



Language Module

smlhelp.github.io – Auxiliary Library

Jacob Neumann
July 2021

Contents

1	Decision Problems	2
2	Specification	3
3	Lemmas	5

1 Decision Problems

Defn. 1 (Set of values)

For any type t , write $\text{Values}(\mathsf{t})$ to denote the set of all values of type t (up to extensional equivalence).

Example 2

- $\text{Values}(\text{bool}) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$
- $\text{Values}(\text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}) = \{\text{not}, \text{Fn.id}, (\text{fn } _ \Rightarrow \text{true}), (\text{fn } _ \Rightarrow \text{false})\}$

Defn. 3

A **decision problem** of type t is a subset

$$L \subseteq \text{Values}(\mathsf{t})$$

Defn. 4

A decision problem $L \subseteq \text{Values}(\mathsf{t})$ is said to be **decidable** if there is a **total** value $D : \mathsf{t} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ such that

$$D(v) \implies \text{true} \quad \text{iff} \quad v \in L$$

for all values $v : \mathsf{t}$. In this case, we say that D **decides** (or **computes**) L

Example 5

The *subset sum problem* is a family of decision problems of type int list , one for each value $n : \text{int}$

$$\text{SUBSETSUM}_n = \{l \in \text{Values}(\text{int list}) \mid \text{foldr } \text{op} + 0 \ l \cong n\}$$

For each n , the problem SUBSETSUM_n is decidable: we can define a total function

$$\text{subsetSum } n : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

such that $\text{subsetSum } n \ l \implies \text{true}$ iff $\text{foldr } \text{op} + 0 \ l \cong n$.

Defn. 6

Given a total function $D : \mathsf{t} \rightarrow \text{bool}$, define the **language** of D to be the set

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \{v \in \text{Values}(\mathsf{t}) \mid D(v) \implies \text{true}\}.$$

Defn. 7

An **equality type** is any type \mathbf{t} such that

$$(\text{op } =) : \mathbf{t} * \mathbf{t} \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

is well-typed, i.e. any type whose values we can compare with the $=$ and $<>$ operators.

Defn. 8

Given an equality type \mathbf{Sigma} , a **decision problem over the alphabet \mathbf{Sigma}** is a subset

$$L \subseteq \text{Values}(\mathbf{Sigma} \text{ list}).$$

2 Specification

Note 9

Throughout, \mathbf{Sigma} will denote some equality type, the type of our “alphabet”. Though some of our results will hold when \mathbf{Sigma} is allowed to be a general polymorphic type (e.g. [Lemma 14](#)), we are mainly concerned with situations where \mathbf{Sigma} is an equality type (and the values `singleton` and `just` demand that \mathbf{Sigma} be an equality type).

A paradigm example is to take

```
1 type Sigma = char
```

Lemma 10

For any total function $D : \mathbf{Sigma} \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ and any subset $L \subseteq \text{Values}(\mathbf{Sigma} \text{ list})$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) D computes L ([Defn. 4](#))
- (2) For *all* values $v : \mathbf{Sigma} \text{ list}$,

$$D(v) \implies \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } v \in L \\ \text{false} & \text{if } v \notin L \end{cases}$$

- 1. $\mathcal{L}(D) = L$ ([Defn. 6](#))

Type Spec

```
'S language = 'S list -> bool
```

Value Spec

`everything : 'S language`

ENSURES: `everything : Sigma language` is a total function computing the decision problem `Values(Sigma list)` over the alphabet `Sigma`.

Value Spec

`nothing : 'S language`

ENSURES: `nothing : Sigma language` is a total function computing the decision problem \emptyset .

Value Spec

`singleton : ''S list -> ''S language`

ENSURES: `(singleton v) : Sigma language` is a total function computing the decision problem $\{v\}$

Value Spec

`just : ''S list list -> ''S language`

ENSURES: `(just [v1, v2, ..., vn]) : Sigma language` is a total function computing the decision problem $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$

Value Spec

`Or : 'S language * 'S language -> 'S language`

REQUIRES: L1 and L2 are total

ENSURES: `Or (L1, L2)` is a total function such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{Or}(L1, L2)) = \mathcal{L}(L1) \cup \mathcal{L}(L2)$$

Value Spec

`And : 'S language * 'S language -> 'S language`

REQUIRES: L1 and L2 are total

ENSURES: `And (L1, L2)` is a total function such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{And}(L1, L2)) = \mathcal{L}(L1) \cap \mathcal{L}(L2)$$

Value Spec

`Not : 'S language -> 'S language`

REQUIRES: L is total

ENSURES: For any $L : \text{Sigma language}$, $\text{Not}(L)$ is a total function such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{Not}(L)) = \text{Values}(\text{Sigma list}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(L)$$

