

License Name	Short Description	Common Usage
No License	Full copyright; others have no legal rights to use the code.	Private or proprietary projects
MIT License	Highly permissive; minimal requirements.	Open-source libraries, startups
Apache License 2.0	Permissive with patent protection.	Enterprise & corporate projects
BSD 2-Clause License	Permissive, simple redistribution rules.	Academic and research software
BSD 3-Clause License	BSD 2-Clause + no endorsement clause.	Commercial open-source projects
Boost Software License 1.0	Permissive, library-focused license.	C++ and utility libraries
CC0 1.0 Universal	Public domain dedication.	Samples, templates, reference code
Eclipse Public License 2.0	Weak copyleft; flexible for plugins.	IDEs, enterprise frameworks
GPL v2.0	Strong copyleft; source must be shared.	Linux kernel, system tools
GPL v3.0	GPL v2 + patent & anti-lockdown rules.	Community-driven open-source apps
LGPL v2.1	Weak copyleft for libraries.	Shared libraries used by closed apps
AGPL v3.0	Strong copyleft including SaaS use.	Web apps, network services
MPL 2.0	File-level copyleft.	Mixed open/closed source projects
The Unlicense	No restrictions at all.	Learning projects, demos

License	Commercial Use	Modification	Distribution	Source Required	Copyleft Strength	Best For
MIT	✓	✓	✓	✗	None	Maximum freedom
Apache 2.0	✓	✓	✓	✗	None	Patent-safe open source
BSD (2/3)	✓	✓	✓	✗	None	Research & academia
Boost	✓	✓	✓	✗	None	Libraries
CC0 / Unlicense	✓	✓	✓	✗	None	Public-domain code
MPL 2.0	✓	✓	✓	✓ (files only)	Weak	Mixed projects
LGPL 2.1	✓	✓	✓	✓ (library changes)	Weak	Shared libraries
EPL 2.0	✓	✓	✓	✓ (modified parts)	Weak	Plugins/frameworks
GPL 2.0	✓	✓	✓	✓	Strong	Fully open-source software
GPL 3.0	✓	✓	✓	✓	Strong	Community protection
AGPL 3.0	✓	✓	✓	✓ (network use)	Very Strong	SaaS & web apps
No License	✗	✗	✗	✗	Full restriction	Private code