



STATE OF OPEN CON[®] 25

“Unifying Security Tools with OCSF and 60 lines of code”
Track - Open Source Software and Security



Spyros
Gasteratos




Andrea Medda

4 - 5 February, 2025
Sancroft, St. Paul's, London

stateofopencon.com
#stateofopencon #SOOCon25






Dirty scripts to the rescue

Vulnerability
Management

Orchestration
chasm

SAST



Nice to meet you


Andrea Medda Campus

- Founding Engineer
- ex Cloudflare and Curve
- DevEx enthusiast
- Gopher at Heart



Spyros Gasteratos

- OSS Dev – SecEng
- Founder/All The Hats
- OpenCRE.org
- OWASP
- Open SSF




Itinerary: a tale of discovery and invention

1. ~~Problem statement~~
2. Unifying Technologies: Sarif, OCSF, Orchestration
3. Our Solution: **SMITHY**
4. How to build a component
5. Demo
6. Pitfalls
7. Next steps
8. Closing remarks



SARIF (Static Analysis Results Interchange Format)

- Open Source Standard for reporting SAST vulnerability findings
- Pros:
 - support from a lot of SAST vendors – Github
 - Human and machine readable
 - JSON Schema
- Cons:
 - support MOSTLY by SAST vendors shallow details
 - weak schemas




OCSF (Open Cybersecurity Schema Framework)

- Security agnostic schemas
- Pros:
 - SAST++++
 - Schemas AND tools (JSON, Protobuf)
 - More expressive than SARIF
 - Extensible
- Cons:
 - Designed by committee
 - Tools STILL don't map the same way
 - Steep learning curve



OCSF



Open Cybersecurity Schema Framework

▼ v1.3.0

Extensions

- ☐ Linux [1]
v1.3.0
- ☐ Windows [2]
v1.3.0

Profiles

- ☐ Cloud
- ☐ Container
- ☐ Data Classification
- ☐ Date/Time
- ☐ Host
- ☐ Linux Users
- ☐ Load Balancer
- ☐ Network Proxy
- ☐ OSINT
- ☐ Security Control

OCSF Schema

Categories Classes Base Event Dictionary Objects Resources

Search

Categories

The OCSF categories organize event classes, each aligned with a specific domain or area of focus.

System Activity [1]	Findings [2]	Identity & Access Management [3]	Discovery [5]	Application Activity [6]	Remediation [7]
<ul style="list-style-type: none">File System Activity [1001]Kernel Extension Activity [1002]Kernel Activity [1003]Memory Activity [1004]Module Activity [1005]Scheduled Job Activity [1006]Process Activity [1007]Event Log Activity [1008]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Security Finding [2001]Vulnerability Finding [2002]Compliance Finding [2003]Detection Finding [2004]Incident Finding [2005]Data Security Finding [2006]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Account Change [3001]Authentication [3002]Authorization [3003]Group Activity [3004]User Activity [3005]SMB Activity [4006]SSH Activity [4007]FTP Activity [4008]Email Activity [4009]Network File Activity [4010]Email File Activity [4011]Email URL Activity [4012]NTP Activity [4013]Tunnel Activity [4014]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Device Inventory Info [5001]Device Config State [5002]User Inventory Info [5003]Operating System Patch State [5004]Kernel Object Query [5006]File Query [5007]Folder Query [5008]Admin Group Query [5009]Job Query [5010]Module Query [5011]Network Connection Query [5012]Networks Query [5013]Peripheral Device Query [5014]Process Query [5015]Service Query [5016]User Session Query [5017]User Query [5018]Device Config State Change [5019]Software Inventory Info [5020]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Web Resources Activity [6001]Application Lifecycle [6002]API Activity [6003]Web Resource Access Activity [6004]Database Activity [6005]File Hosting Activity [6006]Scan Activity [6007]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Remediation Activity [7001]File Remediation Activity [7002]Process Remediation Activity [7003]Network Remediation Activity [7004]

20+ categories/types

Challenges with orchestration

- Running security tools **reliably** not trivial
- Leveraging common knowledge is hard
- Not straightforward feedback loops