Value Spec

$\text{Xor} : 'S \text{ language} * 'S \text{ language} \rightarrow 'S \text{ language}$

REQUIRES: $L1$ and $L2$ are total

ENSURES: $\text{Xor}(L1, L2)$ is a total function such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{Xor}(L1, L2)) = \mathcal{L}(\text{Or}(L1, L2)) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\text{And}(L1, L2))$$

Value Spec

$\text{lengthEqual} : \text{int} \rightarrow 'S \text{ language}$

REQUIRES: $n \geq 0$

ENSURES: $\text{lengthEqual } n$ is a total function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\text{lengthEqual } n) \\ = \{s \in \text{Values}(\text{Sigma list}) \mid ((\text{List.length } s) = n) \implies \text{true}\} \end{aligned}$$

Value Spec

$\text{lengthLess} : \text{int} \rightarrow 'S \text{ language}$

REQUIRES: $n \geq 0$

ENSURES: $\text{lengthLess } n$ is a total function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\text{lengthLess } n) \\ = \{s \in \text{Values}(\text{Sigma list}) \mid ((\text{List.length } s) < n) \implies \text{true}\} \end{aligned}$$

Value Spec

$\text{lengthGreater} : \text{int} \rightarrow 'S \text{ language}$

REQUIRES: $n \geq 0$

ENSURES: $\text{lengthGreater } n$ is a total function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\text{lengthGreater } n) \\ = \{s \in \text{Values}(\text{Sigma list}) \mid ((\text{List.length } s) > n) \implies \text{true}\} \end{aligned}$$

3 Lemmas

Throughout, $L, L1, L2, L3 : \text{Sigma language}$ are total.

Prop 11 (Totality)

All values of the `Sigma language` type produced using the `Language` module methods are total:

- `everything` is total
- `nothing` is total
- For any value `v : Sigma list`, `(singleton v)` is total
- For any value `l : Sigma list list`, `(just l)` is total
- All the curried higher-order functions (`singleton`, `just`, `Or`, `And`, `Not`, `Xor`, `lengthEqual`, `lengthLess`, `lengthGreater`, and `str`) are all *total* in the trivial sense: upon being supplied one argument, they evaluate to a value (a function expecting the next curried argument)
- If `L1, L2 : Sigma language` are total,
 - `Or(L1, L2)` is total
 - `And(L1, L2)` is total
 - `Not(L1)` is total
 - `Xor(L1, L2)` is total
- For any `n ≥ 0`,
 - `lengthEqual n` is total
 - `lengthLess n` is total
 - `lengthGreater n` is total
- If `L : char language` is total, so too is `str L`

Proof. We prove several paradigmatic cases, and the others can be done similarly.

Recall `just` is implemented as

aux-library: `Language.sml`

```

37 fun just ([] : ''S list list) s = false
38   | just (x::xs) s = (s=x) orelse just xs s

```

So we can prove the totality of `(just l)` by structural induction on `l : Sigma list list`. The base case is immediate: for any value `s : Sigma list`, `just [] s ⇒ false`, a value. Inductively assuming `just xs s` valuable, then we can see that `(just (x::xs) s)` is also valuable, since `(s=x)` is valuable and, if `(s=x)` evaluates to `false`, then

$$\text{just } (x::xs) \text{ } s \implies \text{just } xs \text{ } s$$

which our IH tells us is valuable, completing the proof.

Recall `And` is implemented as

aux-library: Language.sml

```
41 fun And (L1,L2) s = (L1 s) andalso (L2 s)
```

so if `L1` and `L2` are total, then `(L1 s)` and `(L2 s)` are valuable, hence `(L1 s) andalso (L2 s)` is valuable, proving `And(L1,L2)` total.

Taking for granted that `List.length` is total, it follows that the expression `(List.length s)=n` is valuable. Since this is the body of `lengthEqual n s`, we get that `lengthEqual n` is total.

Proving the totality of `str L` from the totality of `L` is a straightforward consequence of the totality of `String.explode`. \square

Lemma 12

$$\text{just} \cong (\text{foldr } \text{Or } \text{nothing}) \circ (\text{map } \text{singleton})$$

Proof. It suffices to show that for all values `l : Sigma list list`,

$$\text{just } l \cong \text{foldr } \text{Or } \text{nothing } (\text{map } \text{singleton } l)$$

which we do by structural induction on `l`.

