

BUILDING AN ASSURANCE CASE FOR
AORTA GEOMETRY RECONSTRUCTION
SOFTWARE

BUILDING AN ASSURANCE CASE FOR AORTA GEOMETRY
RECONSTRUCTION SOFTWARE

BY
JINGYI LIN, M.Eng.

A REPORT
SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING AND SOFTWARE
AND THE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES
OF MCMASTER UNIVERSITY
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTERS OF ENGINEERING

© Copyright by Jingyi Lin, August 2023

All Rights Reserved

Masters of Engineering (2023) McMaster University
(Department of Computing and Software) Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

TITLE: Building an Assurance Case for Aorta Geometry Reconstruction Software

AUTHOR: Jingyi Lin
M.Eng. (Computing and Software CRP),
McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

SUPERVISOR: Smith Spencer

NUMBER OF PAGES: **xvi, 60**

Abstract

Assurance cases have been proven to be effective developing a real-time system software. Another domain that requires the high standard correctness, completeness, and consistency is medical software.

Throughout the development of the Aorta Geometry Reconstruction software, we implicitly listed the evidences that are essential to build our confidence in the software for assurance cases, build the artifact and the evidences simultaneously.

Finally, we present this software with the list of the evidences built for assurance cases, to show that the assurance cases can apply well on the medical software

Your Dedication

Optional second line

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all the people who contributed in some way to the work described in this thesis.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Spencer Smith for his motivation, patience, and the continuous support of my master's studies and research. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Notation, Definitions, and Abbreviations	xi
Declaration of Academic Achievement	xvi
1 Introduction	1
1.1	2
1.2 Methodology	3
1.3 Background	3
1.4 Thesis Outline	6
2 AortaGeomRecon Research and Development	7
2.1 Existing Methods	8
2.2 Segmentation Algorithm	11
2.3 3D Slicer Extension Development	20
3 Assurance Cases and Selected Evidence for AortaGeomRecon	26

3.1	Assurance Case Development	26
3.2	Assurance Case for Software Specification Requirements	29
3.3	Assurance Case for Implementation	36
3.4	Assurance Case for Operational Assumptions	48
3.5	Assurance Case for Inputs Assumptions	51
4	Conclusion and Future Works	53
4.1	Thesis Summary	53
4.2	Future Works	55
A	Your Appendix	57

List of Figures

1.1	Aorta	4
1.2	Organ Segmentation	5
1.3	Simple Assurance Case Diagram	5
2.1	ITK-Snap's Bubble segmentation UI	9
2.2	3D Slicer Built-in Segmentation UI	10
2.3	The Aorta Seeds	12
2.4	Level Sets Segmentation	14
2.5	A label image	15
2.6	A distance map	16
2.7	Code that shows how to calculate the threshold range	16
2.8	A segmented image	17
2.9	Segmentation Result	19
2.10	Jupyter Notebook Research	20
2.11	3D Slicer UI	22
2.12	AortaGeomRecon Module UI	23
2.13	AortaGeomRecon Warning message	24
3.1	AortaGeomRecon Assurance Cases Top Level	28
3.2	AortaGeomRecon Assurance Cases GR	29

3.3	AortaGeomRecon SRS Assumptions	31
3.4	AortaGeomRecon Functional Requirements	32
3.5	AortaGeomRecon Non- Functional Requirements	33
3.6	AortaGeomRecon Traceability Matrix between Data Definitions and Instance Model	34
3.7	AortaGeomRecon Traceability Matrix between Requirements and Other sections	35
3.8	AortaGeomRecon Traceability Matrix between Assumptions and Other sections	35
3.9	AortaGeomRecon Assurance Cases for Implementation	36
3.10	AortaGeomRecon Design Document website	37
3.11	AortaGeomRecon Design Document Glossary	38
3.12	AortaGeomRecon Anticipated Changes	39
3.13	AortaGeomRecon Modules	40
3.14	AortaGeomRecon Module Decomposition Example	41
3.15	AortaGeomRecon Modules Traceability Matrices	42
3.16	AortaGeomRecon Part of the Traceability Matrix on Modules and Code	43
3.17	Spyder Variable Explorer	46
3.18	AortaGeomRecon Assurance Case Operational Assumptions	48
3.19	AortaGeomRecon User Manual on GitHub README	49
3.20	AortaGeomRecon User Instructions on YouTube	50
3.21	AortaGeomRecon Assurance Case Inputs Assumptions	51
3.22	AortaGeomRecon Warning Message	52

List of Tables

Notation, Definitions, and Abbreviations

Definitions

Aorta The aorta is the largest artery of the body and carries blood from the heart to the circulatory system. It has several sections: the aortic root is the transition point where blood first exits the heart. It functions as the water main of the body, the aortic arch, is the curved segment that gives the aorta its cane-like shape. It bridges the ascending and descending aorta. Throughout the documentation, aorta would only include ascending aorta, aortic arch and descending aorta. Abdominal aorta is outside of the scope of the current work.

Ascending Aorta

The ascending aorta is the first part of the aorta, which is the largest blood vessel in the body. It comes out of your heart and pumps blood through the aortic arch and into the descending aorta.

Descending Aorta

The descending aorta is the longest part of your aorta (the largest artery in your body). It begins after your left subclavian artery branches from your aortic arch, and it extends down into your belly. The descending aorta runs from your chest (thoracic aorta) to your abdominal area (abdominal aorta).

Organ Segmentation

The definition of the organ boundary or organ segmentation is helpful for the orientation and identification of the regions of interest inside the organ during the diagnostic or treatment procedure. Further, it allows the volume estimation of the organ, such as the aorta.

DICOM Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) is the standard for the communication and management of medical imaging information and related data.

Inferior Inferior is the direction away from the head; the lower (e.g., the foot is part of the inferior extremity).

Superior Superior is the direction toward the head end of the body; the upper (e.g., the hand is part of the superior extremity).

Slice A 2-dimensional image is retrieved from a 3-dimensional volume.

Binary Dilation

Binary dilation is a mathematical morphology operation that uses a structuring element (kernel) for expanding the shapes in an image.

Label Map A labeled map or a label image is an image that labels each pixel of a source image.

Euclidean Distance Transform

The euclidean distance transform is the map labeling each pixel of the image with the distance to the nearest obstacle pixel (black pixel for this project).

Contour Line A contour line (also isoline, isopleth, or isarithm) of a function of two variables is a curve along which the function has a constant value so that the curve joins points of equal value.

Level Sets Level Sets are an important category of modern image segmentation techniques based on partial differential equations (PDE), i.e. progressive evaluation of the differences among neighboring pixels to find object boundaries. The pictures [2.4](#) demonstrate an example of how Level Sets method work on finding the region of the heart. It starts with a seed contour that is within the region of interest, then by finding the gradient based on the contour line, the segmentation result will propagate towards outside of the region until the maximum difference between the neighboring pixels are reached.

Segmented slice

A 2-dimensional image with interested pixels labeled as 1 and other pixels as 0.

Kernel Size The size of the kernel for binary dilation.

Stop Limit This limit is used to stop the segmentation algorithm. It is used differently in segmentation in inferior direction and segmentation in superior direction.

