From Clicks to Cases: The Predictive Power of Wikipedia Pageviews for Mpox Incidence

Steven Kerr

5 April 2024

Table of contents

Abstract (7) (350 words)
Introduction (6) (700 words)
Background and Context
Problem Definition
Proposed Solution
Research Questions or Hypthesis
Theoretical Framework
Outlook
Outlook
Literature Review (5) (1,000 words)
Theory / Research Questions and Hypotheses (1) (1,000 words)
Data and Methods (2)
Data sources (700 words)
Mpox case data
Wikipedia data
Methods (1,000 words)
Ethical considerations
Limitations of Data and Methods
Conclusion
Analysis (3) (1,400 words)
Descriptive analysis
Regression models
Synthesis and link to Hypothesis / Research Questions
Conclusion (4) (700 words)
Summary of key findings

y recommendation	ns .																									1
re research areas																										1
luding statement																										1
	cations	tations	rations	tations	rations	rations	rations	rations	rations	tations	y recommendations	tations														

Abstract (7) (350 words)

[To be added last]

Introduction (6) (700 words)

Background and Context

As the world becomes increasingly interconnected and climate change elevates the risk of zoonotic spillover events, the public becomes ever more susceptible to global-scale outbreaks.¹ In this context, traditional disease modeling techniques, while effective for well-documented diseases, may not be as applicable for emerging diseases with low case numbers and less understood epidemiological parameters. Critically, public attention plays a pivotal role in disease detection and response.

Problem Definition

A key to outbreak prevention and response is surveillance and detection. Disease surveillance methodologies encompass a wide range of techniques used to monitor disease incidence, varying from more traditional approaches, which often rely on healthcare system reporting, to more innovative techniques that leverage new technologies and data sources. The most common form of surveillance relies on healthcare providers reporting cases of notifiable diseases to public health authorities [SOURCE]. Such methods are cost-effective but can suffer from under-reporting and delays. The problem with these historical methods is that they require significant time before data become available. This lag in obtaining accurate and timely data poses a considerable challenge for policymakers who are tasked with making swift, informed decisions critical to outbreak containment. The urgency for real-time information becomes paramount in situations where delays in decision-making can lead to escalated public health crises. In the face of these challenges, the vast and continually expanding reservoir of online data presents an underutilized opportunity for gaining timely insights into disease dynamics.

Proposed Solution

The advent of digital tools allows for the real-time tracking of this attention, offering a valuable complement to established disease surveillance methods. This is where online attention

¹https://www.nature.com/articles/s41579-021-00639-z

can serve as an innovative proxy for tracking disease spread. In this regard, the 2022-2023 multi-country mpox outbreak presents a recent case study, allowing us to examine the efficacy of real-time data in monitoring a relatively under-studied disease. By thoroughly exploring these non-traditional methods, we can more fully understand their advantages and limitations, thus providing crucial insights for decision-makers. This research not only enhances our understanding of digital epidemiology but also contributes to shaping more effective and timely responses to future infectious disease outbreaks.

• Background on the Subject Matter: While you briefly touch on the importance of new disease surveillance methods in the context of global interconnectivity and climate change, providing a bit more background on traditional vs. digital epidemiology techniques could help set the stage for your study's focus on Wikipedia data.

Research Questions or Hypthesis

This paper² investigates whether Wikipedia pageviews can serve as a reliable proxy for public interest during the 2022-2023 multi-country mpox outbreak. The primary goal is to assess whether Wikipedia, with its vast user base and granular data, can effectively serve as a proxy for measuring public attention and information-seeking behavior in the context of global health crises. This work is motivated by the limitations of existing disease modeling techniques for emerging diseases, which may lack sufficient historical data for accurate forecasting. Public attention, as measured by digital resources like Wikipedia, could provide early indicators of disease outbreaks, thus aiding in more timely and effective public health interventions.

Theoretical Framework

- Theoretical Framework: If there's a theoretical framework guiding your research, briefly mentioning it in the introduction can help readers understand the lens through which you're examining the data.
 - Health Belief Model (HBM)
 - Infodemiology and Infoveillance
 - The Framework of Risk Information Seeking and Processing (RISP)

Outlook

This work contributes to the broader academic discourse by exploring the potential of opensource data for enhancing disease surveillance and response strategies. It seeks to validate the efficacy of Wikipedia, as a non-traditional data source, in providing actionable insights to policymakers during public health emergencies. In doing so, this work addresses gaps in the literature regarding the effectiveness of digital tools in enhancing disease surveillance.

