

PEER-EVALUATION OF MATHEMATICAL WRITING

WHY ARE WE DOING THIS?

Communicating mathematical ideas clearly in writing is a skill that takes practice. It is challenging to learn how to write mathematics well while you are immersed in learning the concepts themselves. Evaluating the clarity of your own writing is also difficult because *you know what you mean to say*.

Our goals in evaluating the mathematical writing of ours peers are to

- (1) provide useful formative feedback to our peers so that they may improve their writing,
- (2) discover that we face challenges that our peers are facing as they learn to write mathematics,
- (3) improve our own mathematical writing by analyzing and constructively critiquing the writing of others.¹

THE RUBRIC

Rating	Beginning (1)	Developing (2)	Accomplished (3)
Notation	Some variables and/or new/non-standard are not defined; <u>consistent</u> mistakes are made with standard notation, e.g., Z instead of \mathbb{Z} ; $=$ used incorrectly	Variables are all defined; <u>few mistakes</u> are made with standard notation; $=$ is used correctly; for example, may make mistakes with \in and \subset	Variables are all defined; all standard notation is used correctly; new notation is efficient and helpful
Language & Clarity	Arguments are unclear or confusing; contains many spelling and grammar mistakes; overuse of symbols and equations instead of sentences	Sometimes challenging to read or understand arguments, contains <u>few</u> spelling and grammar mistakes; full sentences used to clarify equations	Easy to read and understand arguments; contains <u>very few</u> spelling and grammar mistakes; use of full sentences balanced well with equations and symbols adding to the clarity;
Logic	Contains <i>false</i> statements; <u>begins with</u> or <u>assumes</u> the conclusion; quantifiers “for all”, “there exists” used incorrectly; hard to understand logical connections	Follows the proof handbook; <u>most</u> logical connections clear; <u>few</u> missing/IMPLIED quantifiers; all assumptions and conclusion present	Follows proof handbook; connections between statements are clear; quantifiers “for all”, “there exists” are present and used correctly; easy to follow argument
Completeness	Many details are missing; Theorems are used without checking assumptions; uses words like “obvious” to cover up missing details	Few missing details; most assumptions of Theorems used are checked; things called “obvious” really are true and easy to check	All necessary details are present; the assumptions of Theorems used are checked; nothing “obvious” is left out

Date: May 6, 2021.

¹Recent research has shown that the best way to improve your mathematical writing is to read, analyze, and correct proofs that contain (serious) errors. See, for instance: A. Selden and J. Selden, *Validations of Proofs Considered as Texts: Can Undergraduates Tell Whether an Argument Proves a Theorem?*. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, Vol. 34, No. 1 (2003), pp. 4–36. ([stable link](#)).

SOME COMMON ERRORS

Notation.

- Declaring a variable, but forgetting to say what it is, i.e., working with $n = 2k$ but forgetting to say that n and k are integers.
- Reusing one variable for two different purposes
- Interchanging \in and \subset
- Misuse of the number zero 0 and the empty set \emptyset
- Misuse of the notation $a | b$ for “ a divides b ”, i.e., do **not** write “ $2 | 6 = 3$ ”. Instead write: “2 divides 6”, “6 divided by 2 is 3”, or “ $\frac{6}{2} = 3$.”

Language & Clarity.

- Overuse of symbols. Often “ \Rightarrow ” is overused and used incorrectly.
- Please, please, please **don’t** use \therefore and \because . See, it looks terrible!
- Using “Obvious”, “Clearly”, etc. to cover up missing details.

Logic & Mathematical Errors.

- Including false implications in a proof. For instance, writing: “Since $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is nonzero, x must be positive”, instead of the correct statement “Since $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is nonzero, we know that either x is positive or x is negative.”
- To prove “if P then Q ”, you cannot assume that Q is true – you must suppose that P is true and argue that Q is true.
- The negation of $P \Rightarrow Q$ is **not** “NOT $P \Rightarrow$ NOT Q ”. The negation of $P \Rightarrow Q$ is in fact the statement “ P and NOT Q ”.
- Interchanging “there exists = \exists ” and “for all = \forall ”
- Writing “for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ” as part of an induction hypothesis.
- Writing “Suppose” when you mean “Choose” (when proving an existence statement)
- Writing “Choose” when you should “Suppose” (when proving a “for all” statement)
- The number 0 is even (don’t make the mistake of saying that “0 is neither even nor odd”).
- The number 1 is not prime (by definition primes are greater than 1).

Completeness.

- Forgetting to write out the negation when you are proving that a statement is false.
- Forgetting to indicate that your proof is an argument by contradiction.
- Forgetting to indicate that your proof is an argument by mathematical induction.
- Assuming that a proof must be written at a level appropriate for the instructor, and thus omitting important details. Think of proof writing as an opportunity to demonstrate your clear understanding of the topic.
- My advice: write your proof for your “future-self”, i.e., write down all the details that you might forget later, or write your proof for an audience of your peers.
- More advice: if you are using a definition that we gave in class, make sure that you carefully prove that an object satisfies the definition, i.e., to show that 6 is even write “ $6 = 2 \times 3$ and since $3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the number 6 is even.”