


[some] Methodological advances since the first avian phylogenomics project [mostly our work]

Siavash Mirarab

University of California, San Diego

1



TENT tree from Jarvis et al, Science, 2014

Challenges

Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins

Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution

Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution
- Gene tree discordance
 - True discordance
 - Spurious discordance


Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution
- Gene tree discordance
 - True discordance
 - Spurious discordance
- Scalability


Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution
- Gene tree discordance
 - True discordance
 - Spurious discordance
- Scalability


Gene tree discordance



Gene tree discordance




The species tree




Gorilla Human Chimp Orangutan

A gene tree

Gene tree discordance



The species tree




Causes of gene tree discordance include:

- Duplication and loss
- Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) and Hybridization
- Incomplete Lineage Sorting (ILS)

Incomplete Lineage Sorting (ILS)

- Can occur when multiple alleles of a gene persist (fail to coalesce) during the lifetime of an ancestral population



“gene” here simply refers to a recombination-free part of the genome

Incomplete Lineage Sorting (ILS)

- Can occur when multiple alleles of a gene persist (fail to coalesce) during the lifetime of an ancestral population



“gene” here simply refers to a recombination-free part of the genome

Incomplete Lineage Sorting (ILS)

- Can occur when multiple alleles of a gene persist (fail to coalesce) during the lifetime of an ancestral population
- Always possible. Likely for:
 - Short branches (# generations)
 - Large populations




“gene” here simply refers to a recombination-free part of the genome

Incomplete Lineage Sorting (ILS)


- Can occur when multiple alleles of a gene persist (fail to coalesce) during the lifetime of an ancestral population
- Always possible. Likely for:
 - Short branches (# generations)
 - Large populations
 - Both characterize rapid radiations



“gene” here simply refers to a recombination-free part of the genome



Gene evolution model



Sequence evolution model

Sequence data (Alignments)

```

ACTGCACACCCG
ACTGC-CCCCG
AATGC-CCCCG
-CTGCACACGG
  
```

```

CTGAGGCATCG
CTGAGC-TCG
ATGAGC-TC-
CTGA-CAC-G
  
```


Sequence data (Alignments)

```


AGCAGGCATCGTG
AGCAGC-TCGTG
AGCAGC-TC-TG
C-TA-CACGGTG
  
```

```

CAGGCACGCACGAA
AGC-CACGC-CATA
ATGGCACGC-C-TA
AGCTAC-CACGGAT
  
```



Gene evolution model



Sequence evolution model

Sequence data
(Alignments)

```

ACTGCACACCCG
ACTGC-CCCCG
AATGC-CCCCG
-CTGCACACGG
  
```

```

CTGAGGCATCG
CTGAGC-TCG
ATGAGC-TC-
CTGA-CAC-G
  
```

Sequence data
(Alignments)

```

AGCAGGCATCGTG
AGCAGC-TCGTG
AGCAGC-TC-TG
C-TA-CACGGTG
  
```

```


CAGGCACGCACGAA
AGC-CACGC-CATA
ATGGCACGC-C-TA
AGCTAC-CACGGAT
  
```


Multi-species coalescent (MSC) model

- A statistical gene tree evolution model for ILS
[Pamilo and Nei, 1988] [Rannala and Yang, 2003]
 - Does not model recombination within a gene

Multi-species coalescent (MSC) model

- A statistical gene tree evolution model for ILS
[Pamilo and Nei, 1988] [Rannala and Yang, 2003]
 - Does not model recombination within a gene
 - In theory, we can infer the species tree given a large **randomly distributed** sample of **recombination-free, reticulation-free, orthologous, error-free** gene trees






ACTGCACACCCG
ACTGC-CCCCG
AATGC-CCCCG
-CTGCACACGG


CTGAGCATCG
CTGAGC-TCG
ATGAGC-TC-
CTGA-CAC-G

9
AGCAGCATCGTG
AGCAGC-TCGTG
AGCAGC-TC-TG
C-TA-CACGGTG

CAGGCACGCACGAA
AGC-CACGC-CATA
ATGGCACGC-C-TA
AGCTAC-CACGGAT



Step 2: infer species trees



Step 1: infer gene trees (traditional methods)


```
ACTGCACACCCG
ACTGC-CCCCG
AATGC-CCCCG
-CTGCACACGG
```

```
CTGAGGCATCG
CTGAGC-TCG
ATGAGC-TC-
CTGA-CAC-G
```