Threshold Coefficient

This coefficient is used to compute the lower and upper threshold passing through the segmentation filter SITK's ThresholdSegmentationLevelSetImageFilter. The algorithm first uses SITK's LabelStatisticsImageFilter to get the mean and the standard deviation of the intensity values of the pixels that are labeled as the white pixel. Larger values with this coefficient imply a larger range of thresholds when performing the segmentation, which leads to a larger segmented region.

RMS Error Value of RMS change below which the filter should stop. This is a convergence criterion.

Maximum Iteration

Number of iterations to run

Curvature Scaling

Weight of the curvature contribution to the speed term.

Propagation Scaling

Weight of the propagation contribution to the speed term.

Abbreviations

AGR AortaGeomRecon

AortaGeomRecon

3D Slicer's extension module, Aorta Geometry Reconstruction

CT computerized tomography

DD Design Document

DICOM Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine

MG Module Guide

SITK SimpleITK

SRS Software Requirements Specification

Declaration of Academic Achievement

The student will declare his/her research contribution and, as appropriate, those of colleagues or other contributors to the contents of the thesis.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Medical Software is a critical component of patient diagnosis and treatment. The most common observation about the medical software is that this type of software is typically used in a hospital or a clinic, whether it is a system software controlling a machine, or a system to keep track of the patient's case. For our project, we are focusing on the medical software that has a direct and critical impact on the patients' body or has direct influence on the patient's diagnosis. For example, the system software controlling a ventilator is within the discussion of our project, but we will focus on a software that helps the medical workers making diagnosis related to the aorta.

The aorta is one of the most important organs that carries blood from the heart to the other organs. If the aorta failed to carry blood to the other organs, the organs might be permanently damaged quickly. Diagnosis of the aorta is therefore critical, it requires fast and correct response.

1.1

The trustworthiness and assurance that a system will perform as anticipated need thorough testing. When software carries the critical responsibility of examining the human body, administering medication to patients, saving millions of lives, and conversely, even the tiniest bug or error in the implementation process could lead to serious consequences for individuals' well-being. These issues arise when there are problems with how the system works, whether we expected them or not. It's also influenced by factors such as the environment it's in, the risks it might face, and people who might want to cause harm. To have confidence in the system, we need to pay attention to its main qualities and gather strong evidence that it's performing as desired.

Medical software is challenging to ensure its reliability. The way it's built is very delicate, making it difficult for users to assess its inner workings. However, lacking implementation details makes it hard to trust it, especially for something as critical as medical software.

In this context, we aim to explore the feasibility of applying assurance cases to medical software from the outset of development. With carefully selected arguments and evidence, we intend to demonstrate to domain experts that the software delivers accurate outputs when used for its intended purpose in its designated environment, and within its assumed operating conditions.

1.2 Methodology

In this study, we present the outcomes of integrating assurance cases throughout the development of medical software to bolster stakeholders' confidence in the software's capabilities. The software, known as AortaGeomRecon, represents a 3D Slicer extension module designed to semi-automatically construct a 3D model of the aorta using CT scans from a patient's chest. Assurance cases function as a method to provide assurance for a system by presenting arguments that substantiate claims about the system. These arguments are based on evidence related to the system's design, development, and tested behavior.

This case study initially introduces the challenge of Organ/Aorta Segmentation and examines existing solutions, which might necessitate time and effort from domain experts. Subsequently, we elucidate the workflow and logic of our algorithm, along with the operational environment for utilizing this module within 3D Slicer. Finally, we delve into our assurance cases, encompassing chosen arguments and supporting evidence. Through this discussion, we elucidate how these specific components contributed to fostering confidence in the reliability of the medical software.

1.3 Background

In this section, we present some contexts on the key concepts within the scope of our work.

1.3.1 Aorta

Aorta is the largest artery that carries blood from the heart to the circulatory system. It has a cane-like shape with Ascending aorta, Aortic arch and Descending aorta.




Figure 1.1: Aorta

Aorta segmentation in computerized tomography (CT) scans is important for:

- Coarctation of the aorta
- Aortic calcification quantification
- To guide the segmentation of other central vessels.

1.3.2 Organ Segmentation

The definition of the organ boundary or organ segmentation is helpful for the orientation and identification of the regions of interest inside the organ during the diagnostic

or treatment procedure. Further, it allows the volume estimation of the organ, such as the aorta.




Figure 1.2: Organ Segmentation [15]

1.3.3 Assurance Case




Figure 1.3: Simple Assurance Case Diagram [4]

An assurance case can be thought of as a specific type of argumentation used in

various cases [4]. When building an assurance case, you’re essentially making a point that specific evidence backs up a particular statement. The fundamental structure is depicted in Figure 1.3. So, an assurance case essentially boils down to an organized collection of arguments, backed by a body of evidence, that helps validate the belief in a specific claim.

In a practical sense, creating an assurance case involves beginning with a main claim and then breaking it down into smaller claims through a step-by-step process. These smaller claims, at the most bottom, are supported by concrete evidence.

1.4 Thesis Outline

The thesis is organized into three broad parts. In Chapter 2, we introduce our program AortaGeomRecon by mentioning the existing methods, the AortaGeomRecon’s algorithm overview and step by step workflow. We explain necessary terms and information to understand how the software functions finally, and the 3D Slicer [10] extension module that the user interacts with to get the segmentation result with our algorithm. In Chapter 3, we present our assurance case, some sections of our SRS, Design Documents, Module Guide, Algorithm Review, and a test case we developed for verifying and validating the correctness of program AortaGeomRecon. In Chapter 4, future work is proposed and conclusions are drawn based on the developed assurance case, SRS, segmentation algorithm and 3D Slicer module extension.

Chapter 2

AortaGeomRecon Research and Development

This chapter will discuss the research and development of the AortaGeomRecon.

AortaGeomRecon stands for Aorta Geometry Reconstruction. The main objective of this software is to semi-automatically build 3D geometry of the Aorta from the patient's chest ct scans. The existing methods are often involved of extensive manual works by using a software with many steps. An experienced user, who might be a medical domain expert, needs to do a minimum of 10 minutes of manual works.

The implementation till the date of this report can let the users who have the user characteristics described in SRS [13] get the Aorta 3D geometry with only a few hyperparameters which can be set within half a minute, and the result requires maximum 2 minutes of execution time.

2.1 Existing Methods

There are much segmentation software available to the users, we will discuss the two built-in methods in two software programs, ITK-Snap and 3D Slicer.

2.1.1 ITK-Snap bubble method

ITK-Snap provides a segmentation method that first let user select multiple voxels with a custom initial size and expanding size within the volume. We refer this method as “bubble method” [5].

Through many iterations, the voxels expand to fill the entire volume, finally user will need to cut the extra part of the volume. This Figure 2.1 shows the ITK-Snap UI executing the segmentation.

The advantages of the bubble method is that it guarantee to produce a correct segmentation result. A medical domain expert can manually control the wanted area, and visually observing the segmentation result expanding, shrinking and the user can erase the unwanted part.

The disadvantages of this method is that the operations described above are complicated. Easier to say then do, an operator who has previous experience building the geometry with this method still needed 20 minutes of manual work building a new aorta geometry. Plus, ITK-Snap software can only read VTK file, therefore the chest CT scans that are usually DICOM [6], needed a manual conversion before using this software and its segmentation method.