²Project GitHub repository: https://github.com/smkerr/mpox-wiki-analysis

• Significance of the Study: Briefly highlight why this research is important. You've mentioned how it contributes to understanding digital epidemiology, but expanding on the implications for public health policy and emergency response could make a stronger case for the study's relevance.

Literature Review (5) (1,000 words)

- **Objective**: Clearly state the purpose of the Literature Review within the context of your thesis.
- Scope: Define the scope of the review, including the time frame, geographic focus, and types of sources considered.
- **Definitions and Concepts**: Introduce and define key theories, models, or conceptual frameworks that underpin your research area.
- Relevance: Discuss how these theoretical foundations inform the existing research and your study.
- Thematic Organization: Divide the literature into themes or categories relevant to your research question. For each theme:
 - Summary: Provide an overview of the key findings, methodologies, and conclusions.
 - Critical Analysis: Evaluate the methodologies used, discuss the findings, and note any inconsistencies or debates within the theme.
 - Connection to Your Research: Explain how each theme relates to your research question or objectives.
- Overview of Methods: If relevant, discuss the different methodologies employed in the literature, highlighting the evolution of research techniques over time.
- Strengths and Limitations: Critically assess the strengths and limitations of various approaches.
- **Identification of Gaps**: Identify areas that have not been thoroughly explored or questions that remain unanswered.
- Opportunities for Further Research: Explain how your research aims to address these gaps or contribute new insights.
- Recent Developments: Highlight recent studies or emerging trends in the field.
- Theoretical or Methodological Innovations: Discuss any new theories or methodologies that are gaining traction.
- Synthesis: Summarize the key findings and debates covered in the review.
- Relevance to Your Study: Reiterate how the reviewed literature supports, contradicts, or informs your research.

• Research Question/Hypothesis Revisited: Optionally, refine or reaffirm your research question or hypothesis based on the literature review.

Several key academic papers lay the groundwork for this approach. In "Assessing Public Interest Based on Wikipedia's Most Visited Medical Articles During the SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak: Search Trends Analysis" by Chrzanowski et al. (2021), the authors investigates how public interest in medical topics changed during the COVID-19 pandemic, as reflected by Wikipedia pageviews. The study conducted a retrospective analysis of access to medical articles across nine language versions of Wikipedia and correlated these patterns with global and regional COVID-19 deaths, comparing observed data to a forecast model trained on data from 2015-2019. This involved collecting daily page view statistics for 37,880 articles curated by the English Wikipedia Medicine Project from 1 July 2015 to 13 September 2020. The authors sourced page view statistics using ToolForge, a page view analytics tool) and sourced COVID-19 death statistics from Our World in Data. It found a correlation between the pandemic's severity and pageviews for COVID-19-related Wikipedia articles, concluding that changes in article popularity could serve as a method for epidemiological surveillance by reflecting public attention during disease outbreaks. Furthermore, it demonstrates the potential for using Wikipedia data for epidemiological surveillance and understanding public information-seeking behavior during disease outbreaks. While the paper focuses on the COVID-19 pandemic, similar methodologies can be applied to provide insights into public attention and information-seeking behavior during the Mpox outbreak.

"Association between public attention and monkeypox epidemic: A global lag-correlation analysis" by Yan et al. (2023) investigates the association between public attention, as measured by Google Trends Index (GTI), and the global mpox oubtreak. The authors use Google Trends data for information on internet search activity related to mpox as well as data on daily confirmed mpox cases from Our World in Data. It tests time-lag correlations between GTI and daily confirmed mpox cases across the 20 countries with the highest case numbers as of 20 September 2022 using the Spearman correlation coefficients, over a range of -36 to +36days. Spearman correlation coefficients from these 20 countries were pooled to provide a combined correlation coefficient for each lag. To test whether the time series was stationary, an Augment Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is applied. The study finds a strong positive correlation, particularly noticeable 13 days after a peak in public attention. The study also conducted meta-analyses and utilized vector autoregression (VAR) models to analyze the temporal relationship between GTI and daily confirmed mpox cases, and a Granger-causality test was employed to evaluate whether the GTI trend could predict daily confirmed mpox cases. The findings suggest that GTI could be a useful tool for early monitoring and prediction of mpox cases, highlighting the significance of digital epidemiology in infectious disease surveillance. The study emphasizes the potential of internet data like GTI in providing early warning signs for health outbreaks and aiding in rapid response strategies. While the study utilizes GTI data to public attention towards the mpox outbreak, however similar methods can be applied to Wikipedia pageviews data to test whether the same conclusions can be drawn using Wikipedia as a data source.

