Language Module smlhelp.github.io - Auxiliary Library

Jacob Neumann July 2021

# Contents

1	Decision Problems	2
2	Specification	3
3	Implementation	6
4	Lemmas	7

## 1 Decision Problems

#### Defn. 1 (Set of values)

For any type t, write Values(t) to denote the set of all values of type t (up to extensional equivalence).

#### Example 2

- Values(bool) = {true, false}
- Values(bool -> bool) = {not, Fn.id, (fn \_ => true), (fn \_ => false)}

#### Defn. 3

A decision problem of type t is a subset

$$L \subseteq \mathsf{Values}(\mathtt{t})$$

#### Defn. 4

A decision problem  $L \subseteq Values(t)$  is said to be decidable if there is a total value  $D : t \rightarrow bool$  such that

$$D(v) \Longrightarrow true \quad iff \quad v \in L$$

for all values v:t. In this case, we say that D decides (or computes) L

#### Example 5

The *subset sum problem* is a family of decision problems of type int list, one for each value n : int

$$\mathsf{SUBSETSUM}_n = \{ \texttt{l} \in \mathsf{Values}(\texttt{int list}) \mid \texttt{foldr op+ 0 l} \cong \texttt{n} \}$$

For each n, the problem  $SUBSETSUM_n$  is decidable: we can define a total function

such that  ${\tt subsetSum}$  n 1  $\Longrightarrow$  true iff foldr op+ 0 1  $\cong$  n.

#### Defn. 6

Given a total function D: t -> bool, define the language of D to be the set

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \{ v \in Values(t) \mid D(v) \Longrightarrow true \}.$$

#### Defn. 7

An equality type is any type t such that

$$(op =) : t * t -> bool$$

is well-typed, i.e. any type whose values we can compare with the = and <> operators.

#### Defn. 8

Given an equality type Sigma, a decision problem over the alphabet Sigma is a subset

$$L \subseteq \mathsf{Values}(\mathsf{Sigma\ list}).$$

# 2 Specification

#### Note 9

Throughout, Sigma will denote some equality type, the type of our "alphabet". Though some of our results will hold when Sigma is allowed to be a general polymorphic type (e.g. Lemma 14), we are mainly concerned with situations where Sigma is an equality type (and the values singleton and just demand that Sigma be an equality type).

A paradigm example is to take

```
type Sigma = char
```

#### Lemma 10

For any total function D : Sigma list -> bool and any subset  $L \subseteq Values(Sigma list)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) D computes L (Defn. 4)
- (2) For all values v : Sigma list,

$$\mathtt{D}(\mathtt{v}) \implies \begin{cases} \mathtt{true} & \mathrm{if} \ \mathtt{v} \in L \\ \mathtt{false} & \mathrm{if} \ \mathtt{v} 
otin L \end{cases}$$

1. 
$$\mathcal{L}(D) = L$$
 (Defn. 6)

#### Type Spec

'S language = 'S list -> bool

#### Value Spec

everything : 'S language

ENSURES: everything: Sigma language is a total function computing the decision problem Values(Sigma list) over the alphabet Sigma.

#### Value Spec

nothing : 'S language

**ENSURES:** nothing: Sigma language is a total function computing the decision problem  $\emptyset$ .

#### Value Spec

singleton : ''S list -> ''S language

ENSURES: (singleton v): Sigma language is a total function computing the decision problem  $\{v\}$ 

#### Value Spec

just : ''S list list -> ''S language

**ENSURES:** (just  $[v_1, v_2, ..., v_n]$ ): Sigma language is a total function computing the decision problem  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ 

#### Value Spec

Or : 'S language \* 'S language -> 'S language

**REQUIRES:** L1 and L2 are total

ENSURES: Or (L1, L2) is a total function such that

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{Or}(\mathsf{L1},\mathsf{L2})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{L1}) \cup \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{L2})$ 

#### Value Spec

And : 'S language \* 'S language -> 'S language

REQUIRES: L1 and L2 are total

ENSURES: And (L1, L2) is a total function such that

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathtt{And}(\mathtt{L1},\mathtt{L2})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathtt{L1}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathtt{L2})$ 

### Value Spec

Not : 'S language -> 'S language

**REQUIRES:** L is total

```
ENSURES: For any L : Sigma language, Not(L) is a total function such that \mathcal{L}(\texttt{Not(L)}) = \mathsf{Values}(\texttt{Sigma list}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\texttt{L})
```

#### Value Spec

 $\label{eq:constraints} \mbox{Xor} \ : \ '\mbox{S language} \ * \ '\mbox{S language}$ 