Figure 2.1: ITK-Snap’s Bubble segmentation method [18]

2.1.2 3D Slicer threshold segmentation

3D Slicer is another well-known medical image processing software for academic. 3D Slicer provides multiple segmentation methods[1], and one of the quickest and easiest to use is the intensity based segmentation.

This method first let user select a small area that belongs to the wanted area on a 2D plane (Axial, Sagittal, and Coronal). 3D Slicer read the pixels’ intensity of the surrounding area, and segment based on the intensity threshold. Any pixel’s intensity that is within the range will be segmented as the segmentation result.

Like the bubble method, this method often reads extra volume, and requires user to cut the unwanted parts. A [YouTube video](#) shows an experience user who gets the

aorta 3D geometry with 8 minutes of manual works.




Figure 2.2: 3D Slicer Built-in Segmentation Method [10]

2.2 Segmentation Algorithm

This section introduces the key concepts of the implementation on the segmentation algorithm. The algorithm is developed in Python with the external libraries, SimpleITK and NumPy. The algorithm builds the 3D Aorta geometry by doing segmentation on each axial slice. The logic behind segmenting each slice from the axial view, is that there is one or two circles that is edged bounded in each axial plane view. Using this information, and given an initial Aorta center coordination, the algorithm continuously segments each axial's slice circles closest to the previous Aorta center coordinates. Finally, some hyperparameters tuning can let the algorithm pick up the pixels that were missing but belongs to the part of the Aorta.

2.2.1 Background

SimpleITK is an open-source multidimensional image analysis library Developed by the Insight Toolkit community for the biomedical sciences and beyond [3][14]. NumPy is the fundamental package for scientific computing with Python, especially for the performance on multidimensional array processing [8]. The algorithm will use functions from these two libraries for image processing and multidimensional array processing. For example, the algorithm segments each slice with ThresholdSegmentationLevelSetImageFilter from SITK.

The algorithm works best with the chest volume cropped to a rectangular prism that contains the aorta and parts of the other organs such as the backbone, blood vessels, and the heart. This can be done with 3D Slicer and its built-in modules, Volume rendering and Crop Volume, or researched by the user to find the starting point and the size to crop.

2.2.2 Parameters

At the beginning of the algorithm, the user inputs two integer coordinates indicating the position of the descending aorta and ascending aorta center on a single slice. The yellow dots in Figure 2.3 shows an example of the aorta seeds. These seeds will be updated by the algorithm after processing each axial plane.




Figure 2.3: The aorta seeds [11]

On the other hand, this list of hyperparameters that can be tuned to get the best segmentation result:

- The stop limit which controls the stop condition
- The threshold coefficient which controls the segmentation acceptable intensity range
- The kernel size which controls the label image circle size
- The threshold Segmentation Level Sets Image Filter parameters, including:

- The RMS error
- The maximum iteration
- The curvature scaling
- The propagation scaling

One of the most important parameters is the threshold coefficient. Since the algorithm segments based on the intensity of the gray scale pixels, decreasing the threshold coefficient would decrease the acceptable range of the pixels, and vice-versa.

2.2.3 Algorithm Overview

When the user has selected the aorta seeds, the plane where the aorta seeds located is the initial plane. From this plane towards the bottom (the feet) is inferior direction. On the other hand, it is superior direction. This algorithm segments each slice with SITK::ThresholdSegmentationLevelSetImageFilter. The principles of this image filter can be explained with two terms: Level sets segmentation method, and a threshold range that defines the intensity of the acceptable pixel.

Level Sets are an important category of modern image segmentation techniques based on partial differential equations (PDE), i.e. progressive evaluation of the differences among neighboring pixels to find object boundaries. The pictures [2.4](#) demonstrate an example of how Level Sets method work on finding the region of the heart. It starts with a seed contour that is within the region of interest, then by finding the gradient based on the contour line, the segmentation result will propagate towards outside the region until the maximum difference between the neighboring pixels are reached.




Figure 2.4: Level Sets Segmentation

2.2.4 The steps to segment a single slice

In the following section, we will present each step to segment a single slice. These steps applied in both segmentation in superior and inferior direction, there is a difference in the stop condition, which we will elaborate in the following section.

2.2.4.1 Create A Label Map

The algorithm uses SITK::BinaryDilateImageFilter to perform binary dilation to generate a circle-like shape around the center coordinates (user input's for the first slice and calculated by the algorithm for the rest of the slices). Each pixel within this shape will be labeled as a white pixel (value of 1), and the rest of the pixels are labeled as black pixels (value of 0).

The generated result is the label map image, and we will use it in the next few steps. The size of the circle-like shape is determined by the kernel size (user's input). The Figure 2.5 shows an example of generated label map image (the green parts) overlay over the original slice.




Figure 2.5: A label map

2.2.4.2 Create A Distance Map

With SITK::SignedMaurerDistanceMapImageFilter, the algorithm creates another image, the Euclidean distance transform of the label image from previous step. This is used as a contour line that helps build the gradient mentioned in Level sets. The Figure 2.6 shows an example of distance map.




Figure 2.6: A distance map

2.2.4.3 Calculate a threshold range

By using SITK::LabelStatisticsImageFilter, the algorithm gets the mean and the standard deviation of the intensity values of the pixels that were labeled as the white pixel in the label map. The algorithm uses threshold coefficient to calculate the lower and upper threshold to be used in the next step.

```
intensity_mean = self._stats_filter.GetMean(
    PixelValue.white_pixel.value)
std = self._stats_filter.GetSigma(PixelValue.white_pixel.value)
lower_threshold = (intensity_mean - self._threshold_coef*std)
upper_threshold = (intensity_mean + self._threshold_coef*std)
self._segment_filter.SetLowerThreshold(lower_threshold)
self._segment_filter.SetUpperThreshold(upper_threshold)
```

Figure 2.7: Code snippet shows the intensity threshold calculation

2.2.4.4 Segment a single slice

With SITK::ThresholdSegmentationLevelSetImageFilter, the seed image calculated in step 2.2.4.2, and the lower and upper threshold value calculated in step 2.2.4.3, the algorithm performs segmentation and generated a segmented slice. The Figure 2.8 shows an example of generated segmented slice (the green parts) overlay over the original slice.




Figure 2.8: A segmented image on top of the original slice

2.2.4.5 Calculate new centroids

By comparing each pixel segmented as aorta to the previous descending centroid and the previous ascending centroid, the algorithm use the positions of the points closer

to the previous descending centroid to calculate new descending aorta centroid, and vice-versa for the ascending aorta centroid. However, at certain point during the segmentation in inferior direction, the slice might reach the end of the ascending aorta, the Aortic Root, the algorithm will stop using and calculate ascending aorta centroid and only computes descending aorta centroid for the slices afterward.

2.2.4.6 Verify segmentation result

There are two main stop conditions for verifying segmentation result, one condition for the segmentation in inferior direction and the other one for the segmentation in superior direction. Stop limit is a user defined parameter to control the algorithm, to calculate the condition with the centroids position and the standard deviation.

