# **Numerical Analysis**

# Homework 12. RLC Circuit.

## 102061125 Kuan-Chun Chen

## 1. Objective

The RLC circuit is shown below.




Figure 1. RLC circuit

Using these equations to solve  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $i_L$ :

$$\frac{v_1-V}{R}+i_L=0,$$

$$\frac{dv_2}{dt} = \frac{i_L}{C},$$

$$\frac{di_L}{dt} = \frac{v_1 - v_2}{L}.$$

And assuming

- (1) V(t) = 1 for all t,
- $(2) \ \ \text{at} \ \ t=0, v_1(0)=1, v_2(0)=0, \text{and} \ \ i_L(0)=0,$
- (3)  $R = 1 \Omega$ , L = 1 Henry, and C = 1 Farad.

# 2. Approach

**Algorithm.** Methods for solving ODEs with a given initial value.

Forward Euler Method

$$x(t+h) = x(t) + h \cdot f(t)$$

**Backward Euler Method** 


$$x(t+h) = x(t) + h \cdot f(t+h, x(t+h))$$


Trapezoidal Method

$$x(t+h) = x(t) + h \cdot \frac{f(t+h,x(t+h)) + f(t,x(t))}{2}$$

## 3. Results


#### 3.1. Plot







#### **Backward Euler**

Forward Euler





## Trapezoidal






Table 1.

# 3.2. Maximum and minimum

|     |                | h=0.1          |                |                | h=0.01         |                           |  |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|
|     | Forward Euler  |                |                |                |                |                           |  |
|     | $\mathbf{v_1}$ | $\mathbf{v}_2$ | $\mathbf{i_L}$ | $\mathbf{v_1}$ | $\mathbf{v}_2$ | $\mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{L}}$ |  |
| max | 1.1139         | 1.19627        | 0.581654       | 1.09125        | 1.16602        | 0.549617                  |  |
| min | 0.418346       | 0              | -0.11391       | 0.450383       | 0              | -0.09125                  |  |
|     |                | Backward Euler |                |                |                |                           |  |
|     | v <sub>1</sub> | $\mathbf{v}_2$ | i <sub>L</sub> | v <sub>1</sub> | $\mathbf{v}_2$ | i <sub>L</sub>            |  |
| max | 1.07026        | 1.13651        | 0.515275       | 1.08694        | 1.16011        | 0.543012                  |  |
| min | 0.484725       | 0.009009       | -0.07026       | 0.456988       | 9.9e-05        | -0.08694                  |  |
|     | Trapezoidal    |                |                |                |                |                           |  |
|     | v <sub>1</sub> | $\mathbf{v}_2$ | i <sub>L</sub> | v <sub>1</sub> | $\mathbf{v}_2$ | i <sub>L</sub>            |  |
| max | 1.08936        | 1.16346        | 0.546816       | 1.08907        | 1.16304        | 0.546298                  |  |
| min | 0.453184       | 0.004751       | -0.08936       | 0.453702       | 4.98e-05       | -0.08907                  |  |
|     |                |                |                |                |                |                           |  |

Table 2.

## 4. Observations

## 4.1. Different in method (same in h, variable)






Table 3.

## 4.2. Different in h (same in method, variable)

#### • Forward Euler










Table 4.

## Backward Euler










Table 5.

## • Trapezoidal








Table 6.

#### 4.3. Conclusion

#### Amplitude

For h=0.1 and 0.01, from Table 2 and Table 3 we can obviously see the amplitude in damped oscillation region:

Forward Euler > Trapezoidal > Backward Euler

#### ■ Forward Euler

From Table 2 and Table 4, the amplitude in damped oscillation region is smaller when h is smaller.

#### ■ Backward Euler

From Table 2 and Table 5, the amplitude in damped oscillation region is larger when h is smaller.

#### ■ Trapezoidal

From Table 2 and Table 6, the amplitude in damped oscillation region is smaller when h is smaller.