

Contents

1	Definitions	1
2	Specification	3
2.1	Types	3
2.2	Values	4
3	Lemmas	5
4	rev Correctness and Analysis	6
4.1	Behavior	6
4.2	Asymptotic Complexity	7
5	cleanSplit, interleave, and riffle	7
6	Sorting	7

1 Definitions

Defn. 1 (Comparison Function)

For any type t , a value $\text{cmp} : t * t \rightarrow \text{order}$ is a **comparison function** if it satisfies the usual properties that we expect from a function which consistently compares elements of type t :

1. cmp is total
2. **Reflexivity of cmp-equality:** For all $x : t$, $\text{cmp}(x, x) \cong \text{EQUAL}$
3. **Symmetry of cmp-equality:** For all $x, y : t$, if $\text{cmp}(x, y) \cong \text{EQUAL}$, then $\text{cmp}(y, x) \cong \text{EQUAL}$
4. **Transitivity of cmp-equality:** For all $x, y, z : t$, if $\text{cmp}(x, y) \cong \text{EQUAL}$ and

$\text{cmp}(y, z) \cong \text{EQUAL}$, then $\text{cmp}(x, z) \cong \text{EQUAL}$

5.

Defn. 2 (Length)

- The **length** of `[]` is 0
- The length of `x :: xs` is 1 plus the length of `xs`

Defn. 3 (List Membership)

For any type `t` and any comparison function `cmp : t -> order`, a value `y : t` is **in** a list `L : t list` if `L = x :: xs` where either

- $\text{cmp}(x, y) \cong \text{EQUAL}$, or
- `y` is in `xs`.

We may denote this with $y \in L$ or $y \in_{\text{cmp}} L$.

Defn. 4 (Permutation)

Define the `count` function as follows:

```
1 fun count cmp (y, []) = 0
2   | count cmp (y, x :: xs) =
3     case (cmp(x, y)) of
4       EQUAL => 1 + (count cmp (y, xs))
5       | _ => count cmp (y, xs)
```

Given a type `t`, a comparison function `cmp : t * t -> order`, and two lists `L1, L2 : t list`, we say that `L1` is a **permutation** of `L2` (with respect to `cmp`) if

$$\text{count cmp } (x, L1) \cong \text{count cmp } (x, L2) \quad \text{for all values } x : t.$$

We omit mention of `cmp` if one is clear from context.^a

^aE.g. `Int.compare` when deciding whether one `int list` is a permutation of another.

Defn. 5 (Permutation Function)

A function `f : t list -> t list` is said to be a **permutation function** if `f` is total and for all values `L : t list`, the list `f(L)` is a permutation of `L`.

Defn. 6 (Splitting Function)

A function `s : t list -> t list * t list` is said to be a **splitting function**

if it is total and

$\text{op}@\text{(s(L))}$ is a permutation of L for all values $L : \text{t list}$.

Or, in other words, if $A@B$ is a permutation of L , where $(A, B) = \text{s}(L)$.

Defn. 7 (Merging Function)

A function $m : \text{t list} * \text{t list} \rightarrow \text{t list}$ is said to be a **merging function** if it is total and

$m(A, B)$ is a permutation of $A@B$ for all values $A, B : \text{t list}$.

Defn. 8 (Sorted)

A value $L : \text{t list}$ is **sorted** (with respect to $\text{cmp} : \text{t ord}$) if either:

- $L = []$
- $L = [x]$ for some x
- $L = x :: x' :: xs$ for some x, x', xs such that
 - $\text{cmp}(x, x')$ evaluates to either **LESS** or **EQUAL**
 - $x' :: xs$ is sorted (with respect to cmp)

2 Specification

2.1 Types

Type Spec

```
type 'a ord = 'a * 'a -> order
```

INVARIANT: Any value $\text{cmp} : \text{t ord}$ is a comparison function

Type Spec

```
type 'a perm = 'a list -> 'a list
```

INVARIANT: Any value $f : \text{t perm}$ is a permutation function

Type Spec

```
type 'a splitter = 'a -> 'a list * 'a list
```

INVARIANT: Any value $s : 'a \text{ ord}$ is a splitting function

Type Spec

```
type 'a merger = 'a list * 'a list -> 'a list
```

INVARIANT: Any value `m : 'a merger` is a merging function

2.2 Values**Value Spec**

```
rev : 'a perm
```

REQUIRES: true

ENSURES: `rev L` evaluates to a list containing the elements of `L`, in the reverse order

WORK: $O(n)$, where n is the length of the input list

SPAN: $O(n)$

Value Spec

```
riffle : 'a perm
```

REQUIRES: true

ENSURES: `riffle L` evaluates to a “riffle shuffle” permutation of `L`: the first half of the list interleaved with the second half

Value Spec

```
cleanSplit : 'a splitter
```

REQUIRES: true

ENSURES: `cleanSplit(L)` \implies (A, B) where the lengths of `A` and `B` differ by at most one, and $L \cong A @ B$