In "Trends in Online Search Activity and the Correlation with Daily New Cases of Monkey-pox among 102 Countries or Territories" by Du et al. (2023), the authors investigate the

relationship between online search activity related to mpox and the actual daily new cases of mpox across 102 countries or territories. The study aims to understand how internet search trends can reflect public awareness towards mpox, potentially serving as an early indicator for outbreaks. Data on daily mpox cases from 1 May 2022 to 9 October 2022 was sourced from Our World in Data, while online search activity data related to mpox was sourced from Google Trends using the keyword "monkeypox". Online search activity was expressed as relative normalized search volume numbers (RNSNs) ranging from 0 to 100 to reflect how many searches are performed for a keyword relative to the total number of searches on the internet over time where a value of 100 represents the time point at which the search term has reached its peak in popularity. Demographic data including total population, population density, average years of schooling, socioeconomic status, and public tourism were sourced from the United Nations and World Bank. Data on health status including HIV prevalence, sanitation levels, and health workforce densities were obtained from the 2019 Global Burden of Disease study. The authors use a segmented time-series analysis to estimate the impact of the PHEIC declaration on online search activity, adjusting for daily new cases across 194 countries or territories. Furthermore, the study tests time-lag correlations between online search activity and daily new cases, specifically considering lags of -21, -14, -7, 0, +7, +14, and +21 days. Next, a general linear regression model (GLM) is used to explore influencing factors on the relationship between online search activity and daily new cases. The authors find a significant correlation between online search activity and daily mpox cases, with online searches often preceding reporting of new cases. This study highlights the value of integrating internet search data into public health surveillance for emerging infectious diseases. Similar to the paper by Yan et al., this study utilizes Google Trends data, however similar methodologies could be applied towards Wikipedia pageviews data.

Beyond these studies, several other academic papers have contributed analysis to various aspects of the relationship between online information-seeking behavior and public health.

- García-Gavilanes et al. (2016) use Wikipedia page view and page edit statistics to investigate public attention towards airline crashes.
- In their analysis of page views for COVID-19-related Wikipedia pages, Gozzi et al. (2020) find that page views were mainly driven by media coverage, declined rapidly, even while COVID-19 incidence remained high, raising questions about the impacts of attention saturation in disease outbreak settings.
- Bhagavathula and Raubenheimer (2023) conducted a joinpoint regression analysis to measure hourly percentage changes (HPC) in search volume in the hours immediately preceding and following WHO's determination to assign PHEIC status to mpox, finding an overall increase in information-seeking behavior, although results varied by country. This study revealed a 103% increase in public interest in top five Mpox-affected countries immediately following the WHO PHEIC announcement. However, search interest waned after the announcement, so that search interest appeared to reflect media

 $^{^3}$ Note that this study was conducted prior to WHO's recommendation that monkeypox instead be referred to as "mpox" on 28 November 2022: https://www.who.int/news/item/28-11-2022-who-recommends-new-name-for-monkeypox-disease

attention more than disease spread.

- Gong et al. (2022) use the Baidu Index (BDI) and Sina Macro Index (SMI) to investigate the association between public attention towards the COVID-19 pandemic and new cases using Spearman correlation.
- Abbas et al. (2021) analyze associations between Google Search Trends for symptoms of COVID-19 and confirmed cases and deaths within the United States, demonstrating ability to predict cases up to three weeks prior.
- Hickmann et al. (2015) demonstrate that it is possible to use Wikipedia page view statistics and CDC influenza-like illness (ILI) reports to create a weekly forecast for seasonal influenza, finding that that Wikipedia article access are highly correlated with historical ILI records, allowing for highly accurate disease forecasts several weeks before case data is available.

Given the existing literature, this thesis aims to fill the research gap by investigating whether Wikipedia can be predictive of mpox cases during the 2022-2023 multi-country outbreak. While previous studies have evaluated Wikipedia data for COVID-19 and others have utilized Google Trends data for mpox, this thesis represents the first attempt, as far as I am aware, to explore the relationship between Wikipedia page view statistics and mpox cases.