BC `l = []`. Pick arbitrary `s : Sigma list`. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{just } [] \text{ } s & \\ & \cong \text{false} && (\text{Defn. just}) \\ & \cong \text{nothing } s && (\text{Defn. nothing}) \\ & \cong (\text{foldr } \text{Or } \text{nothing } []) \text{ } s && (\text{Defn. foldr}) \\ & \cong (\text{foldr } \text{Or } \text{nothing } (\text{map } \text{singleton } [])) \text{ } s && (\text{Defn. map}) \end{aligned}$$

Establishing that `just [] \cong foldr Or nothing (map singleton [])`.

IH Suppose for some `xs : Sigma list list` that

$$\text{just } xs \cong \text{foldr } \text{Or } \text{nothing } (\text{map } \text{singleton } xs)$$

Now pick some `x : Sigma list`. We'll show that

$$\text{just } (x::xs) \cong \text{foldr } \text{Or } \text{nothing } (\text{map } \text{singleton } (x::xs))$$

Pick arbitrary $s : \text{Sigma list}$.

```

just (x::xs) s
≅ (s=x) otherwise just xs s                                (Defn. just)
≅ (x=s) otherwise just xs s                                (Symmetry of =)
≅ (Fn.equal x s) otherwise just xs s                      (Defn. Fn.equal)
≅ (singleton x s) otherwise just xs s                    (Defn. singleton)
≅ (singleton x s) otherwise (foldr Or nothing (map singleton xs)) s
IH
≅ Or(singleton x, (foldr Or nothing (map singleton xs))) s
   (Defn. Or, Prop. 11, higher-order totality of map and foldr)
≅ (foldr Or nothing ((singleton x)::(map singleton xs))) s
   (Defn. foldr, Prop. 11, higher-order totality of map)
≅ (foldr Or nothing (map singleton (x::xs))) s           (Defn. map)

```

so we're done. \square

Cor. 13

$$\text{nothing} \cong \text{just } []$$

Lemma 14

For any total $L, L1, L2, L3 : \text{Sigma language}$, the following equivalences hold

- $\text{And}(L1, \text{And}(L2, L3)) \cong \text{And}(\text{And}(L1, L2), L3)$
- $\text{And}(L1, L2) \cong \text{And}(L2, L1)$
- $\text{Or}(L1, \text{Or}(L2, L3)) \cong \text{Or}(\text{Or}(L1, L2), L3)$
- $\text{Or}(L1, L2) \cong \text{Or}(L2, L1)$
- $\text{And}(L, \text{everything}) \cong L \cong \text{And}(\text{everything}, L)$
- $\text{Or}(L, \text{nothing}) \cong L \cong \text{Or}(\text{nothing}, L)$
- $\text{Not}(\text{Not}(L)) \cong L$
- $\text{Or}(L1, \text{And}(L1, L2)) \cong L1 \cong \text{And}(L1, \text{Or}(L1, L2))$
- $\text{Or}(L1, \text{And}(L2, L3)) \cong \text{And}(\text{Or}(L1, L2), \text{Or}(L1, L3))$
 $\text{And}(L1, \text{Or}(L2, L3)) \cong \text{Or}(\text{And}(L1, L2), \text{And}(L1, L3))$

- $\text{And}(L, \text{Not } L) \cong \text{nothing}$
 $\text{Or}(L, \text{Not } L) \cong \text{everything}$

Lemma 15

For all values $n \geq 0$,

$$\text{Not}(\text{lengthGreater } n) \cong \text{Or}(\text{lengthEqual } n, \text{lengthLess } n)$$

Proof. Pick arbitrary $s : \text{Sigma list}$, and let m denote the value of $\text{List.length } s$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{Not}(\text{lengthGreater } n)) s \\
 & \cong \text{not}(\text{lengthGreater } n s) && (\text{Defn. Not, Prop. 11}) \\
 & \cong \text{not}((\text{List.length } s) > n) && (\text{Defn. lengthGreater}) \\
 & \cong \text{not } (m > n) && (\text{Defn. m}) \\
 & \cong m = n \text{ or else } m < n && (\text{math}) \\
 & \cong ((\text{List.length } s) = n) \text{ or else } ((\text{List.length } s) < n) && (\text{Defn. m}) \\
 & \cong (\text{lengthEqual } n s) \text{ or else } (\text{lengthLess } n s) && (\text{Defn. lengthEqual and lengthLess}) \\
 & \cong (\text{Or}(\text{lengthEqual } n, \text{lengthLess } n)) s && (\text{Defn. Or, Prop. 11})
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

This proof can be modified to prove similar equivalences, e.g.

$$\text{Not}(\text{lengthLess } n) \cong \text{Or}(\text{lengthEqual } n, \text{lengthGreater } n).$$