9


```
AGCAGGCATCGTG
AGCAGC-TCGTG
AGCAGC-TC-TG
C-TA-CACGGTG
```

```
CAGGCACGCACGAA
AGC-CACGC-CATA
ATGGCACGC-C-TA
AGCTAC-CACGGAT
```



Challenge 1:

Inferring the species tree from a set of gene trees is difficult for large datasets



ACTGCACACCCG
ACTGC-CCCCG
AATGC-CCCCG
-CTGCACACGG


CTGAGCATCG
CTGAGC-TCG
ATGAGC-TC-
CTGA-CAC-G

9

AGCAGGCATCGTG
AGCAGC-TCGTG
AGCAGC-TC-TG
C-TA-CACGGTG

CAGGCACGCACGAA
AGC-CACGC-CATA
ATGGCACGC-C-TA
AGCTAC-CACGGAT


Number of species impacts estimation error in the species tree



1000 genes, “medium” levels of ILS, simulated species trees
[S. Mirarab, T. Warnow, 2015]


Unrooted quartets under MSC model

For a quartet (4 species), the most probable unrooted quartet tree (among the gene trees) is the unrooted species tree topology
(Allman, et al. 2010)



Unrooted quartets under MSC model

For a quartet (4 species), the most probable unrooted quartet tree (among the gene trees) is the unrooted species tree topology
(Allman, et al. 2010)



The most frequent gene tree

=

The most likely species tree

More than 4 species

For 5 or more species, the unrooted species tree topology can be different from the most probable gene tree (called “anomaly zone”)
(Degnan, 2013)



More than 4 species










For 5 or more species, the unrooted species tree topology can be different from the most probable gene tree (called “anomaly zone”) (Degnan, 2013)

(Degnan, 2013)



1. Break gene trees into $\binom{n}{4}$ quartets of species
 2. Find the dominant tree for all quartets of taxa
 3. Combine quartet trees

Some tools (e.g.. BUCKy-p [Larget, et al., 2010])

		(probabilities are made-up just as an example)									
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Orang.	Chimp							
Orangutan	Chimp		Human	Orang.	50%						
Gorilla	Human		Chimp	Gorilla	Rhesus	Human	Chimp	25%			
Rhesus	Chimp		Rhesus	Chimp	Gorilla	Human	Gorilla	Chimp	24%		
Gorilla	Human		dog	Gorilla	Orang.	Human	dog	Gorilla	Human	dog	7%
Orangutan	Rhesus		Orang.	dog	Gorilla	Human	Orang.	Gorilla	Human	Orang.	87%
Gorilla	Rhesus		Chimp	Gorilla	Orang.	Chimp	Gorilla	Orang.	Rhesus	Chimp	6%
Orangutan	Chimp		Rhesus	Orang.	Rhesus	Gorilla	Orang.	Gorilla	Rhesus	Chimp	6%
Rhesus	Human		Chimp	Rhesus	Orang.	Chimp	Rhesus	Orang.	Human	Chimp	95%
Orangutan	Chimp		Orang.	Chimp	Rhesus	Human	Chimp	Rhesus	Human	Orang.	2%
			Rhesus	Chimp	Orang.	Human	Chimp	Rhesus	Human	Orang.	3%

More than 4 species

For 5 or more species, the unrooted species tree topology can be different from the most probable gene tree (called “anomaly zone”)
(Degnan, 2013)