Theory / Research Questions and Hypotheses (1) (1,000 words)

- Rationale: Begin by explaining why the chosen theoretical framework is suitable for your research, linking it to the gaps or issues identified in the literature review.
- **Description**: Provide a detailed description of the theoretical framework or models you'll be using, including key concepts, variables, and the relationships between them.
- **Relevance**: Discuss how this framework has been applied in previous research and its significance in addressing your research problem.
- **Definitions**: Clearly define the main constructs or variables within your theoretical framework, ensuring they are specific to your study's context.
- Operationalization: Explain how you will measure these constructs or variables in your research, making theoretical concepts tangible and researchable.

• Research Questions:

- If your study is more exploratory or qualitative, list the research questions that guide your investigation. Each question should be clearly formulated to explore the relationships or phenomena described by your theoretical framework.
- Purpose: Clarify what each question aims to discover or understand about the relationship between variables or concepts.

• Hypotheses:

- For more quantitative or confirmatory studies, formulate specific hypotheses. These are predictive statements about the expected relationships between variables based on your theoretical framework.
- Directionality: Indicate whether each hypothesis is directional (specifying the nature of the relationship) or non-directional (simply stating that a relationship exists).
- Theoretical Justification: Provide a rationale for each research question or hypothesis, drawing on your theoretical framework and findings from the literature review.
- Research Gap: Demonstrate how your questions or hypotheses address a gap in the existing literature or contribute to a deeper understanding of the topic.
- Methodological Implications: Briefly discuss how your research questions or hypotheses will influence your choice of research design, methods of data collection, and analysis techniques.
- Feasibility and Limitations: Acknowledge any limitations that your theoretical framework or hypotheses may impose on your study and how you plan to address them.
- Summary: Conclude by summarizing the key points made in this section, reinforcing the importance of your theoretical framework and research questions/hypotheses in guiding your study.
- **Transition**: Provide a smooth transition to the next section of your thesis, typically the methodology, indicating how the theory will be applied to empirical research.

To what extent can Wikipedia data be effectively utilized as an alternative method for measuring public attention and information-seeking behavior during the 2022-2023 multi-country mpox outbreak?

This research question engages the following current and relevant conversations within the literature:

- Open Source Data for Public Health Surveillance: Examining the utility and limitations of using public data sources like Wikipedia to monitor and assess public health events, contributing to ongoing discussions about their reliability and relevance.
- Information Dissemination and Public Awareness: Investigating the extent to which public awareness of outbreaks is shaped by the impact (number of cases and/or deaths), connecting with debates about information ecosystems and their impact on public health communication.
- *Policy Implications*: Discussing the potential policy recommendations and interventions that can arise from a better understanding of public attention and information-seeking behavior during outbreaks on digital platforms.

My thesis also contains several sub-questions to be investigated in support of the main research question:

- Which medical articles saw traffic volume increase significantly after the start of the mpox outbreak?
- To what extent do the number of mpox cases correlate with the traffic volume of mpox-related Wikipedia articles?
- How effective is Wikipedia analytics data compared to other data sources (e.g., Google Trends) when it comes to gauging public attention towards the mpox outbreak?

Data and Methods (2)

• Overview: Start with a brief introduction that outlines the purpose of this section, connecting it back to your research questions or hypotheses.

Data sources (700 words)

- Data Source(s): Describe where your data comes from. For example, mention if you used datasets from public repositories, conducted surveys, or collected observational data. Provide the context and justification for choosing these sources.
- Sample Selection: Detail how you selected your sample. Include information on the population of interest, sampling frame, and any sampling techniques used (e.g., random sampling, stratified sampling).
- Data Collection Procedures: Explain how the data were collected, including any instruments or tools used (e.g., survey questionnaires, interview guides).
- Data Description: Provide a descriptive overview of your data, including the size of the dataset, key variables, and any pertinent characteristics of the data relevant to your research questions.

To answer my research question, I will rely on two main data sources. First, country-level data on the weekly number of mpox cases is sourced from WHO.⁴ Second, Wikipedia analytics data on daily page view volume by article is sourced directly from Wikipedia.⁵

My topic focuses on assessing public attention towards the 2022-2023 multi-country mpox outbreak using Wikipedia data. As such, this analysis relies on two main data sources. First, country-level data on the weekly number of mpox cases is sourced from the World Health Organization (WHO). Second, Wikipedia analytics data on page view volume is sourced directly from Wikipedia.

