

University of Waterloo  
Pmath 450 - Summer 2015  
Assignment 1

Sina Motevalli 20455091

## Problem 1

### Part a

### Part b

The closed unit ball clearly contains the points of the form  $x_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$  (1 is on the  $n$ th position and everything else is 0). So the distance between any two of these points is  $2^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Thus this sequence has no converging subsequence (because no subsequence is Cauchy). Hence the closed unit ball is not compact in  $l^p$ .

### Part c

Let  $S = \{(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty : q_n \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } q_n = 0, \forall n \geq N \text{ and } \|(q_n)\|_p < \infty\}$ .

Claim:  $S$  is dense in  $l^p$ .

Proof: Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in l^p$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{n=N}^\infty |x_n|^p < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$  find  $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|q_i - x_i|^p < \frac{\epsilon}{2(N-1)}$ .

Now consider the sequence  $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{N-1}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Now we compute the difference between the two sequences in  $l^p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\|(q_n) - (x_n)\|_p)^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |q_i - x_i|^p + \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |q_i - x_i|^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |q_i - x_i|^p + \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|(q_n) - (x_n)\|_p < \epsilon^{1/p}$ , but since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary and  $p$  is a constant,  $S$  is dense in  $l^p$ .

## Problem 2

### Part a

Let  $A_n = [a, b - \frac{1}{n}]$ . So We have:  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = [a, b)$ .  
By the continuity of measure we have:

$$\begin{aligned} m([a, b)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m([a, b - \frac{1}{n}]) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b - \frac{1}{n} - a \\ &= b - a \end{aligned}$$

### Part b

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a lebesgue measurable set. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Need to show  $A + t$  is lebesgue measurable.

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $A$  is measurable, we have

$$m^*(E) = m^*(E \cap A) + m^*(E \cap A^c) \quad (1)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in E \cap A + t &\iff x \in E \text{ and } x \in A + t \\ &\iff x \in E \text{ and } x - t \in A \\ &\iff x - t \in E - t \text{ and } x - t \in A \\ &\iff x - t \in (E - t) \cap A \\ &\iff x \in (E - t \cap A) + t \end{aligned}$$

So  $E \cap A + t = [(E - t) \cap A] + t$ . Thus,

$$m^*(E \cap A + t) = m^*([(E - t) \cap A] + t) = m^*((E - t) \cap A)$$

. By a similar argument we get  $E \cap (A + t)^c = [(E - t) \cap A^c] + t$ . Thus,

$$m^*(E \cap (A + t)^c) = m^*([(E - t) \cap A^c] + t) = m^*((E - t) \cap A^c)$$

. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E \cap (A + t)^c) + m^*(E \cap A + t) &= m^*((E - t) \cap A^c) + m^*((E - t) \cap A) \\ &= m^*(E - t) \\ &= m^*(E) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A + t$  is lebesgue measurable.

### Problem 3

We have 2 cases:

Case 1:  $E$  is bounded.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose an open set  $U$  such that  $\bar{E} \cap E^c \subset U$  and  $m(U) < m(\bar{E} \cap E^c) + \epsilon$ .

Let  $K = E \cap U^c = \bar{E} \cap U^c$ . Since  $K$  is closed and bounded, it is compact. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} m(E) &= m(\bar{E}) - m(\bar{E} \cap E^c) \\ &< m(\bar{E}) - m(U) + \epsilon \\ &\leq m(\bar{E} \cap U^c) + \epsilon \\ &= m(K) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $E$  is unbounded.

Write  $E = \cup E_j$  where each  $E_j$  is bounded and  $E_j \subset E_{j+1}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the previous part, there exist a compact set  $K_j \subset E_j$  for each  $j$  such that  $m(E_j) < m(K_j) + \epsilon$ . Since  $E_j \rightarrow E$ , the result follows.

### Problem 4

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open. Let  $X \cap \mathbb{Q} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$B_i = \bigcup_{\substack{I \text{ is open interval} \\ p_i \in I \subset X}} I$$

Claim:  $X = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$

Proof: It is clear that  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \subset X$  because every  $B_i$  is inside  $X$ . So it remains to prove the other inclusion. Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $X$  is open, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset X$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  we can pick a  $p_j \in (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$  where  $p_j$  is a rational number. Then by definition of  $B_j$  we have  $p_j \in (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset B_j \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . Thus  $X \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  and we are done.

Note that  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  is a countable union of open intervals because each  $B_i$  is a union of open intervals containing a common point, so it is an open interval.

## Problem 5

### Part a

Let  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ . We define  $C_n$  recursively for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

$C_n$  is defined as every interval of  $C_{n-1}$  with the open middle third of each interval removed.

So  $C_n$  contains  $2^n$  intervals each of length  $\frac{1}{3^n}$ .

Now we have:  $C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \subset \dots \subset C_2 \subset C_1 \subset C_0$ .

By downward continuity of measure, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} m(C) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(C_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{3^n} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lebesgue measure of Cantor set is zero.

### Part b

Since measure of the cantor set is 0, every subset of cantor set is measurable with measure 0, and since the cardinality of the set of subsets of cantor set is  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , the cardinality of the set of lebesgue measurable sets is also  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

## Part c

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in [0, 1]$  be a decreasing sequence such that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^n x_n \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$ .

Let  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ . We define  $C_n$  recursively for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

$C_n$  is defined as every interval of  $C_{n-1}$  with the middle open interval of length  $x_n$  of each interval removed.

Then we define  $C = \cap_{n=0}^\infty C_n$ . First I should prove that this construction is possible. Note that to construct the set  $C_n$  we are making  $2^n$  intervals each of length  $\frac{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k x_k}{2^n}$ . So it suffices to prove that  $x_n < \frac{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k x_k}{2^n}$ . Assume for a contradiction that  $x_n \geq \frac{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k x_k}{2^n}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x_n \geq \frac{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k x_k}{2^n} &\Rightarrow 2^n x_n \geq 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^k x_k \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^n 2^k x_k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

But this is a contradiction because  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^n x_n \rightarrow 1 - \alpha < 1$ .

Now I claim that  $m(C) = \alpha$  because:

$$\begin{aligned} m(C) &= m([0, 1]) - m(C^c \cap [0, 1]) \\ &= 1 - L(\text{intervals removed in the construction of } C) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^n x_n \\ &= 1 - (1 - \alpha) \\ &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$