In inferior direction, if the new ascending aorta centroid that is closest to the previous ascending aorta center is reaching the distance limit, then the algorithm will stop consider taking the new centroid closer to the ascending aorta. In other words, only 1 centroid will be used for descending aorta segmentation.

In superior direction, if the standard deviation of the initial label map and the segmentation result label map has larger difference than the limit, the algorithm will stop processing segmentation for the rest of the slices. For example, assume that the standard deviation of the initial label image is 20, and the standard deviation of the segmentation label image is 40, with stop limit of 10, the program halt immediately.

2.2.5 Algorithm Summary

Given two integer coordinates, ascending aorta centroid and descending aorta centroid, the algorithm set the inputted plane as the initial plane. From the initial plane

to the bottom (the feet) plane, the algorithm calculate a label map with two centroid coordinates and kernel size, calculate a distance map with the label map, calculate a threshold range with the label map's selected pixels, perform segmentation, calculate new centroid coordinates, and verify the segmentation result in case that it reaches the stop condition. Once the algorithm finished the segmentation in inferior direction, the algorithm works from the initial plane to the top (the head) plane, repeating the similar steps. Each segmentation result slice is stored in a SITK's image, which supports the conversion to VTK file or DICOM file.




Figure 2.9: Segmentation Result

2.3 3D Slicer Extension Development

The project has started with a simple segmentation program build on the Jupyter notebook. When getting a new patient's data, the user will need to investigate the chest ct scans using another software (3D Slicer, ITK-Snap), to get the readings such as coordinates and size to crop (the coordinates of the yellow dots shown in Figure 2.3).

```

jupyter circle-method (unsaved changes)
Logout

File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help
Not Trusted | Python 3 (ipykernel) O

In [ ]: 1 # assign folder paths for all the dicom images (these are the ones provided by Vahid and Dr. Motamed
2
3 folder_paths = ["../sample-dicom/43681283", "../sample-dicom/05937785", "../sample-dicom/07323651",
4   "../sample-dicom/75962810", "../sample-dicom/62023082", "../sample-dicom/22429388"]
5
6 # List of the number of slices in the z direction for each dicom image
7 # these are manually entered as each dicom folder has far more .dcm files than slices
8 # If you do not manually enter these values, the images will have many repeats of a singular CT
9 num_slices = [376, 423, 195, 188, 225, 447]

In [ ]: 1 # stores all of the .dcm files within each folder
2 dcm_series = []
3
4 # assign files to dcm_series
5 for i in range(len(folder_paths)):
6   # make list and sort alphanumerically
7   list_dcm = os.listdir(folder_paths[i])
8   list_dcm.sort()
9
10  # start at one to ignore .DS file
11  list_dcm = list_dcm[1:num_slices[i]:]
12
13  # change list to include path of .dcm files instead of just their names
14  s=folder_paths[i]+"\\"+"{0}"
15  list_dcm = [s.format(dcm) for dcm in list_dcm]
16
17  dcm_series.append(list_dcm)

In [ ]: 1 # convert the .dcm files to 3D images
2 images = [sitk.ReadImage(i) for i in dcm_series]

```

Figure 2.10: Jupyter Notebook Researched by Kailin Chu

Originally, the parameters entered by the users, and many other values are hard-coded in the Jupyter Notebook. To improve the usability of the AortaGeomRecon (reduce the amount of time for user inputs and execution), we implemented an extension module on 3D Slicer.

3D Slicer is an open-sourced medical image processing software for research. 3D Slicer provides useful modules such as Crop Volume module and Volume Rendering module that easily crop any volume. 3D Slicer is highly modulable with Python scripting to control the extension module sequence, and QT designer to generate Graphical User Interface.

3D Slicer supports modularization with an extension. An extension can compose multiple modules, where each module is dedicated to solve a sub-problem.

2.3.1 3D Slicer’s data structure

3D Slicer’s Data Structure can be divided into two categories. The Node data structure store large data such as DICOM with a Volume Node, Volume rendering Region of Interest Node, Label Map Volume Node. The parameters are stored as string from the UI component of the module. Every data stored in 3D Slicer can be accessed by the 3D Slicer’s Widget Class and Logic Class for further processing.

3D Slicer stores all the above data in a scene object, which is also referred as MRMLscene file, on the higher level data format. 3D Slicer can load any MRMLscene file, this allowed user to retrieve all the data nodes and parameters. On the other hand, 3D Slicer has a special input module, the DICOM database allowed user to store DICOM metadata in 3D Slicer.

2.3.2 3D Slicer’s scripted module

Every ScriptLoadableModule in 3D Slicer have a Widget Class and a Logic Class. The Widget Class is used to initialize the extension module’s UI component, and the parameters tied to the UI component. The module’s Logic Class is used to perform

the processing of the data. In the Logic Class, we initialize an AortaGeomRecon Segmener object with the attributes set to the parameters reading from UI component, which are inputs by the user. After completing the segmentation with Segmener object, we convert the SimpleITK image object to a volume node corresponding in 3D Slicer, which allow the user to visualize the segmentation result.

2.3.3 AortaGeomReconDisplayModule

2.3.3.1 Graphical User Interface




Figure 2.11: 3D Slicer UI

3D Slicer separate the UI into two parts. From the Figure 2.11, we can see that the four windows on the right side of the UI are used to visualize a volume. The left side shows the SubjectHierarchyTreeView which the module already stored many data nodes. The first node is the DICOM patient data with the chest CT scans stored as

a volume, and the cropped volume I generated with Crop Volume Module. There is a Volume rendering ROI node and several ScalarVolumeNode which are the generated segmentation volume with different parameters.

The left side is the module UI. This part is designed and implemented differently based on the requirements of the modules. The Figure 2.12 shows the module UI that the AGR implemented, where each parameter stored to be passing to the algorithm class.




Figure 2.12: AortaGeomRecon Module UI

2.3.3.2 Module's Workflow

When the user first starting 3D Slicer and click on the AGR module, this warning message and Tips appears in the module UI. The user must click on the confirm button below to proceed into the next steps. This warning message is an evidence for the assurance case, which I will explain in the next chapter.




Figure 2.13: AortaGeomRecon Warning message

In the next step, assuming that the user has already read a DICOM image of the patient’s chest, the user is asked to generate a cropped volume using the 3D Slicer’s Volume Rendering module and the Crop Volume module. In this phase, the module UI displays only a SubjectHierarchyTreeView where the large data node are shown in this view. After generated a cropped volume, the apply button is enabled and the user can proceed to the next step.

In phase 2 aorta segmentation, the user is asked to input the parameters to perform the segmentation. The module UI is same as the Figure 2.12. The necessary inputs are the two aorta seeds, without any value for these two inputs, the module will not allow user to generate segmentation result. One of the advantages using 3D Slicer is the interactive UI that supports the reading coordinate on the volume in real-time. On the right side of the Figure 2.11, we see a crossed intersection pointing to parts of the aorta, this intersection point allows the developer to read the coordinates. Moreover,

we were able to automatically pick up the coordinates in real-time in the coordinate widget. As the user moving the intersection, we get the coordinate readings. The Figure 2.12 also demonstrates that the user can lock a seed, so the program stop picking up newest coordinate from the intersection point.