Data on the number of confirmed mpox cases is obtained from the World Health Organization (WHO), while page view statistics for Wikipedia articles related to mpox are sourced directly

⁴https://worldhealthorg.shinyapps.io/mpx_global/

⁵https://wikitech.wikimedia.org/wiki/Data_Engineering/Systems/AQS

from Wikipedia. The analysis is restricted to the 10 countries most affected by the outbreak, using time series analysis and lag-correlation methods to examine the relationship between Wikipedia page views and mpox case numbers. The study aims to identify patterns and correlations that could support the use of Wikipedia data as a predictive tool for public health surveillance.

Mpox case data

- Methodological Approach: Outline the methodological approach or approaches you used in your study (e.g., qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods). Explain why this approach is suitable for addressing your research questions or testing your hypotheses.
- Data Preparation: Describe any procedures used to prepare the data for analysis, such as data cleaning, coding, or the handling of missing data.
- Analytical Techniques: Detail the specific analytical techniques or statistical methods used to analyze the data. Include information on any software or tools used in the analysis.
- Validation Methods: If applicable, discuss how you validated your findings. This might involve techniques such as cross-validation, reliability and validity testing of instruments, or triangulation of data sources.

Daily aggregated numbers of mpox cases by country correspond with the date on which cases were reported to public health authorities. One advantage of this dataset is that it is considered to be largely complete since it comprises every confirmed and probable case reported to the national public health authorities. While this still leaves room for cases to go underreported in instances where an individual does not seek medical attention (e.g., for fear of stygmatization) or for asymptomatic cases, it still represents the most comprehensive view of the outbreak's scale. Aggregated data is available for all reported cases as of 31 December 2023.

The top 10 countries by number of confirmed cases are the United States of America (31,246), Brazil (10,967), Spain (7,752), France (4,171), Colombia (4,090), Mexico (4,078), the United Kingdom (3,875), Peru (3,812), Germany (3,800), and China⁷ (2,025).

As of 31 December 2023, mpox cases have been reported by 117 WHO Member States across all six WHO regions. The dataset contains cases reported between 7 January 2022 to 31 December 2023.

For this analysis, I will only consider confirmed cases. However, I do not expect this decision to greatly impact the results considering that probable cases only make up 0.7% of overall cases (652/93,682) and only eight countries report any probable cases. While Puerto Rico

 $^{^6}$ For more information on what constitutes a confirmed or probable case, please refer to WHO's mpox case definitions: https://www.who.int/emergencies/outbreak-toolkit/disease-outbreak-toolboxes/mpox-outbreak-toolbox

⁷Cases shown include those reported in mainland China (1,611), Taiwan (333), Hong Kong (80), and Macao (1).

reports the highest proportion of probable cases at 42% of total cases (150/361), probable cases make up less than 5% of the remaining countries' total cases.

While WHO collects aggregated data on a daily basis, nearly all countries' public health authorities report cases at a weekly frequency. As a result, it is more useful to aggregate cases by epidemic week. The epidemic curve below depicts the aggregated weekly number of cases by week reported.

While the global trend appears to have been quite coherent with the number of weekly cases peaking in July 2022, this disguises the fact that the trends in cases looked quite distinct at the WHO region-level.

Here we observe that there is substantial variation between the six WHO regions, with the Eastern Mediterranean Region, European Region, and Region of the Americas following a similar trend with cases peaking in summer/fall 2022, while cases in the South-East Asia Region and Western Pacific Region peak in summer/fall 2023. In contrast, cases reported by the African Region appear to be more uniformly distributed, reflecting the fact that mpox is endemic to certain areas of western and central Africa.

In addition to aggregated case data, WHO also collects line list data where each row corresponds with an individual case and contains information on demographics, clinical presentation, epidemiological exposure factors, and laboratory testing. Due to privacy concerns, line list data is stripped of all personally identifiable information and aggregated by country and date before being made available by WHO. In contrast to aggregated case data, the date variable of the detailed case dataset corresponds with either the date of symptom onset, the date of diagnosis (if date of symptom onset is not available), or the date of reporting (if date of symptom onset and date of diagnosis are not available). This difference in how cases are assigned to a date grants us a much more granular view of countries' epidemic curves, as shown below. Dates are aggregated at the weekly level to further protect individual cases' privacy.