1. Alternative:
2. weight all 3 ($\binom{n}{4}$) quartet topologies
3. by their frequency and find the optimal tree

(probabilities are made-up just as an example)			
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
Orangutan	Chimp	Human	Orang.
		50%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
Rhesus	Chimp	Human	Chimp
		25%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
		25%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
Rhesus	Chimp	Human	Rhesus
		55%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Rhesus
		19%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
Orangutan	Rhesus	Human	Chimp
		26%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
Orangutan	Rhesus	Human	Chimp
		7%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Orang.
Orangutan	Rhesus	Human	Gorilla
		87%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Gorilla
Orangutan	Rhesus	Human	Orang.
		6%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Chimp
Orangutan	Rhesus	Human	Gorilla
		6%	
Gorilla	Human	Chimp	Chimp
Rhesus	Chimp	Human	Gorilla
		88%	
Rhesus	Human	Chimp	Chimp
Orangutan	Chimp	Human	Rhesus
		6%	
Rhesus	Human	Chimp	Chimp
Orangutan	Chimp	Human	Orang.
		95%	
Rhesus	Human	Chimp	Chimp
Orangutan	Chimp	Human	Rhesus
		2%	
Rhesus	Human	Chimp	Chimp
Orangutan	Chimp	Human	Orang.
		3%	

Maximum Quartet Support Species Tree

$$Score(T) = \sum_1^k |Q(T) \cup Q(t_i)|$$

the set of quartet trees induced by T
a gene tree

- Optimization problem:

Find the species tree with the maximum number of induced quartet trees shared with the collection of input gene trees

Maximum Quartet Support Species Tree

$$Score(T) = \sum_1^k |Q(T) \cup Q(t_i)|$$

the set of quartet trees induced by T
a gene tree

- Optimization problem:

Find the species tree with the maximum number of induced quartet trees shared with the collection of input gene trees

- Statistically consistent under the multi-species coalescent model when solved exactly
[Mirarab, et al, Bioinformatics 2014]

Maximum Quartet Support Species Tree

$$Score(T) = \sum_1^k |Q(T) \cup Q(t_i)|$$


the set of quartet trees induced by T
a gene tree

- Optimization problem:

Find the species tree with the maximum number of induced quartet trees shared with the collection of input gene trees


- Statistically consistent under the multi-species coalescent model when solved exactly
[Mirarab, et al, Bioinformatics 2014]
- ASTRAL: an exact solution using dynamic programming

Number of species impacts estimation error in the species tree




1000 genes, “medium” levels of ILS, simulated species trees
[S. Mirarab, T. Warnow, 2015]

ASTRAL: accurate and scalable



1000 genes, “medium” levels of ILS, simulated species trees
[S. Mirarab, T. Warnow, 2015]

ASTRAL: accurate and scalable



1000 genes, “medium” levels of ILS, simulated species trees
[S. Mirarab, T. Warnow, 2015]

ASTRAL versions

- ASTRAL-I (<v. 4.7.3): 2014 - 2015

ASTRAL versions

- ASTRAL-I (<v. 4.7.3): 2014 - 2015
- ASTRAL-II (<v. 5.1.0): 2015 - 2017
 - Increased the **accuracy** by expanding the search space and improved the **scalability**
 - Can handle **polytomies** in input gene trees

ASTRAL versions


- ASTRAL-I (<v. 4.7.3): 2014 - 2015
- ASTRAL-II (<v. 5.1.0): 2015 - 2017
 - Increased the **accuracy** by expanding the search space and improved the **scalability**
 - Can handle **polytomies** in input gene trees
- ASTRAL-III (>v. 5.1.1): since 2017
 - Better running time, and better search space
 - Especially improved for unresolved trees, making it feasible to remove very low support branches

ASTRAL used widely


Early use:

- Plants: Wickett, et al., 2014, PNAS
- Birds: Prum, et al., 2015, Nature
- Xenoturbella, Cannon et al., 2016, Nature
- Xenoturbella, Rouse et al., 2016, Nature
- Flatworms: Laumer, et al., 2015, eLife
- Shrews: Giarla, et al., 2015, Syst. Bio.
- Frogs: Yuan et al., 2016, Syst. Bio.
- Tomatoes: Pease, et al., 2016, PLoS Bio.
- Angiosperms: Huang et al., 2016, MBE
- Worms: Andrade, et al., 2015, MBE


ASTRAL




ASTRAL-II




ASTRAL-III




Comparison to concatenation: depends on the level of discordance




Comparison to concatenation: depends on the level of discordance



Simulations,
200 species,
deep ILS
[Mirarab and
Warnow, 2016]