Chapter 3

Assurance Cases and Selected Evidence for AortaGeomRecon

In this chapter, we discuss the scope of our work and the assurance case for the correctness of AortaGeomRecon. To provide the necessary evidence for the assurance case the following material is also presented: the Software Requirements Specification, the Design Document, the Module Guide, the test cases, and the algorithm review.

3.1 Assurance Case Development

Assurance Case is build with claims, subclaims, contexts and the evidences to ensure the goals are fulfilled. By using Astah System Safety presenting in Goal Structuring Notation (GSN) arguments [2][9], we want to show that our software delivers correct outputs when used for its intended use/purpose in its intended environment, and within its assumed operating assumptions. The Figure 3.1 shows the top level of the assurance cases. Having the goal of delivering correct outputs, we are decomposing

the goal into 4 sub goals, GR, GI, GA and GBA, where GR stands for the goals to accomplish for the requirements of the software, GI stands for the goals of the implementation, GA is the goals of inputs satisfy the operational assumptions, and GBA are the goals of defining operational assumptions.




Figure 3.1: AortaGeomRecon Assurance Cases Top Level
28

3.2 Assurance Case for Software Specification Requirements

The first goal of getting a trusted software is having a complete, unambiguous, correct, consistent, verifiable, modifiable and traceable Software Specification Requirements [13] which shows the complete breakdown of the requirements with mathematical notation, data models and instance models. It's the foundation of the software development, and the design and the implementation will be based on the requirement document.




Figure 3.2: AortaGeomRecon Assurance Cases GR

As explained in the assurance case GR, one of the most important statements of SRS having these characteristics is using a standard template. This template is provided by the domain expert, and my professor, Dr. Spencer Smith, who has researched on Application of Software Engineering Principles, Methods, Techniques and Tools to

Improve the Sustainability of Research Software.

The chapters in SRS and some of the most important sections in the chapter are explained below:

- Reference Material

In this section, a Table of Symbols and an Abbreviations and Acronyms table are used to explain every symbol and Abbreviations used in the SRS document. These tables ensure the consistency and the unambiguous characteristics of the document. They are located at the very beginning of the document, so the reader will firstly look at these tables before reading the entire document.

- Introduction

In the introduction section, we introduced the problems and the scope of the document to the user by explaining the purpose of document, abstracting the scope of requirements and defining the characteristics of intended reader.

- General System Description

The general system description includes a system context diagram which explains the relationship between the users, the inputs given by the user and the outputs of the AortaGeomRecon program. User responsibility and AortaGeomRecon responsibility are defined such that the reader knows what to do to successfully generate the desired result.

- Specific System Description

In this section, we are presenting more details about the problem and the specific system to solve the problem. The first subsection Problem Description discussed

on the definition of Organ Segmentation, Coordinate Systems used in medical image problem, Physical System Description which is not available, and Goal Statements which extract the three-dimensional segmentation of the aorta.

In the next subsection, Solution Characteristics Specification, we started with Assumptions to define clearly the scope of the requirement document. In the subsection Data Definitions, we defined Voxel, Image/Slice, and Volume with mathematical notation so that the developer can easily interpret. Next, in the subsection Instance Model, we showed the mathematical meaning of Region of Interest, and Segmentation, which are the two essential models that the developer must know in order to develop the solution.

4.2 Solution Characteristics Specification

4.2.1 Assumptions

This section simplifies the original problem and helps in developing the theoretical model by filling in the missing information for the physical system. The numbers given in the square brackets refer to the theoretical model [T], general definition [GD], data definition [DD], instance model [IM], or likely change [LC], in which the respective assumption is used.

- A1: The 3D image provided by the user must contain a visually distinguishable aorta volume [IM1].
- A2: User should select a valid region of interest [IM2].
- A3: User should input a singular volume (3 dimensional image) even if the data format supports the 4th dimension (time) [IM1].

Figure 3.3: AortaGeomRecon SRS Assumptions

- Requirements

With all the information in the document, we can now present the Functional

Requirements and the Non-Functional Requirements for the program AortaGeomRecon. The Functional Requirements are defined By using the terms we presented in Data Definitions, Instance Model, and based on the other Functional Requirements. The Non-Functional Requirements usually have a measurement such as execution time, the effort of manual works, etc.

5.1 Functional Requirements

R1: Input the following functions, data and parameters:

symbol	description
V	CT Scans volume (DD3)
$Seed_a$	The seed of ascending aorta centre coordinate (IM2)
$Seed_d$	The seed of descending aorta centre coordinate (IM2)

R2: Use the volume in R1 to create a second volume, the region of interest (IM1) that contains all voxels of the aorta.

R3: Perform segmentation (IM2) on the volume created in R2.

R4: Visualize a volume (DD3).

Figure 3.4: AortaGeomRecon Functional Requirements

5.2 Nonfunctional Requirements

NFR1: **Usability** AortaGeomRecon allows a user that meets the user characteristics (Section 3.2) to import any DICOM files, input the required parameters, and begin the segmentation effortlessly. The number of steps it takes using AortaGeomRecon should be at least 30% less than the number of steps it takes by using ITK-Snap (bubble method mentioned in Section 2).

11

NFR2: **Safety** For a valid image, the AortaGeomRecon provides a correct solution, or no answer.

NFR3: **Learnability** The user interface and documentation should allow a user that meets the user characteristics (Section 3.2) to learn how to do an aorta segmentation in at least 30% of the time it takes to learn and use ITK-Snap (bubble method mentioned in Section 2).

NFR4: **Accuracy** For a given image the segmentation found by AortaGeomRecon should match that found by an expert using ITK-Snap. Whether two segmentations match is something that would be judged by a medical imaging expert.

NFR5: **Consistency** The coordinate system may be modified through the calculations, but any transformations will not alter the meaning of the data.

Other NFRs that might be discussed in the future include verifiability, and reusability.

Figure 3.5: AortaGeomRecon Non- Functional Requirements

- Likely Changes and Unlikely Changes

This section discussed the likely changes that the developer might expect a change in the future works, and the unlikely changes that is most certainly not going to change for a justified reason. The only likely change discussed in the AortaGeomRecon’s SRS is regarding the segmentation method. For different

segmentation method, the inputs varies, since the segmentation method is a likely change, the inputs variables are also likely changes. The only unlikely change is the method of retrieve a region of interest. Most methods take a starting point and sizes in different dimensions to get the region of interest.

- Traceability Matrix and Graphs

The traceability matrices are to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. Below shows the traceability matrices of different sections:

	DD1	DD2	DD3	IM1	IM2
DD1					
DD2	X				
DD3		X			
IM1			X		
IM2				X	

Table 2: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Items of Different Sections

Figure 3.6: AortaGeomRecon Traceability Matrix between Data Definitions and Instance Model

	IM1	IM2	R1	R2	R3	R4	NFR1	NFR2	NFR3	NFR4	NFR5
IM1				X							
IM2					X						
R1		X									
R2	X										
R3		X									
R4							X				
NFR1			X	X	X				X		
NFR2		X									
NFR3				X	X	X	X				
NFR4		X									
NFR5		X									

Table 3: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Requirements and Instance Models

Figure 3.7: AortaGeomRecon Traceability Matrix between Requirements and Other sections

	A1	A2	A3
DD1			
DD2			
DD3			X
IM1	X		X
IM2		X	X
LC1	X	X	X
UC1			X

Table 4: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Assumptions and Other Items

Figure 3.8: AortaGeomRecon Traceability Matrix between Assumptions and Other sections

3.3 Assurance Case for Implementation

The goal of implementation asked the developer to fully comply the design and the implementation with the Software Requirements Specification document. Since we have showed with Assurance Case that our SRS is complete, consistent, and unambiguous, the design and the implementation that fully complying with requirements is correct.