A significant disadvantage of this detailed dataset is that it only contains information 63% for all reported mpox cases (58,883/93,030). This is driven almost entirely by the fact that WHO no longer includes cases from the United States in this dataset, despite the fact that the United States represents 34% of global cases (31,246/93,030). That said, this data can still be useful for analysis of other countries. As such, detailed dataset can complement the aggregated data presented above.

While WHO collects line list data on a daily basis, this data is aggregated by epidemic week to safeguard the privacy of individual cases. The epidemic curve below depicts the weekly number of cases. Compared to the epidemic curves produced using aggregated data, the detailed data allows us to plot much smoother curves which seem to adhere more closely to the trend we might expect of an infectious disease outbreak.

Again, while the global trend appears to have been quite coherent with the number of weekly

⁸https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/monkeypox-minimum-dataset-case-reporting-form-(crf)

⁹https://worldhealthorg.shinyapps.io/mpx global/

¹⁰https://worldhealthorg.shinyapps.io/mpx_global/

cases peaking in July 2022, the trends vary at the WHO region-level.

Wikipedia data

The Wikimedia Foundation makes it straightforward to access various analytics data related to its projects, including Wikipedia, by providing the Wikimedia Analytics Query Service (AQS) REST API. AQS offers a range of analytics data, such as page view statistics, editor activity levels, and other traffic data from as far back as 1 August 2015. The REST API facilitates the retrieval of analytics data from Wikipedia in a structured way. Given that Wikipedia data is abundant, publicly accessible, and commonly used, many resources exist to easily access this data. Wikipedia data is one such package which serves as a Wikimedia API wrapper that facilitates querying for traffic (pageviews, unique devices), user (e.g. active editors), and content-based metrics (e.g. edits counts, pages counts) from Wikimedia Analytics Query Service with R.

Since this project is concerned with assessing public attention, Wikipedia page view statistics will serve as our primary measure for online information-seeking behavior. We query page view statistics from the AQS REST API using the following specifications:

We start exploring the dataset by examining the absolute and relative frequency of different values within the project and page_name variables. As expected, all page views are for the English Wikipedia project. Notably, the "Mpox" article has a substantially higher traffic volume than the "Monkeypox virus" article (71% vs. 29%).

Next, we explore the missingness of each of variable in the pageviews dataset. We find that redirect_name is the only column missing values. For the specified Wikipedia project and articles, 27% of page views were redirected from other search terms.

Seeing as a substantial number of page views are driven by redirects, it is important to understand whether it is valid to include these redirects in our analysis. If search terms appear related to mpox or the monkeypox virus, then it is safe to assume that this represents online information-seeking behavior towards our topic. Our table shows that all search appear to directly relate to mpox or the monkeypox virus, so we conclude that is valid to include redirects in this analysis. This will be reevaluated and handled as more projects and articles are added to the analysis.

Considering that mpox case data is available at a weekly level of granularity, we aggregate page view data by week and plot the results below. We observer two large spikes, with the first centered on May 2022 when non-endemic countries began reporting mpox cases¹² and the second centered on late July 2022 when WHO declared mpox to be a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC).¹³ Other smaller peaks can be observed, although the general trend indicates that public attention decreases over time.

¹¹Mikhail Popov, Data Science Manager in Product Analytics at the Wikimedia Foundation, has published a list of R packages related to or affiliated with the Wikimedia Foundation here: https://people.wikimedia.org/~bearloga/notes/r-pkgs.html

¹²https://www.who.int/emergencies/situations/monkeypox-oubreak-2022

 $^{^{13}}$ https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/23-07-2022-who-director-general-declares-the-ongoing-monkeypox-outbreak-a-public-health-event-of-international-concern

Another element of this project involves developing a baseline for what online informationseeking behavior may have looked like in the absence of the mpox outbreak, as measured by Wikipedia article access. For this, we will use overall project views for the respective Wikipedia projects to detect seasonality and long-term trends in Wikipedia search trends. To illustrate what this looks, we examine the Wikipedia projects corresponding with the most common languages in the top 10 countries by number of mpox cases.

The plot below shows that English Wikipedia predominates overall Wikipedia traffic, with weekly traffic levels for the other language projects clustered together well below.

While this Data Report showcases the underlying data structure of Wikipedia page view statistics using as "Mpox" and "Monkeypox virus" articles as mere examples, there are many other articles related to mpox and its symptoms¹⁴ that may also contribute to this analysis. Supplemental article titles are listed below. Since article titles have been recorded in English, the next step would be to cross-reference them with their corresponding titles for other Wikipedia projects.