**REQUIRES:** L1 and L2 are total

ENSURES: Xor (L1, L2) is a total function such that

 $\mathcal{L}(\texttt{Xor}(\texttt{L1},\texttt{L2})) = \mathcal{L}(\texttt{Or}(\texttt{L1},\texttt{L2})) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\texttt{And}(\texttt{L1},\texttt{L2}))$ 

#### Value Spec

lengthEqual : int -> 'S language

REQUIRES:  $n \ge 0$ 

ENSURES: lengthEqual n is a total function such that

 $\mathcal{L}(\texttt{lengthEqual n}) \\ = \{ s \in \mathsf{Values}(\texttt{Sigma list}) \mid ((\texttt{List.length s}) = \texttt{n}) \Longrightarrow \mathsf{true} \}$ 

#### Value Spec

lengthLess : int -> 'S language

REQUIRES:  $n \ge 0$ 

ENSURES: lengthLess n is a total function such that

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{L}(\texttt{lengthLess n}) \\ &= \{ \texttt{s} \in \mathsf{Values}(\texttt{Sigma list}) \mid ((\texttt{List.length s}) < \texttt{n}) \Longrightarrow \texttt{true} \} \end{split}$$

#### Value Spec

lengthGreater : int -> 'S language

REQUIRES:  $n \ge 0$ 

ENSURES: lengthGreater n is a total function such that

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{L}(\texttt{lengthGreater n}) \\ &= \{ \texttt{s} \in \mathsf{Values}(\texttt{Sigma list}) \mid ((\texttt{List.length s}) \texttt{>} \texttt{n}) \Longrightarrow \texttt{true} \} \end{split}$$

# 3 Implementation

#### aux-library: Language.sml

```
type 'S language = 'S list -> bool
32
    fun everything (x:'S list) = true
33
    fun nothing (x : 'S list) = false
34
    val singleton = Fn.equal
36
    fun just ([] : ''S list list) s = false
      | just (x::xs) s = (s=x) orelse just xs s
38
    fun Or (L1,L2) s = (L1 s) orelse (L2 s)
40
    fun And (L1,L2) s = (L1 s) and also (L2 s)
41
    fun Not L = not o L
    fun Xor (L1, L2) s = (L1 s) \iff (L2 s)
43
44
    fun lengthEqual n s = (List.length s)=n
45
    fun lengthLess n s = (List.length s) < n</pre>
    fun lengthGreater n s = (List.length s)>n
47
48
    fun str L = L o String.explode
```

#### 4 Lemmas

Throughout, L, L1, L2, L3: Sigma language are total.

#### Prop 11 (Totality)

All values of the Sigma language type produced using the Language module methods are total:

- everything is total
- nothing is total
- For any value v : Sigma list, (singleton v) is total
- For any value 1 : Sigma list list, (just 1) is total
- All the curried higher-order functions (singleton, just, Or, And, Not, Xor, lengthEqual, lengthLess, lengthGreater, and str) are all total in the trivial sense: upon being supplied one argument, they evaluate to a value (a function expecting the next curried argument)
- If L1, L2: Sigma language are total,
  - Or (L1,L2) is total
  - And (L1, L2) is total
  - Not(L1) is total
  - Xor(L1,L2) is total
- For any  $n \ge 0$ ,
  - lengthEqual n is total
  - lengthLess n is total
  - lengthGreater n is total
- If L : char language is total, so too is str L

*Proof.* We prove several paradigmatic cases, and the others can be done similarly.

Recall just is implemented as

#### aux-library: Language.sml

```
fun just ([] : ''S list list) s = false
| just (x::xs) s = (s=x) orelse just xs s
```

So we can prove the totality of (just 1) by structural induction on 1 : Sigma list list. The base case is immediate: for any value s : Sigma list, just []  $s \Longrightarrow$ 

false, a value. Inductively assuming just xs s valuable, then we can see that (just
(x::xs) s) is also valuable, since (s=x) is valuable and, if (s=x) evaluates to false,
then

just 
$$(x::xs)$$
 s  $\Longrightarrow$  just  $xs$  s

which our IH tells us is valuable, completing the proof.

Recall And is implemented as

#### aux-library: Language.sml

```
fun And (L1,L2) s = (L1 s) and also (L2 s)
```

so if L1 and L2 are total, then (L1 s) and (L2 s) are valuable, hence (L1 s) and also (L2 s) is valuable, proving And (L1, L2) total.

Taking for granted that List.length is total, it follows that the expression (List.length s)=n is valuable. Since this is the body of lengthEqual n s, we get that lengthEqual n is total.

