null float64
8   pm              998070 non-null float64
9   stator_yoke     998070 non-null float64
10  stator_tooth    998070 non-null float64
11  stator_winding  998070 non-null float64
12  profile_id      998070 non-null int64  
dtypes: float64(12), int64(1)
memory usage: 99.0 MB
```

```
In [5]: 1 df.isnull().sum()
```

```
ambient      0
coolant      0
u_d          0
u_q          0
motor_speed  0
torque       0
i_d          0
i_q          0
pm           0
stator_yoke  0
stator_tooth 0
stator_winding 0
profile_id   0
dtype: int64
```

```
In [6]: 1 df.describe()
```

	ambient	coolant	u_d	u_q	motor_speed	torque	i_d	i_q	pm
count	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000	998070.000000
mean	-0.003905	0.004723	0.004780	-0.005690	-0.006336	-0.003333	0.006043	-0.003194	-0.004351
std	0.993127	1.002423	0.997878	1.002330	1.001229	0.997907	0.998994	0.997912	0.995688
min	-8.573954	-1.429349	-1.655373	-1.861463	-1.371529	-3.345953	-3.245874	-3.341639	-2.631951
25%	-0.599385	-1.037925	-0.826359	-0.927390	-0.951892	-0.266917	-0.756296	-0.257269	-0.672301
50%	0.268157	-0.177187	0.267542	-0.099818	-0.140246	-0.187246	0.213935	-0.190078	0.094361
75%	0.686675	0.650709	0.358491	0.852625	0.853584	0.547171	1.013975	0.499260	0.680691
max	2.967117	2.649032	2.274734	1.793498	2.024164	3.016971	1.060937	2.914185	2.917451

12.3 Training Model

```
In [30]: 1 x = df.drop(["pm", "stator_yoke", "stator_tooth", "stator_winding", "profile_id", "torque"], axis = 1).values
2 x.shape
3
(998870, 7)
```

```
In [31]: 1 y = df.iloc[:, [8]].values
2 y
array([[ -2.522071 ],
       [ -2.5224178 ],
       [ -2.5226731 ],
       ...,
       [  0.4294391 ],
       [  0.42955777 ],
       [  0.4291662 ]])
```

```
In [32]: 1 x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x, y, test_size = 0.2)
```

```
In [33]: 1 scale = StandardScaler()
2 x_train = scale.fit_transform(x_train)
3 x_test = scale.transform(x_test)
```

Linear Regression Model

```
In [34]: 1 MLG_model = LinearRegression()
2 MLG_model.fit(x_train, y_train)
LinearRegression()
```

Decision Tree Rgression Model

```
In [35]: 1 tree_model = DecisionTreeRegressor()
2 tree_model.fit(x_train, y_train)
DecisionTreeRegressor()
```

Random Forest Regression Model

```
In [36]: 1 rand_tree = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators = 11)
2 rand_tree.fit(x_train, y_train)
```

C:\Users\Mohamed Adnan\AppData\Local\Temp\ipykernel_8\2741476015.py:2: DataConversionWarning: A column-vector y was passed when a 1d array was expected. Please change the shape of y to (n_samples,), for example using ravel().

```
rand_tree.fit(x_train, y_train)
```

```
RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=11)
```

12.4 Evaluation Metrics

Evaluation Metrics

```
In [37]: 1 def get_r2score_mse(model,x_test,y_test):
          2     y_pred = model.predict(x_test)
          3     r2score = r2_score(y_test,y_pred)
          4     mse = mean_squared_error(y_test,y_pred)
          5     return y_pred,r2score,mse

In [38]: 1 def get_mse(y_test,y_pred):
          2     mse = mean_squared_error(y_test,y_pred)
          3     return mse

In [39]: 1 def display(r2score,mse):
          2     print("Accuracy : {:.2f}%".format(r2score*100))
          3     print("Mean Squared Error : {}".format(mse))
```

Linear model Accuracy

```
In [40]: 1 y_pred,r2score,mse = get_r2score_mse(MLG_model,x_test,y_test)
          2 display(r2score,mse)
```

Accuracy : 47.08%
Mean Squared Error : 0.5242079356001519

Decision Tree model Accuracy

```
In [41]: 1 y_pred,r2score,mse = get_r2score_mse(tree_model,x_test,y_test)
          2 display(r2score,mse)
```

Accuracy : 97.05%
Mean Squared Error : 0.02922133103788543

Random Forest model Accuracy

```
In [42]: 1 y_pred,r2score,mse = get_r2score_mse(rand_tree,x_test,y_test)
          2 display(r2score,mse)
```

Accuracy : 98.41%
Mean Squared Error : 0.015753181721280776

12.5 Flask Implementation

Electric Motor Temperature

Electric Motor Temperature Prediction

Fill in and below details to know predicted Permanent Magnet surface temperature representing the rotor temperature.

Predicted Permanent Magnet surface temperature: -2.5222409

-0.752143

-1.118446

0.327935

-1.297858

-1.222428

1.029572

-0.245860

Submit