Methods (1,000 words)

While mpox case data is available for 117 WHO Member States and Wikipedia page view statistics exist for nearly 300 languages, I will limit the scope of this analysis to the 10 countries with the most cumulative cases, including the United States of America (31,246), Brazil (10,967), Spain (7,752), France (4,171), Colombia (4,090), Mexico (4,078), the United Kingdom (3,875), Peru (3,812), Germany (3,800), and China¹⁵ (2,025). Accordingly, I will limit the analysis to the Wikipedia projects for the languages that prominently feature in these countries, including English (the United States of America and the United Kingdom), Portuguese (Brazil), Spanish (Spain, Mexico, and Peru), French (France), German (Germany), Chinese (China). I will examine the time period from 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2023.

Country	Number of cases	Wikipedia project
United States of America	31,246	English
Brazil	10,967	Portuguese
Spain	7,752	Spanish
France	4,171	French
Colombia	4,090	Spanish
Mexico	4,078	Spanish
United Kingdom	3,875	English
Peru	3,812	Spanish
Germany	3,800	German
China	2,025	Chinese

¹⁴https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/monkeypox

¹⁵Cases shown include those reported in mainland China (1,611), Taiwan (333), Hong Kong (80), and Macao (1).

My proposed methodology takes inspiration from the work of Yan et al. and Du et al. I will conduct an observational study to assess the lag-correlation between public attention and mpox cases for the 10 countries with the most cumulative cases.

- 1. Define collection of mpox-related Wikipedia articles
 - Identify articles directly related to mpox, including historical information, symptoms, treatment, and prevention.
 - Identify articles with a low degree of separation within network of Wikipedia articles.
 - Analyze Wikipedia page view statistics to identify medical articles that experienced significant increases in traffic coinciding with the timeline of the 2022-2023 mpox outbreak.¹⁶

2. Data preparation

- Collect daily mpox case numbers from WHO.
- Extract daily traffic volume data for the defined collection of Wikipedia articles using Wikipedia's API with the {waxer} package using R.
- De-noise data by aggregating both mpox cases and Wikipedia page view statistics to the weekly level.
- Standardize Wikipedia traffic volumes to be expressed as a percentage of total traffic volume for a given Wikipedia language version.

3. Statistical analysis

- Perform Spearman correlation tests to examine the time-lag relationship between Wikipedia traffic volumes and mpox case numbers. The range of -21 to +21 days will allow analysis of lead and lag effects.
- Use non-parametric methods, considering the non-normal distribution of the data.

4. Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test

• Implement the ADF test to check for stationarity in both the Wikipedia traffic and mpox case series. Non-stationary data can lead to spurious results in subsequent analyses.

5. Vector autoregression (VAR) model

- Develop a VAR model to understand the dynamic relationship between the two time series. This model will help in capturing the temporal interdependencies and feedback mechanisms between Wikipedia traffic and mpox cases.
- Determine the optimal lag length for the VAR model based on information criteria like AIC or BIC.

6. Granger causality test

- Apply the Granger causality test within the VAR framework to assess whether Wikipedia traffic volumes can be considered a predictor of mpox case trajectories.
- This test will help determine if changes in Wikipedia page views precede changes in mpox cases, indicating a predictive relationship.

7. Validation and robustness checks

• Conduct sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of the findings against different model specifications and subsets of data.

¹⁶An assessment would need to be made to determine that the increase in traffic volume of certain medical articles following the start of the outbreak is not spurious but substantial.

- Validate the results through comparison with other studies or datasets.
- 8. Interpretation and implications
 - Interpret the results, while considering the limitations of observational data and the potential for confounding factors.
 - Discuss the implications for public health surveillance during health emergencies.

Ethical considerations

• Data Privacy: Discuss measures taken to ensure the privacy and confidentiality of participant data.

Limitations of Data and Methods

- Acknowledgment of Limitations: Clearly outline any limitations or biases inherent in your data or methodological approach that could affect your results or the generalizability of your findings.
- Mitigation Strategies: Describe any steps taken to mitigate the impact of these limitations.

Conclusion

- Summary: Conclude this section by summarizing the key points about your data and methods, emphasizing their appropriateness and robustness in addressing your research questions.
- Transition to Results: Provide a smooth transition to the next section of your thesis, which will typically present the results of your analysis.