Figure 3.9: AortaGeomRecon Assurance Cases for Implementation

3.3.1 Design Document

The purpose of the Design Document [12] is to explain in details how the algorithm works, and why it worked. Similar to what section 2.2.3 wrote, the design document explains in plain text the workflow of the algorithm. The design document is a piece of evidences that demonstrate unambiguity characteristic.

3.3.1.1 Sphinx - Python Documentation Generator

To implement this Design Document, I used Sphinx, a Python Documentation Generator that can build module's documentation with the comments in the source code. Moreover, using reStructuredText to write the Algorithm Overview, we can build HTML binary which can be published on a web server. Another important section in Design Document is the Glossary. It has rich vocabulary explanation, images, and links to the outside source to let the reader understands as much as possible.




Figure 3.10: AortaGeomRecon Design Document website




Figure 3.11: AortaGeomRecon Design Document Glossary

3.3.2 Module Guide

Another important documentation to show that the design is complete, correct, and consistent design is Module Guide. In the design of the software, we first list the anticipated changes such that we are expecting a change to this piece of requirement of information, we will keep it a single module so when the changes did happen, we only need to concern about the module and its dependency modules. The anticipated changes are listed in the Figure 3.12 below.

- AC1:** The specific hardware on which the software is running.
- AC2:** The format of the initial input data.
- AC3:** The algorithm to segment the aorta.
- AC4:** The data structures to store the input parameters required to execute the algorithm.
- AC5:** The methods to create a user interface.
- AC6:** The methods to retrieve a region of interest.
- AC7:** The methods to visualize a volume.
- AC8:** How the overall control of the calculations is orchestrated.
- AC9:** The format of the final output data.

Figure 3.12: AortaGeomRecon Anticipated Changes

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The Secrets’ field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The Services’ field specifies what the module will do without documenting how to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the Implemented By title. If the entry is OS, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. AortaGeomRecon means the module will be implemented by the AortaGeomRecon software.

5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

- M1:** Hardware-Hiding Module
- M2:** Input Format Module
- M3:** Input Parameter Module
- M4:** Control Module
- M5:** GUI Module
- M6:** Volume Visualization Module
- M7:** Crop Volume Module
- M8:** Aorta Segmentation Module
- M9:** Image Processing Module
- M10:** Multidimensional Array Processing Module
- M11:** Digital Enhancement Module

Figure 3.13: AortaGeomRecon Modules

7.2.2 Input Parameter Module (M3)

Secrets: The data structure for input parameters, how the values are input and how the values are verified. The load and verify secrets are isolated to their own access programs.

Services: Gets input from user, stores input and verifies that the input parameters comply with physical and software constraints. Throws an error if a parameter violates a physical constraint. Throws a warning if a parameter violates a software constraint. Stored parameters can be read individually, but write access is only to redefine the entire set of inputs.

Implemented By: AortaGeomRecon

Source: [AortaSegmenter class' attributes](#)

7.2.3 Control Module (M4)

Secrets: The algorithm for coordinating the running of the program.

Services: Provides the main program's entry point, the ability to jump from a program state to another.

Implemented By: AortaGeomRecon

Source: [AortaGeomReconDisplayModuleWidget module](#)

7.2.4 Volume Visualization Module (M6)

Secrets: The methods which allow users to visualize a 3D Volume.

Services: Display the aorta images and vtk 3D geometry.

Implemented By: 3D Slicer

Figure 3.14: AortaGeomRecon Module Decomposition Example

Now that we have listed the anticipated changes and the modules, we are using traceability matrices to show the relationships between the modules and the anticipated changes, and the modules between the requirements. This indicates that the design is fully complying with the requirements, as we stated in GI.2 in the Figure 3.9.

Req.	Modules
R1	M1, M2, M3, M4
R2	M3, M7
R3	M8, M9, M10
R4	M6
NFR1	M3, M4, M5
NFR2	M4, M8, M9, M10
NFR3	M3, M4, M5, M6, M7, M8
NFR4	M7, M8, M9, M10
NFR5	M3

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M1
AC2	M2
AC3	M8
AC4	M3
AC5	M5
AC6	M7
AC7	M6
AC8	M4
AC9	M9, M10

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

Figure 3.15: AortaGeomRecon Modules Traceability Matrices

On top of relating the modules to the requirements, we are relating the actual source code to the modules, which is a strong evidence of our implementation has

fully complying with the requirements. Since our requirements has proven to be correct, complete, and consistent, the implementation must also be trustworthy.

M6 Volume Visualization Module	3D Slicer's Volume Rendering Module 3D Slicer's Volume Rendering Source Code
M7 Crop Volume Module	3D Slicer's Crop Volume Module 3D Slicer's Crop Volume Module Source Code
M8 Aorta Segmentation Module	AortaSegmenter class
M9 Image Processing Module	SimpleITK
M10 Multi-Dimensional Array Processing Module	NumPy
M11 Digital Enhancement Module	<pre># AortaGeomReconDisplayModule.py # https://github.com/smiths/aorta/blob/main/src/SlicerExtension/ # AortaGeometryReconstructor/AortaGeomReconDisplayModule/ # AortaGeomReconDisplayModule.py#L739-L769 def transform_image(self, cropped_volume): """ Histogram Equalization for Digital Image Enhancement. https://levelup.gitconnected.com/introduction-to-histogram- equalization-for-digital-image-enhancement-420696db9e43 """ cropped_image = sitkUtils.PullVolumeFromSlicer(cropped_volume) img_array = sitk.GetArrayFromImage((sitk.Cast(sitk.RescaleIntensity(cropped_image), sitk. sitkUInt8))) histogram_array = np.bincount(img_array.flatten(), minlength=256) num_pixels = np.sum(histogram_array) histogram_array = histogram_array/num_pixels chistogram_array = np.cumsum(histogram_array) transform_map = np.floor(255 * chistogram_array).astype(np.uint8) img_list = list(img_array.flatten()) eq_img_list = [transform_map[p] for p in img_list] eq_img_array = np.reshape(np.asarray(eq_img_list), img_array. shape) eq_img = sitk.GetImageFromArray(eq_img_array) eq_img.CopyInformation(cropped_image) median = sitk.MedianImageFilter() median_img = sitk.Cast(median.Execute(eq_img), sitk.sitkUInt8) self._cropped_image = median_img</pre>

Table 4: Trace Between Modules and Code

Figure 3.16: AortaGeomRecon Part of the Traceability Matrix on Modules and Code

3.3.3 Test Plan

GI.1.2 claim states that a test plan must be adequate and test report demonstrates success. Unlike the other algorithm that can easily be tested with a ground truth test case, our ground truth case is build by using another more accurate method such as ITK-Snap’s bubble method, then manually erase the unwanted pixels.