Taming the chaos



- Standardise tools execution and implementation
- Automatic instrumentation:
 - metrics
 - logs
 - traces
 - panic handling
 - ...
- Not impacting on production CI pipelines

Orchestration

Tools can

- Report in OCSF format - Data Lake

- Run in the same and predictable ways - Reliability

- Be built in the same way with an SDK - Maintainability/Adoption

- Be orchestrated locally, on CI, on Premises or on SAAS

SMITHY: SDK for OCSF and Orchestration


- SDK + workflow engine for security tooling
- Fetch Artifacts, Scan, Enrich, Filter and Report functionality
- Run locally, on CI or wherever you can orchestrate containers



Components


What do they do?

1. Wrap security tooling
2. Execute and parse results
3. Parse results to OCSF
4. Store



Workflows

- Define component execution order and configuration
- Configurable via yaml or CLI



Component Configuration

```
name: gosec-parser
description: "Parses gosec findings into OCSF format"
type: scanner
steps:
  - name: scanner
    image: "docker.io/securego/gosec:2.15.0"
    executable: >
      -fmt=sarif
      -no-fail
      -out=/workspace/repos/gosec.json
      /workspace/repos/govwa
  - name: parser
    image: "ghcr.io/smithy-security/images/components/scanners/gosec:latest"
    env_vars:
      GOSEC_RAW_OUT_FILE_PATH: repos/gosec.json
```

Workflow Configuration



```
description: "GoSec sample pipeline"
```

```
name: "gosec-pipeline"
```

```
components:
```

- component: "ghcr.io/smithy-security/manifests/components/target/git-cloner:v0.1.0"
- component: "ghcr.io/smithy-security/manifests/components/scanner/gosec-parser:v1.0.0"
- component: "ghcr.io/smithy-security/manifests/components/enricher/custom-annotation:v1.2.0"
- component: "ghcr.io/smithy-security/manifests/components/reporter/json-logger:v2.0.0"

SDK



- Go SDK to write components
- Plug and play
- Focus on writing business logic
- Speaks OCSF
- Reliability, Storage and Monitoring instrumentation capabilities built in

Component Specification

```
type Enricher interface {  
    // Annotate enriches vulnerability findings by some criteria.  
    Annotate(ctx context.Context, findings []VulnerabilityFinding) ([]VulnerabilityFinding, error)  
}
```

Example Implementation

```
type esReporter struct {
    esClient *elasticsearch.Client
}

func (e esReporter) Report(
    ctx context.Context,
    findings []VulnerabilityFinding,
) error {
    logger := component.
        LoggerFromContext(ctx).
        With(
            slog.Int("num_findings", len(findings)),
        )

    for _, finding := range findings {
        b, err := protojson.Marshal(finding.Finding)
        if err != nil {
            return errors.Errorf("could not json marshal finding: %w", err)
        }
        e.esClient.Index("findings", bytes.NewBuffer(b))
    }

    return nil
}
```

Orchestration

Locally

Smithyctl executes workflows with a simple execution engine:

- No built in resiliency capabilities
- No global vulnerability database knowledge
- Can handle one workflow at a time


Mainly used for testing and local development



Wherever you want


Smithyctl is a single binary and can run anywhere:

- CI
- Container orchestrators
- ???



Demo





Pitfalls

- Not using open standards and SDKs
- Raw Data dumping in human - focused fields
- Not being strict about original tool info – less is more
- Relying only on AI mappings

Next Steps

- SDK V1 – helper methods, shortcuts
- Community registry – publish, discover and download components and workflows
- SDK V2 – more observability, support more of OCSF natively
- More composable workflows
- Native LLM bindings
- And many more

To recap

- **Dirty scripts don't scale**
- **Interoperability:** The only way to do security is Open Standards
- **Short Feedback loops:** Fast and flexible integrations
- Smithy is Open Source, you can find it at:
<https://github.com/smithy-security/smithy>



Thank You

You can find the slides here: QR Code pointing to the equivalent blog post on our website or docs in github with the pdf of the slides