Analysis (3) (1,400 words)

• Brief Overview: Start with a short paragraph introducing the Analysis section. Highlight the analytical methods used (descriptive analysis and regression models) and their relevance to your research question

Descriptive analysis

- **Purpose and Overview**: State the purpose of conducting a descriptive analysis. This may involve summarizing the main characteristics of the data, establishing patterns, or identifying trends.
- Presentation of Findings:
 - Use tables, charts, and graphs to present key statistics such as means, medians, modes, ranges, and standard deviations.

- Describe significant findings from these visual and statistical summaries, referring to the variables of interest in your study.
- **Interpretation**: Provide a narrative interpretation of the descriptive statistics and what they reveal about the dataset's underlying patterns or trends.
- Link to Research Questions: Explain how the descriptive analysis contributes to answering your research questions or setting the stage for further analysis.

Regression models

• Rationale for Using Regression Models: Explain why regression models are appropriate for your study. Discuss how they can help explore the relationships between variables or predict outcomes based on your theoretical framework.

• Model Specification:

- Describe the type(s) of regression model(s) used (e.g., linear regression, logistic regression) and why they were chosen.
- Detail the dependent and independent variables, including any control variables, and the rationale for their selection.
- Assumptions and Preliminary Tests: Briefly mention any assumptions underlying the regression models and describe any preliminary tests conducted to ensure these assumptions were met (e.g., tests for multicollinearity, normality, homoscedasticity).

• Presentation of Regression Results:

- Present the results of the regression analysis in a clear and organized manner, using tables to summarize the model coefficients, significance levels, and fit statistics (e.g., R-squared, AIC).
- Highlight key findings from the regression analysis, focusing on the relationship and significance of the independent variables in predicting the dependent variable(s).

• Interpretation of Results:

- Interpret the coefficients, explaining the meaning of the significant predictors in the context of your research questions.
- Discuss the implications of the findings, considering the strength, direction, and significance of the relationships found.
- Model Fit and Validation: Comment on the overall fit and robustness of the regression models. Mention any model validation techniques used (e.g., cross-validation, out-of-sample prediction).

Synthesis and link to Hypothesis / Research Questions

- Synthesizing Findings: Draw connections between the descriptive analyses and regression model outcomes. Discuss how these analyses collectively address your research questions or support/refute your hypotheses.
- **Insights and Implications**: Provide insights gained from the analysis, emphasizing their implications for the theoretical framework, existing literature, and practical applications.
- Summary of Key Findings: Summarize the most important findings from your analysis, highlighting their relevance and contribution to the field.
- Transition to the Next Section: Conclude with a transition to the following section of your thesis, typically the Discussion or Conclusions, where you will elaborate on the broader implications of your research.

Conclusion (4) (700 words)

Summary of key findings

- Recap of Research Objective: Start with a brief reminder of the study's primary aim or research questions.
- **Highlight Major Findings**: Summarize the main findings from your analysis, emphasizing how they contribute to the existing body of knowledge. Ensure this summary directly relates to your research questions or hypothese

Discussion

- Interpretation of Findings: Offer a deeper interpretation of your key findings, discussing their significance in the broader context of the field. How do these findings contribute to our understanding of the problem?
- Integration with Literature: Briefly compare and contrast your results with relevant studies mentioned in the Literature Review. Highlight any agreements or discrepancies, providing possible explanations for the latter.

Limitations

- Acknowledgment of Limitations: Concisely outline the limitations encountered in your study. This might include methodological constraints, data limitations, or generalizability of the findings.
- Impact on Research: Discuss the potential impact of these limitations on the interpretation of your results and the conclusions drawn from your study.

Policy recommendations

- Based on Findings: Provide specific, actionable policy recommendations based on the key findings of your research. These should be directly linked to the evidence provided by your study.
- Practical Implications: Highlight how these recommendations can be implemented in practice, considering the context of your research. Discuss the potential benefits and any challenges that might need to be addressed.

Future research areas

• Gaps and Opportunities: Briefly suggest areas for future research that emerge from your study's limitations and findings. Highlight how future work can address unanswered questions or test the policy recommendations you've proposed.

Concluding statement

- Final Reflections: End with a strong closing paragraph that reflects on the importance of your work. Reiterate the value of your findings and recommendations in advancing the field and contributing to policy debates.
- Closing Message: Leave the reader with a final thought on the significance of addressing the issue you've researched, emphasizing the potential for positive change through informed policy-making.

References (8)

[s/o Zotero]