3.3.3.1 Build ”Ground Truth” data

Instead of using ITK-Snap’s bubble method, we build ground truth test case with our existing algorithm and updated algorithm. If there exists a difference between two results, our domain experts can make a visual comparison of both test cases to see which algorithm to use for the future.

3.3.3.2 GitHub Actions workflows

This leads to our Continuous Integration infrastructure, implemented with GitHub Actions workflow. A workflow is a configurable and automated process that will run one or more jobs on the desired system. GitHub Actions workflow used a YAML file to define the events and the commands to be executed on the temporary system, which has the build of the repository. [7]

We have set up two automated process which happens on each ”push” event and ”pull” event. A ”push” event implies that something is changed in one or multiple commits, therefore there is a need to verify whether the commits have bugs that need extra fixes. A ”pull” event happens when a feature branch is going to merge with the main branch. Since our main branch is protected, any update to the main branch must be merged by using a pull-request. Before a pull-request can be approved, the

continuous integration tests are examined and until there are no errors, a pull-request cannot be merged with the main branch.

The first automated process is a linter. A linter is a tool for static code analysis to flag programming errors, bugs, stylistic errors and suspicious constructs. We used Python Flake8 as our linter to find bugs and errors, and ensures that program's readability by striking the source code with Google's published Python Style Guide.
[\[17\]](#)

The second automated process is our continuous integration tests. By setting up Git Large File System (LFS) and upload to pre-build ground truth test data in the repository, we can now pass the cropped volume as the inputs' data, the same Aorta seeds and the hyperparameters that we have used to generate the ground truth test data to the algorithm and verify the results. To compare the volumes, we used Dice similarity coefficient.


3.3.4 Algorithm Review

The algorithm review was an idea started with Code Walkthrough. A code walkthrough is one of the methods that can increase the participants' confidence, or finding program's bugs or error. For an Algorithm Review, we have not discussed what the program should do; we are presenting the algorithm to the domain expert and asking them if the design and implementation fulfill the implementation objectives.

3.3.4.1 Tool used in Algorithm Review

Spyder is a free and open source scientific environment written in Python, for Python, and designed by and for scientists, engineers and data analysts. The Variable Explorer

allows the user to interactively browse the variables and the objects in debugging mode. [?]



The screenshot shows the Spyder Variable Explorer window. It displays a table with columns for Name, Type, Size, and Value. The table contains the following data:

Name	Type	Size	Value
data	Array of str128	(3, 3)	ndarray object of numpy module
datetime_object	datetime	1	2021-04-21 21:09:32.402800
df	DataFrame	(2, 2)	Column names: Col1, Col2
filename	str	53	/Users/Documents/spyder/spyder/tests/test_dont_use.py
li	list	5	['abcd', 745, 2.23, 'efgh', 70.2]
my_set	set	3	{'3', '1', '2'}
r	float	1	6.46567886443
t	tuple	5	('abcd', 745, 2.23, 'efgh', 70.2)
tinylist	list	2	[123, 'efgh']
val	bool	1	True
x	float64	1	1.1235123099439
y	Array of float32	(3,)	[1. 2. 4.]

Figure 3.17: Spyder Variable Explorer [16]

This feature allows us to execute the program step by step, and see what happens to the variable (segmentation result) when executing the segmentation algorithm.

3.3.4.2 Algorithm Review with Kailin Chu

The first algorithm review was done with Kailin Chu, who is a biomedical engineering student and started working the semi-automacial aorta segmentation algorithm as a summer researcher. Along with Smith Spencer, we were aiming to increase our confidence in this code walkthrough. This code walkthrough did not increase our confidence in the software, because the code was developed by Kailin from two years ago, so some details and design decisions are missing, and some variables were decided

by trial and error. Despite that the code walkthrough has turned into an algorithm review, and it did not achieve what we wanted in the first place, this meeting was still very helpful. Knowing that the algorithm was partially based on trial and error, I was able to improve the algorithm and reaching a better result in a more efficient way.

3.3.4.3 Algorithm Review with Dr. Dean Inglis

The second algorithm review was conducted with Dr. Dean Inglis, an experienced professor, Medical Image Analyst, and Software Developer. We presented our segmentation algorithm to him and requested validation of our approach or suggestions for a potentially superior algorithm. Dr. Dean Inglis provided his insights on the algorithm, which we meticulously recorded on the GitHub issue tracker. These insights will guide the developer responsible for enhancing the program. This meeting significantly reinforced our confidence in both the software and our endeavors, whether the idea of developing an extension module for 3D Slicer or the algorithm itself.

3.4 Assurance Case for Operational Assumptions




Figure 3.18: AortaGeomRecon Assurance Case Operational Assumptions

The evidence for the statement "To the greatest extent possible, all relevant operational assumptions have been defined" is quite simple as only Domain experts/customers approve the quality of the documentation. However, finalizing this evidence takes many efforts from the beginning of the project to the end of the project, because we want to continuously improve the quality of the content matching the most recent updates of the software.

3.4.1 User Manual

A user manual serves the purpose of documenting the all operational assumptions. When the user getting unexpected results by using this software, they can always refer to the user manual to see what pieces are different. Our user manual is initially located in GitHub repo's README, which is only available to the developers invited

as the contributors. The content includes the installation of the software, importing the extension modules, import inputs data, and perform segmentation. The user manual is also available publicly on the design document website, assuming that the users might not be the repository contributors.




Figure 3.19: AortaGeomRecon User Manual on GitHub README

3.4.2 User Instruction Video

Videos are an effective way to engage your audience and deliver information in a way that's easy to follow along and understand. A better instructional content is a YouTube Video where I make step-by-step instruction with voice over to instruct

user. The video is not listed publicly on YouTube, but the users who have access to the GitHub repository or Design Document website can access this video by the URL link.




Figure 3.20: AortaGeomRecon User Instructions on YouTube

3.5 Assurance Case for Inputs Assumptions




Figure 3.21: AortaGeomRecon Assurance Case Inputs Assumptions

This statement requires the user know what inputs are valid, and only used the valid inputs in the software.

3.5.1 Warning Message

As we initially planned, this piece of information is available in the User Manual and User Instruction Video, where we showed the user how to import DICOM patient's data, and operate on the inputs' data till we get a segmentation result. A user who has read the User Manual and watched the instruction video should know what inputs are valid. Therefore, in the AortaGeomRecon module, we need to effectively guide the user to the User Manual, whether the user has used this software before or it is a first time user.




Figure 3.22: AortaGeomRecon Warning Message

As mentioned in the section 2.3.3.2, when the user first starting 3D Slicer and click on the AGR module, this warning message appears. The user must clicks on the Confirm button to continue to the next steps. With the warning message shown to the user, it is now the user's responsibility to use the valid inputs for AGR, which the program will deliver the correct outputs if the other operations are performed correctly.

Chapter 4

Conclusion and Future Works

In this chapter, we provide a summary of the thesis as well as the future work.