Value Spec

```
split : 'a splitter
```

REQUIRES: true

ENSURES: `split(L)` \implies (A, B) where the lengths of `A` and `B` differ by at most one

WORK: $O(n)$

SPAN: $O(n)$

Value Spec

```
interleave : 'a merger
```

REQUIRES: true

ENSURES: `interleave(A,B)` consists of alternating elements of A and of B, in the same order they were in in their respective input lists

Value Spec

`merge` : 'a ord -> 'a merger

REQUIRES: A and B are sorted with respect to `cmp`

ENSURES: `merge cmp (A,B)` is sorted with respect to `cmp`

WORK: `merge cmp (A,B)` is $O(m+n)$, where m and n are the lengths of A and B, respectively (this assumes `cmp` is $O(1)$)

SPAN: $O(m+n)$

Value Spec

`msort` : 'a ord -> 'a perm

REQUIRES: true

ENSURES: `msort cmp L` evaluates to a sorted (w.r.t `cmp`) permutation of L

WORK: `msort cmp L` is $O(n \log n)$ where n is the length of L (assuming `cmp` is $O(1)$)

SPAN: $O(n)$

3 Lemmas

Lemma 9

For all types `t` and all values `X,Y,Z` : `t list`,

$$(X @ Y) @ Z \cong X @ (Y @ Z)$$

Fact 10

For all types `t`, all values `x` : `t` and all values `L` : `t list`,

$$[x] @ L \cong x :: L$$

Fact 11

For all types `t` and all values `L` : `t list`,

$$L @ [] \cong L$$

Lemma 12

For all types t , all $cmp : t \rightarrow \text{ord}$, all values $y : t$ and all values $L1, L2 : t \text{ list}$,

$$\text{count } cmp \ (y, L1 @ L2) \cong (\text{count } cmp \ (y, L1)) + (\text{count } cmp \ (y, L2))$$

4 rev Correctness and Analysis

4.1 Behavior

The implementation of `rev` given in `Permute.sml` is as follows.

aux-library: `Permute.sml`

```

40  local
41    fun trev ([], acc) = acc
42      | trev (x::xs, acc) = trev(xs, x::acc)
43  in
44    val rev = fn L => trev(L, [])
45  end

```

We must prove that this (a) indeed defines a value of type `'a perm`, (b) that `rev` reverses its input list, and then (c) analyze its runtime. We'll start with (b), by proving `rev` equivalent to a more canonical version of list reverse.

For the sake of this document, we'll understand the meaning of “reverse order” (as it appears in the spec of `rev`) to be given by the following function.

```

1  fun reverse [] = []
2    | reverse (x::xs) = (reverse xs)@[x]

```

which happens to be total:

Fact 13

`reverse` is total

So to prove the ENSURES of `rev`, we'll prove that `rev` and `reverse` are extensionally equivalent as functions. We begin with the following lemma about `trev`, the helper function for `rev`.

Lemma 14

For all types t , and all values $L : t \text{ list}$ and $acc : t \text{ list}$

$$\text{trev}(L, acc) \cong (\text{reverse } L) @ acc.$$

Proof. By structural induction on L .

BC $L = []$. Let acc be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trev}([], acc) &\cong acc && (\text{Defn. trev}) \\ &\cong [] @ acc && (\text{Defn. @}) \\ &\cong (\text{reverse } []) @ acc && (\text{Defn. reverse}) \end{aligned}$$

IS $L = x :: xs$ for some values $x : t, xs : t \text{ list}$

IH $\text{trev}(xs, acc') \cong (\text{reverse } xs) @ acc'$ for all values $acc' : t \text{ list}$

Let $acc : t \text{ list}$ be arbitrary. *WTS:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trev}(x :: xs, acc) &\cong (\text{reverse } (x :: xs)) @ acc \\ \text{trev}(x :: xs, acc) &\cong \text{trev}(xs, x :: acc) && (\text{Defn. trev}) \\ &\cong (\text{reverse } xs) @ (x :: acc) && \text{IH} \\ &\cong ((\text{reverse } xs) @ [x]) @ acc && (\text{Fact 10, Fact 13, Lemma 9}) \\ &\cong \text{reverse}(x :: xs) @ acc && (\text{Defn. reverse}) \end{aligned}$$

Done. □

Then the correctness of `rev` is immediate:

Prop 15

$$\text{rev} \cong \text{reverse}$$

Proof. Pick an arbitrary type t and an arbitrary value $L : t \text{ list}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rev } L &\cong \text{trev}(L, []) && (\text{Defn. rev}) \\ &\cong (\text{reverse } L) @ [] && (\text{Lemma 14}) \\ &\cong \text{reverse } L && (\text{Fact 13, Fact 11}) \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim. □

Cor. 16

`rev` is total.

4.2 Asymptotic Complexity

5 cleanSplit, interleave, and riffle

6 Sorting