Step 2: infer species trees



Step 1: infer gene trees (traditional methods)


```
ACTGCACACCCG
ACTGC-CCCCG
AATGC-CCCCG
-CTGCACACGG
```

```
CTGAGGCATCG
CTGAGC-TCG
ATGAGC-TC-
CTGA-CAC-G
```


19

```
AGCAGGCATCGTG
AGCAGC-TCGTG
AGCAGC-TC-TG
C-TA-CACGGTG
```

```
CAGGCACGCACGAA
AGC-CACGC-CATA
ATGGCACGC-C-TA
AGCTAC-CACGGAT
```



Step 2: infer species trees



Challenge 2:
Gene trees will have errors that will look
like true discordance


-CTGCACACGGG

CTGA-CAC-G

C-TA-CACGGTG


AGCTAC-CACGGAT

Comparison to concatenation: depends on the level of gene tree error



Simulations,
200 species,
deep medium
level ILS
[Mirarab and
Warnow, 2016]

Comparison to concatenation: depends on the level of gene tree error



Simulations,
200 species,
deep medium
level ILS
[Mirarab and
Warnow, 2016]

How to deal with gene tree error?

- Statistical binning (used in Jarvis *et al.*) [Mirarab et al, Science, 2014] and other forms of binning have emerged since [Bayzid, et al, PLOS One, 2015]

How to deal with gene tree error?

- Statistical binning (used in Jarvis *et al.*) [Mirarab et al, Science, 2014] and other forms of binning have emerged since [Bayzid, et al, PLOS One, 2015]
- There are new site-based methods, which avoid gene trees altogether
 - SVDQuartets [Chou, BMC Genomics, 2015]

How to deal with gene tree error?

- Statistical binning (used in Jarvis *et al.*) [Mirarab et al, Science, 2014] and other forms of binning have emerged since [Bayzid, et al, PLOS One, 2015]
- There are new site-based methods, which avoid gene trees altogether
 - SVDQuartets [Chou, BMC Genomics, 2015]
- *BEAST: co-estimate gene trees and species trees.
 - Its recent second version is more scalable

How to deal with gene tree error?

- Statistical binning (used in Jarvis *et al.*) [Mirarab et al, Science, 2014] and other forms of binning have emerged since [Bayzid, et al, PLOS One, 2015]
- There are new site-based methods, which avoid gene trees altogether
 - SVDQuartets [Chou, BMC Genomics, 2015]
 - *BEAST: co-estimate gene trees and species trees.
 - Its recent second version is more scalable
 - revPoMo: concatenation with ILS-aware sequence evolution models [Schrempf et al, J. Theor. Bio., 2016]


How to deal with gene tree error?

- Statistical binning (used in Jarvis *et al.*) [Mirarab et al, Science, 2014] and other forms of binning have emerged since [Bayzid, et al, PLOS One, 2015]
- There are new site-based methods, which avoid gene trees altogether
 - SVDQuartets [Chou, BMC Genomics, 2015]
 - *BEAST: co-estimate gene trees and species trees.
 - Its recent second version is more scalable
- revPoMo: concatenation with ILS-aware sequence evolution models [Schrempf et al, J. Theor. Bio., 2016]
- ASTRAL-III allows a different solution ...

Contract low support branches


- It help to **contract very low support branches**
- Mostly helps in the presence of low support gene trees
- Helps most for large numbers of gene trees

BMC Bioinformatics, 2018, Zhang et al.



Simulations: 100 taxa, simphy,
ILS: around 46% true discordance
FastTree, support from bootstrapping

ASTRAL-III on all 14,446 unbinned gene trees



Beyond topology, ASTRAL estimates

...

- length of internal branches in coalescent units:
generations / population size

Beyond topology, ASTRAL estimates

...

- length of internal branches in coalescent units:
generations / population size
- a measure of branch support called local posterior probability [Sayyari and Mirarab, MBE, 2016]

Beyond topology, ASTRAL estimates

...



- length of internal branches in coalescent units:
generations / population size
- a measure of branch support called local posterior probability [Sayyari and Mirarab, MBE, 2016]
- P-values for a polytomy test
[Sayyari and Mirarab, Genes, 2018]

Beyond topology, ASTRAL estimates

...