4.1 Thesis Summary

In this project, we developed a software as a 3D Slicer extension to semi-automatically extract the 3D geometry of the aorta, while applying assurance case arguments to build our confidence in this medical software. The project has started from a Jupyter Notebook program, then explored from the point of view of a patient such that what can we do to trust the software enough to let the doctor using it while being confident and certain that the software will not fail our expectation. We have then developed an idea of developing a software that is first convenient to use, at least on gathering parameters. This lead to developing a 3D Slicer extension module, because 3D Slicer already provides useful features such as Volume Rendering, Volume visualization, Crop Volume, etc. While building the software, we build the assurance cases in Goal Structuring Notation with the bottom-up approaches; we keep ask ourselves

what are the evidences that are necessary to support our claim in requirements, implementation, operational assumptions and inputs assumptions? Keep that question in mind, we have finalized our SRS document, Module Guide document, wrote user instructions, built design document in HTML and publish it on a website, and linking all assurance cases to support our arguments. Finally, we have used GitHub's features for Continuous Integration infrastructure, and project management. We have built 2 automated process that act as a linter and continuous integration tests, these processes helped a lot in detecting bugs and errors in implementation. As for project management, GitHub Issues, Discussions, and Pull requests were used throughout the development of the software. Me and Dr. Spencer Smith were able to keep up good communication through these features.

In the course of this project, we have summarized a list of challenges and tasks that we could have done better. The first challenge was looking for an ideal platform to develop AortaGeomRecon software. Until the point where we see that it is nearly impossible to build from scratch a volume visualization system like the volume visualization provided by 3D Slicer, time and efforts have been wasted in design a UI, finding the right tool to build the UI, etc. 3D Slicer itself is a very complex software, the development resource is limited and difficult to understand.

Another obstacle that we have is having a domain expert to examine the quality of our segmentation result and other documentation. This medical software's intended user is a university student studying in medical science or medicine, who likes to get an aorta's image or quantified volume. Throughout the development of the AortaGeomRecon, we did not have an intended user or a domain expert to review our software. However, me and Dr. Spencer Smith were also lacking the knowledge

and do not know the expectation of the intender user or domain expert, this causes ambiguity in understanding the true requirements of AortaGeomRecon.

Finally, it is very challenging of understanding assurance cases with a limited time, and building the assurance cases for AortaGeomRecon was unclear for me. Gathering the evidences and support our arguments was not in my imagination at the beginning of the project, without truely understanding our goals of the project, I was not certain what I was really doing for this project. Until we have several pieces linking together, I was finally understanding and making more efforts in the right direction.

4.2 Future Works

In this section, we will discuss some possible future works that can continue to make AortaGeomRecon better, the first improvement can be done in segmentation algorithm, and the second improvement can finalize our assurance case.

4.2.1 Segmentation Algorithm

In this paper [11], we were able to discover a new segmentation algorithm that also needs a cropped volume and the aorta seeds to perform segmentation. However, it required less hyperparameters such as the parameters for SimpleITK’s Threshold-SegmentationLevelSetsFilter. Using this algorithm effectively reduces the number of hyperparameters, which lead to a better and safer segmentation results.

4.2.2 Assurance Case

There is room for improvements on the arguments of the requirements of Aorta-GeomRecon. The correctness of the document is reviewed and approved by a domain expert, where there should be evidences that can support the argument.

Appendix A

Your Appendix

Your appendix goes here.

Bibliography

- [1] Introduction: Slicer tutorials#segmentation. <https://www.slicer.org/wiki/Documentation/Nightly/Training#Segmentation>.
- [2] Astah system safety. <https://astah.net/products/astah-system-safety/>, May 2023.
- [3] BEARE, R., LOWEKAMP, B., AND YANIV, Z. Image segmentation, registration and characterization in r with simpleitk. *Journal of Statistical Software* 86, 8 (2018), 1–35.
- [4] BLANCHETTE, S. *Assurance Cases for Design Analysis of Complex System of Systems Software*.
- [5] GERIG, G. Snap tutorial and user's manual. <http://www.itksnap.org/docs/fullmanual.php>. [Online; accessed 2023; Section 6. Automatic Segmentation using Region Competition Snakes].
- [6] GIBAUD, B. The dicom standard: A brief overview. In *Molecular Imaging: Computer Reconstruction and Practice* (Dordrecht, 2008), Y. Lemoigne and A. Caner, Eds., Springer Netherlands, pp. 229–238.

- [7] GITHUB. Github actions documentation. <https://docs.github.com/en/actions/using-workflows/about-workflows>, 2023.
- [8] HARRIS, C. R., MILLMAN, K. J., VAN DER WALT, S. J., GOMMERS, R., VIRTANEN, P., COURNAPEAU, D., WIESER, E., TAYLOR, J., BERG, S., SMITH, N. J., KERN, R., PICUS, M., HOYER, S., VAN KERKWIJK, M. H., BRETT, M., HALDANE, A., DEL RÍO, J. F., WIEBE, M., PETERSON, P., GÉRARD-MARCHANT, P., SHEPPARD, K., REDDY, T., WECKESSER, W., ABBASI, H., GOHLKE, C., AND OLIPHANT, T. E. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature* 585, 7825 (Sept. 2020), 357–362.
- [9] KELLY, T., AND WEAVER, R. The goal structuring notation—a safety argument notation. In *Proceedings of the dependable systems and networks 2004 workshop on assurance cases* (2004), vol. 6, Citeseer.
- [10] KIKINIS, R., PIEPER, S. D., AND VOSBURGH, K. G. *3D Slicer: A Platform for Subject-Specific Image Analysis, Visualization, and Clinical Support*. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2014, pp. 277–289.
- [11] KURUGOL, S., SAN JOSE ESTEPAR, R., ROSS, J., AND WASHKO, G. R. Aorta segmentation with a 3d level set approach and quantification of aortic calcifications in non-contrast chest ct. In *2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society* (2012), pp. 2343–2346.
- [12] LIN, J. Design document. <https://joviel25.github.io/AortaGR-design-document/index.html>, 2023.

- [13] LIN, J. System requirements specification. <https://github.com/smiths/aorta/blob/main/docs/SRS/SRS.pdf>, 2023.
- [14] LOWEKAMP, B., CHEN, D., IBANEZ, L., AND BLEZEK, D. The design of simpleitk. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 7 (2013).
- [15] MA, J., ZHANG, Y., GU, S., ZHU, C., GE, C., ZHANG, Y., AN, X., WANG, C., WANG, Q., LIU, X., CAO, S., ZHANG, Q., LIU, S., WANG, Y., LI, Y., HE, J., AND YANG, X. Abdomenct-1k: Is abdominal organ segmentation a solved problem? *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 44, 10 (2022), 6695–6714.
- [16] RAYBAUT, P. Spyder-documentation. Available online at: pythonhosted.org (2009).
- [17] WIKIPEDIA. Lint (software). [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lint_\(software\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lint_(software)), 2023.
- [18] YUSHKEVICH, P. A., PIVEN, J., CODY HAZLETT, H., GIMPEL SMITH, R., HO, S., GEE, J. C., AND GERIG, G. User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: Significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *Neuroimage* 31, 3 (2006), 1116–1128.