

# Principal Component Analysis

2016160040 최선묵

Dept. of Mathematics  
Korea University

# Contents

## 1. Prerequisites for PCA

- Orthogonal Complement
- Orthogonal Projection and Decomposition
- Covariance

## 2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Principal Components
- PCA Projection Perspective
- PCA Maximum Variance Perspective
- Explained Variances

# Orthogonal Complement

## Orthogonal Complement

Let  $V$  be a vector space and let  $U$  be its subspace. Then the orthogonal complement of  $U$  is the set

$$U^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0, \forall \mathbf{u} \in U\}.$$

## Direct Sum

Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be two subspaces of  $V$ . For each  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , if there exist  $\mathbf{u}_1 \in U_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in U_2$  uniquely such that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2$ , then  $V$  is the direct sum of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , and we write  $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$ .

Properties If  $U$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the following hold.

- $\dim(U) + \dim(U^\perp) = n$
- $\mathbb{R}^n = U \oplus U^\perp \iff$  Orthogonal Decomposition
- $(U^\perp)^\perp = U$

# Orthogonal Projection and Orthogonal Decomposition

## Projection

Let  $V$  be a vector space. If  $\{\mathfrak{u}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{u}_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis for the subspace  $U$  of  $V$ , then the orthogonal projection of  $\mathfrak{w} \in V$  onto  $U$  is the vector  $proj_U \mathfrak{w} = \langle \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{u}_1 \rangle \mathfrak{u}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{u}_k \rangle \mathfrak{u}_k$ .

Generally, a linear map  $\pi: V \rightarrow U$  is a projection if  $\pi \circ \pi = \pi$ .

## Orthogonal Decomposition

Let  $V$  be a vector space with  $\dim(V) = n$  and let  $U$  be its subspace.

Let  $\{\mathfrak{u}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{u}_k\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{u}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{u}_n\}$  be orthonormal bases for  $U$  and  $U^\perp$ , respectively, then the orthogonal decomposition of a vector  $\mathfrak{w} \in V$  is as follows:

$$\mathfrak{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathfrak{u}_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i \mathfrak{u}_i \in U \oplus U^\perp$$

Here,  $a_i = \langle \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{u}_i \rangle$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $b_i = \langle \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{u}_i \rangle$  for  $i = k+1, \dots, n$ .

Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathfrak{u}_i$  and  $\sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i \mathfrak{u}_i$  are the orthogonal projections onto  $U$  and  $U^\perp$ , respectively.

## Covariance

- Variance is defined for a random variable  $X$  which tells how far a set of numbers is spread out from their average value. It is defined mathematically as follows:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2.$$

- Covariance is defined for two random variables  $X, Y$  which tells the joint variability. It is defined mathematically as follows:

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y].$$

Note that  $\text{Cov}(X, X) = \text{Var}(X)$  and it has collinearity.

- Covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  can be defined for a random vector  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$  whose elements are as follows:

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \Sigma_{ji} = \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])(X_j - \mathbb{E}[X_j])], \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

By definition,  $\Sigma$  is symmetric. Note that any covariance matrix is positive semi-definite, that is,  $\mathbf{x}^\top \Sigma \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Sample Covariance matrix

- Let  $\mathcal{X} = [\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be a dataset, that is,  $\chi_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a sample data. Let  $\bar{\chi} = (\bar{\chi}_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_d)$  be a sample mean vector of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\bar{\chi}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{X})_{ij}$ . Then the sample covariance matrix  $\mathcal{S}$  is computed as follows:

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} (\mathcal{X} - \bar{\chi})^\top (\mathcal{X} - \bar{\chi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$$

In short, if we set  $Z = \mathcal{X} - \bar{\chi}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} Z^\top Z \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ .

- Note that the denominator is  $n - 1$  rather than  $n$  due to Bessel's correction.
- If the population mean of  $X_j$  is known as  $\mu_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , then the sample variance is defined as

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{n} (\mathcal{X} - \mu)^\top (\mathcal{X} - \mu)$$

where  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ .

## Principal Components

For any sample covariance matrix  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ ,

- it is positive semi-definite, so that its eigenvalues are nonnegative.
- it is real symmetric, so that it is orthogonally diagonalizable.

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  be eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$ , and let  $v_i$ 's be orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_i$ 's for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

Then, we call the eigenvectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  **the top  $k$  principal components** of  $\mathcal{X}$  for  $k \leq d$ .

# Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

## Problem Setup

- Given a dataset  $\mathcal{X} = [\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and a positive integer  $k \leq d$ , we want to find the best linear projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  onto a lower dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\dim(U) = k$ . Here, the ‘best’ linear projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = [\tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_n]^\top$  is the linear projection which minimizes

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2.$$

- Sometimes, the compressed/encoded data can be expressed in two ways:  $\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  which lie in different dimensional spaces. In this sense,  $\tilde{\chi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a reconstructed data.
- Note that PCA can be also considered as finding  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  which has the smallest reconstruction loss.
- We additionally assume that the population mean of each dimension of data is zero. Then, the sample variance  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  will be  $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{X}^\top \mathcal{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^\top \chi_i$ .



## PCA Projection Perspective

**Claim:**  $\tilde{\chi}_i$  is the projection of  $\chi_i$  onto the subspace spanned by top  $k$  principal components of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

We prove the claim using the mathematical induction on  $k$ .

Base case ( $k = 1$ )

Let  $v_1$  be a normal basis (row) vector of some subspace  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\dim(U) = 1$ . Then, we have

$$\tilde{\chi}_i = (v_1 \chi_i^\top) v_1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, we need to find  $v_1^* = \arg \min_{v_1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2 = \arg \min_{v_1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|(v_1^\top \chi_i) v_1 - \chi_i\|^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2 &= (\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i)(\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i)^\top = (\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i)(\tilde{\chi}_i^\top - \chi_i^\top) = \|\tilde{\chi}_i\|^2 - (\tilde{\chi}_i \chi_i^\top + \chi_i \tilde{\chi}_i^\top) + \|\chi_i\|^2 \\ &= (v_1 \chi_i^\top)^2 \|v_1\|^2 - (v_1 \chi_i^\top)(v_1 \chi_i^\top) - (v_1 \chi_i^\top)(\chi_i v_1^\top) + \|\chi_i\|^2 = \|\chi_i\|^2 - (v_1 \chi_i^\top)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is equivalent to find  $v_1^* = \arg \max_{v_1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_1 \chi_i^\top)^2$ .

## PCA Projection Perspective

Base case ( $k = 1$ ) continued...

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_1 x_i^\top)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_1 x_i^\top)(x_i v_1^\top) = v_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\top x_i \right) v_1^\top = v_1 S v_1^\top$$

Now, it is just an optimization problem of finding  $v_1^* = \arg \max_{v_1} v_1 S v_1^\top$  subject to  $\|v_1\| = 1$ .

We solve the problem by solving Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(v_1, \lambda_1) = v_1 S v_1^\top - \lambda_1 (v_1 v_1^\top - 1)$ .

- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_1} = v_1 v_1^\top - 1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_1 v_1^\top = \|v_1\|^2 = 1.$
- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial v_1} = 2v_1 S - 2\lambda_1 v_1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad S v_1^\top = \lambda_1 v_1^\top \text{ and } v_1 S v_1^\top = \lambda_1.$

Note that  $v_1^\top$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ . Since we are looking for  $v_1$  which maximizes  $v_1 S v_1^\top = \lambda_1$ , we can conclude that  $v_1^*$  is the eigenvector of  $S$  corresponding to the largest eigenvalue with norm 1. By the definition of the top 1 principal component, the base case of the claim is proved.

## PCA Projection Perspective

Induction Hypothesis Assume that the claim holds for  $k - 1$ . ( $k \geq 2$ )

Choose an ordered orthonormal basis  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $U$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  spanned by  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1}\}$ . Then  $U^\perp$  is the subspace spanned by  $\{\nu_k, \dots, \nu_d\}$ . Then, by the orthogonal decomposition, for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , we have

$$\chi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j \nu_j + \sum_{j=k}^d b_j \nu_j \in U \oplus U^\perp$$

where  $a_j = \chi_i \nu_j^\top$  and  $b_j = \chi_i \nu_j^\top$ . Choose a vector  $\varphi \in U^\perp$  such that  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ . Let  $V$  be the subspace spanned by  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1}, \varphi\}$ , then the projection  $\tilde{\chi}_i$  of  $\chi_i$  onto  $V$  is

$$\tilde{\chi}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j \nu_j + (\chi_i \varphi^\top) \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\chi_i \nu_j^\top) \nu_j + (\chi_i \varphi^\top) \varphi.$$

Now, we need to find  $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1}, \varphi\}$  which minimizes  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2$ .