- length of internal branches in coalescent units:
generations / population size
- a measure of branch support called local posterior probability [Sayyari and Mirarab, MBE, 2016]
- P-values for a polytomy test
[Sayyari and Mirarab, Genes, 2018]
- quartet-based measures of gene tree discordance

Discovista: visualizing discordance



- <https://github.com/esayyari/DiscoVista>
- Sayyari, et. al. “DiscoVista: Interpretable Visualizations of Gene Tree Discordance.” *MPE* 122 (2018): 110–15.

Discovista: visualizing discordance



- <https://github.com/esayyari/DiscoVista>
- Sayyari, et. al. “DiscoVista: Interpretable Visualizations of Gene Tree Discordance.” *MPE* 122 (2018): 110–15.

How about hybridization?

- **Phylonet** suite of tools make an effort to distinguish ILS and hybridization [e.g., Yu et al, 2014, PNAS]
 - Scalability remains to be tested
- **PhyloNetworks** takes a pseudo-likelihood approach [e.g., Solís-Lemus et al, 2016]

Other source of discordance?


- There has been progress on duplication and loss, but perhaps less relevant to avian phylogenomics

Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution
- Gene tree discordance
 - True discordance
 - Spurious discordance
- Scalability



Challenges

- Sequences that look like they may be incorrect
 - Perhaps wrong annotation



Challenges


- Sequences that look like they may be incorrect
 - Perhaps wrong annotation



TreeShrink


[Mai and Mirarab, BMC Genomics, 2018]

- Automatically detect long branches in gene trees
- It learns a distribution of branch length **per species** and looks for outliers
 - Avoids removing species that have long branches in all genes
 - Reduces discordance of gene trees



Fragmentary sequences



- Sequence of some species is present for some gene, but just a small portion of it



Filtering fragments

[Sayyari et al, MBE, 2017]

- Added fragmentation to simulated data with patterns similar to the Misof. et. al. insect (transcriptome data)
- Filtering simply removes fragmentary data from genes but keeps the gene



Should you remove whole genes?

- Filtering genes based on **missing data**?
 - Generally not beneficial [Molloy and Warnow, 2018]

Should you remove whole genes?

- Filtering genes based on **missing data**?
 - Generally not beneficial [Molloy and Warnow, 2018]
- Filtering genes based on **gene tree estimation error**?
 - Depends on conditions. Occasionally beneficial [Molloy and Warnow, 2018]

Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution
- Gene tree discordance
 - True discordance
 - Spurious discordance
- Scalability

Many tools have improved speed since 2014!

- ASTRAL-MP: super scalable ASTRAL using GPU and CPU multi-threading [under review]
- RAxML-ng+ParGenes: scalable gene tree estimation
- ASTRID, which is similar to NJst, and is quite good, but is super-fast

Challenges

- Errors and incompleteness in data due to annotation, assembly, or other unknown origins
- Models of sequence evolution
- Gene tree discordance
 - True discordance
 - Spurious discordance
- Scalability

Some new models ...

- IQ-TREE:
 - PMFS: Wang et al., Systematic Biology, 2018
 - Heterotachy (GHOST): Crotty et al.
 - Partition models: Chernomor et al., Systematic Biology, 2016
- AA-biochemical model: Braun, ISMB, 2018

Summary

- There are better methods of species tree estimation and data correction available
- Sequence evolution models have not changed dramatically
- Scalability has improved and is not an issue for some but not all analyses
- Many challenges remain!

Acknowledgments



Tandy Warnow



Siavash
Mirarab



S.M. Bayzid



Nam Nguyen
(now at UIUC)




Jim Leebens-mack
(UGA)



Norman Wickett
(U Chicago)



Gane Wong
(U of Alberta)



Erfan
Sayyari



Chao Zhang



Maryam
Hashemi



John Yin



Uyen Mai



Guojie Zhang
(BGI, China)



Tom Gilbert
(U Copenhagen)



Erich Jarvis
(Duke, HMMI)



Bastien Boussau
(Université Lyon)



Ed Braun
(U Florida)