Proving the totality of str L from the totality of L is a straightforward consequence of the totality of String.explode.

#### Lemma 12

```
just \cong (foldr Or nothing) o (map singleton)
```

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for all values 1: Sigma list list,

```
just 1 \cong foldr Or nothing (map singleton 1)
```

which we do by structural induction on 1.

```
BC 1 = []. Pick arbitrary s : Sigma list. Then
```

Establishing that just  $[] \cong foldr \ Or \ nothing \ (map \ singleton \ []).$ 

IH Suppose for some xs : Sigma list list that

```
just xs \cong foldr Or nothing (map singleton xs)
```

Now pick some x : Sigma list. We'll show that

```
just (x::xs) \cong foldr Or nothing (map singleton <math>(x::xs))
```

```
Pick arbitrary s : Sigma list.
just (x::xs) s
\cong (s=x) orelse just xs s
                                                                (Defn. just)
\cong (x=s) orelse just xs s
                                                              (Symmetry of =)
\cong (Fn.equal x s) orelse just xs s
                                                           (Defn. Fn.equal)
\cong (singleton x s) orelse just xs s
                                                          (Defn. singleton)
\cong (singleton x s) orelse (foldr Or nothing (map singleton xs)) s
                                                                        \mathbf{IH}
\cong Or(singleton x, (foldr Or nothing (map singleton xs))) s
                       (Defn. Or, Prop. 11, higher-order totality of map and foldr)
\cong (foldr Or nothing ((singleton x)::(map singleton xs))) s
                              (Defn. foldr, Prop. 11, higher-order totality of map)
\cong (foldr Or nothing (map singleton (x::xs))) s
                                                                 (Defn. map)
so we're done.
```

#### Cor. 13

nothing  $\cong$  just []

#### Lemma 14

For any total L, L1, L2, L3: Sigma language, the following equivalences hold

- And (L1, And (L2, L3))  $\cong$  And (And (L1, L2), L3)
- And (L1, L2)  $\cong$  And (L2, L1)
- $Or(L1,Or(L2,L3)) \cong Or(Or(L1,L2),L3)$
- $Or(L1, L2) \cong Or(L2, L1)$
- And (L, everything)  $\cong$  L  $\cong$  And (everything, L)
- $Or(L, nothing) \cong L \cong Or(nothing, L)$
- ullet Not(Not(L))  $\cong$  L
- Or (L1, And (L1, L2))  $\cong$  L1  $\cong$  And (L1, Or (L1, L2))
- $\begin{array}{lll} \bullet & \mathsf{Or}\left(\mathsf{L1}\,\mathsf{,And}\left(\mathsf{L2}\,\mathsf{,L3}\right)\right) & \cong & \mathsf{And}\left(\mathsf{Or}\left(\mathsf{L1}\,\mathsf{,L2}\right),\mathsf{Or}\left(\mathsf{L1}\,\mathsf{,L3}\right)\right) \\ & \mathsf{And}\left(\mathsf{L1}\,\mathsf{,Or}\left(\mathsf{L2}\,\mathsf{,L3}\right)\right) & \cong & \mathsf{Or}\left(\mathsf{And}\left(\mathsf{L1}\,\mathsf{,L2}\right),\mathsf{And}\left(\mathsf{L1}\,\mathsf{,L3}\right)\right) \\ \end{array}$

```
ullet And (L, Not L) \cong nothing Or(L, Not L) \cong everything
```

#### Lemma 15

```
For all values n \geq 0, \label{eq:normalized} \mbox{Not(lengthGreater n)} \ \cong \ \mbox{Or(lengthEqual n,lengthLess n)}
```

Proof. Pick arbitrary s : Sigma list, and let m denote the value of List.length s.

```
(Not(lengthGreater n)) s
     \cong not(lengthGreater n s)
                                                         (Defn. Not, Prop. 11)
     \cong not((List.length s)>n
                                                     (Defn. lengthGreater)
     \cong not (m>n)
                                                                   (Defn. m)
     \cong m=n orelse m<n
                                                                      (math)
     \cong ((List.length s)=n) orelse ((List.length s)<n)
                                                                   (Defn. m)
     \cong (lengthEqual n s) orelse (lengthLess n s)
                                       (Defn. lengthEqual and lengthLess)
     \cong (Or(lengthEqual n, lengthLess n)) s
                                                          (Defn. Or, Prop. 11)
as desired.
```

This proof can be modified to prove similar equivalences, e.g.

Not(lengthLess n)  $\cong$  Or(lengthEqual n,lengthGreater n).