## PCA Projection Perspective

Since we have  $\|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2 = \|\chi_i\|^2 - \{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\chi_i v_j^\top)^2 + (\chi_i \varphi^\top)^2\}$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\{v_1^*, \dots, v_{k-1}^*, \varphi^*\} &= \arg \min_{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, \varphi} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2 \\ &= \arg \max_{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, \varphi} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\chi_i v_j^\top)^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\chi_i \varphi^\top)^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  and  $\varphi$  are linearly independent, it is equivalent to find  $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_{k-1}^*, \varphi^*\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\{v_1^*, \dots, v_{k-1}^*\} &= \arg \max_{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\chi_i v_j^\top)^2 \\ \varphi^* &= \arg \max_{\varphi} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\chi_i \varphi^\top)^2\end{aligned}$$

## PCA Projection Perspective

By induction hypothesis, we know that  $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_{k-1}^*\}$  are the top  $k - 1$  principal components of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore, the subspace  $U$  is the subspace spanned by top  $k - 1$  principal components of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Moreover, as we did in the base case, we know that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\chi_i \varphi^\top)^2 = \varphi \mathcal{S} \varphi^\top$  with  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ . Again, it is another optimization problem, so we find  $\varphi^*$  by solving Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \lambda) = \varphi \mathcal{S} \varphi^\top - \lambda(\varphi \varphi^\top - 1)$ .

- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\varphi\| = 1$
- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} = 2\varphi \mathcal{S} - 2\lambda \varphi = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{S} \varphi^\top = \lambda \varphi^\top \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \mathcal{S} \varphi^\top = \lambda.$

Since we are looking for  $\varphi$  which maximizes  $\varphi \mathcal{S} \varphi^\top = \lambda$ , the vector  $\varphi^{*\top}$  should be an eigenvector of  $\mathcal{S}$  corresponding to the largest eigenvalue. However, since  $\varphi \in U^\perp$  and  $U \cap U^\perp = \{0\}$ , we know that  $\varphi$  cannot be one of the top  $k - 1$  principal components, and it should be the top  $k$ th principal component of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Therefore, the subspace  $V$  is the subspace which is spanned by top  $k$  principal components of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  onto  $V$  minimizes  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2$ . Then, by mathematical induction, the claim is proved.

## PCA Projection Perspective

From the proof, we have concluded that PCA minimizes the reconstruction loss  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2$ . Let's take a look at what the minimized loss will be. Since PCA do the projection, we have that  $\chi_i - \tilde{\chi}_i = \sum_{j=k+1}^d (\chi_i v_j^\top) v_j$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore, the reconstruction loss is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\tilde{\chi}_i - \chi_i\|^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=k+1}^d (\chi_i v_j^\top)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=k+1}^d v_j \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^\top \chi_i \right) v_j^\top \\ &= \sum_{j=k+1}^d \lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

## PCA Maximum Variance Perspective

### Dimension Reduction

- “Retaining most information after data compression is equivalent to capturing the largest amount of variance in the low-dimensional code.” (Harold Hotelling, 1933)

Fix  $k \leq d$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = [\tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_n]^\top$  be the ‘best’ linear projection of  $\mathcal{X}$  in the projection perspective. Then, we have  $\tilde{\chi}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k (\chi_i v_j^\top) v_j$  where  $v_j$  is the top  $j$ th principal component of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Claim:** PCA finds the subspace that preserves the largest amount of variance of data in the compressed data. That is,  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  maximizes the variance of  $\{\chi_1 v_j^\top, \dots, \chi_n v_j^\top\}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

The claim is quite obvious. Since we have assumed that the population mean of each dimension of data is zero, the variance will be the following.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\chi_i v_j^\top)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_j \chi_i^\top) (\chi_i v_j^\top) = \frac{1}{n} v_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^\top \chi_i \right) v_j^\top = v_j \mathbf{S} v_j^\top$$

We can see that the objective of maximization is exactly the same as in the projection perspective, which proves the claim.

## Explained/Captured Variances

Overall, to find an  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that retains as much information as possible, PCA tells us to choose the basis vectors as the  $k$  eigenvectors of the sample covariance matrix  $\mathcal{S}$  that are associated with the  $k$  largest eigenvalues.

The maximum amount of variance PCA can capture with the first  $k$  principal components is  $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$ , and the variance lost by data compression via PCA is  $\sum_{j=k+1}^d \lambda_j$ .

Source: Mathematics for Machine Learning (<https://mml-book.github.io/book/mml-book.pdf>)

Based on the lecture MATH292.01 in KU, taught by Donghun Lee (<https://dhl.korea.ac.kr>)