Essay assignment.
☑ Indicative length: 2000 (± 500) words, not including references.
☐ Use the <u>manuscript template</u> to follow <i>Geology</i> 's <u>formatting requirements</u> . (You don't need to follow the structure required of a <i>Geology</i> article).
☐ Text double-spaced, 12 pt, Times New Roman, including continuous line numbers.
☐ Figures (maximum 4) included at an appropriate position in the text, each close to its first citation.
☐ Document submitted anonymously.

Literature Lit	Evaluation area	Excellent (70–75) Outstanding (76–85) Exemplary (86–100)		Good (60–64) Very good (65–69)	Sound (50–59)	Acceptable (40–49)	Insufficient (30–39) Unacceptable (<30)	
Critical analysis (40%) G: Evaluation of the strength of evidence and quality of underpinning research H: Implications of controversy placed in broader scientific context S: Development of convincing narrative Communication (30%) T: Evidence connected to argument with clear logical flow U: Mature, engaging and lucid prose; meticulously copyedited content written on microsite G: Reference to primary literate evaluation H: No indication why topic was non-specialists S: Independent arguments do coherent picture T: Reader must work hard to how evidence relates to arguments arguments arguments of the proposal p	Understanding	B: Clear understanding of how fossils can be applied to understand Earth history, including (C) limitations of the						A: Limited engagement beyond microsite's key literature
Communication (30%) S: Development of convincing narrative S: Independent arguments do coherent picture T: Evidence connected to argument with clear logical flow U: Mature, engaging and lucid prose; meticulously copyedited S: Independent arguments do coherent picture T: Reader must work hard to how evidence relates to arguments do coherent picture T: Reader must work hard to how evidence relates to arguments do coherent picture		presented on microsite G: Evaluation of the strength of evidence and quality of underpinning research H: Implications of controversy placed in broader scientific						G: Reference to primary literature without evaluation H: No indication why topic would interest
Overall grade		S: Development of convincing narrative T: Evidence connected to argument with clear logical flow U: Mature, engaging and lucid prose; meticulously						S: Independent arguments do not build a
/100								