Studies included: 22

Participants included: Unknown

Meta-analysis pooling of aggregate data

using the common-effect inverse-variance model

--------------------------------------------------------------------

Study | CFR [95% Conf. Interval] % Weight

---------------------+----------------------------------------------

1 | 0.250 0.200 1.250 0.43

2 | 0.200 0.100 0.300 1.21

3 | 2.150 1.400 6.600 0.61

4 | 1.700 1.240 3.180 1.65

5 | 0.800 0.570 9.400 0.19

6 | 0.900 0.100 3.200 0.12

7 | 2.600 1.500 3.900 1.60

8 | 1.800 0.700 3.900 0.49

9 | 1.900 1.500 2.370 6.97

10 | 1.700 1.040 5.200 0.56

11 | 0.700 0.580 0.830 11.36

12 | 2.700 1.700 4.000 1.99

13 | 4.000 2.170 5.250 1.87

14 | 2.100 1.440 2.450 5.17

15 | 3.600 2.400 5.200 2.44

16 | 3.200 1.200 6.900 0.48

17 | 4.700 4.130 5.350 21.78

18 | 5.400 4.300 6.700 7.42

19 | 0.600 0.500 0.700 12.89

20 | 4.100 2.800 6.000 2.51

21 | 1.000 0.240 1.100 0.63

22 | 2.000 1.800 2.400 17.63

---------------------+----------------------------------------------

Overall, IV | 1.989 1.872 2.113 100.00

--------------------------------------------------------------------

Test of overall effect = 1: z = 22.314 p = 0.000

Heterogeneity measures, calculated from the data

with Conf. Intervals based on non-central chi² (common-effect) distribution for Q

---------------------------------------------------------

Measure | Value df p-value

---------------------+-----------------------------------

Cochran's Q | 703.16 21 0.000

| -[95% Conf. Interval]-

H | 5.787 5.358 6.207

I² (%) | 97.0% 96.5% 97.4%

---------------------------------------------------------

H = relative excess in Cochran's Q over its degrees-of-freedom

I² = proportion of total variation in effect estimate due to between-study heterogene

> ity (based on Q)