

System of Linear Equations

n - equations
n - unknowns

$$\underline{Ax = b}$$

1. Direct Methods

(i) Diagonal

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & & \\ & a_{22} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_i = \frac{b_i}{a_{ii}}$$

(ii) Upper triangular

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ & a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_i = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j}{a_{ii}}$$

Back substitution

(iii) Lower triangular

Forward substitution

(iii) Full Matrix (A)

Transfer or convert A to

Gauss elimination — U

Gauss Jordan — D

LU Decomposition — L & U

Gauss Elimination

- Pivot equation k
- Pivot element a_{kk}
- Multiplier factor $l_{ik} = \frac{a_{ik}}{a_{kk}}$
- Row reduction $a_{ij} = a_{ij} - l_{ik} a_{kj}$

Forward elimination
+
Backward substitution

Issues with GE

1. First element is zero [Division by zero]
2. Round-off errors
3. Ill-conditioned

$$x_1 + 2x_2 = 10$$

$$1.1x_1 + 2x_2 = 10.4$$

1.05

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 8 \\ x_2 = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 4 \\ x_2 = 3 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$S_1 = -\frac{1}{2} = -0.5$$

$$S_2 = -\frac{1.1}{2} = -0.55$$

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = b_2$$

$$x_2 = \left[-\frac{a_{11}}{a_{12}}x_1 \right] + \frac{b_1}{a_{12}}$$

$$x_2 = \left[-\frac{a_{21}}{a_{22}}x_1 \right] + \frac{b_2}{a_{22}}$$

$$\frac{a_{11}}{a_{12}} \approx \frac{a_{21}}{a_{22}} \quad - \text{ILL conditioning}$$

$$\boxed{a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{21} \approx 0}$$

If determinant is close to zero
— ILL conditioning

If determinant is zero
— Singular

- Can we use determinant as a measure of ill conditioning?

$$1. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1.1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \Delta = 2 - 2.2 = -0.2$$

$$2. \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 20 \\ 11 & 20 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \Delta = \underline{\underline{-20}}$$

Avoiding 3 issues with GE

1. Use more significant digits

2. Pivoting

Row or Partial pivoting - exchange rows of the augmented matrix

Exchange rows which will result in largest magnitude of pivot element

Total pivoting — exchange rows & columns

Example

$$0.0004 x_1 + 1.402 x_2 = 1.406$$

$$0.4003 x_1 - 1.502 x_2 = 2.501$$

Exact — $x_1 = 10$
 $x_2 = 1$

If we solved the problem by
a 4-digit

$$\rightarrow x_1 = 0.9993$$

$$- x_2 = 12.5$$

Pivoting

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.0004 & 1.402 & 1.406 \\ 0.4003 & -1.502 & 2.501 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.4003 & -1.502 & 2.501 \\ 0.0004 & 1.402 & 1.406 \end{array} \right]$$

$$l_{21} = \frac{0.0004}{0.4003} = 0.9993 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.4003 & -1.502 & 2.501 \\ 0 & 1.404 & 1.404 \end{array} \right]$$

Backsub.

$$x_2 = 1.0$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2.501 - (-1.502 \times x_2)}{0.4003} = \frac{4.003}{0.4003} = \underline{\underline{10}}$$

Total pivoting

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0.0004 & 1.402 & 1.406 \\ 0.4003 & -1.502 & 2.501 \end{array} \right]$$

Total pivoting

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} -1.502 & 0.4003 & 2.502 \\ 1.402 & 0.0004 & 1.406 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a_{21} = -\frac{1.402}{1.502} = -0.9334$$

$$x_1 = 1.0$$

$$x_2 = 10.0$$

Why pivoting has worked?

$$\begin{aligned} 10^m \times 0.0004 x_1 + 10^m \times 1.402 x_2 &= 10^m \times 1.406 \\ 0.4003 x_1 - 1.502 x_2 &= 2.501 \end{aligned}$$

$$l_{21} = \frac{0.4003}{0.0004 \times 10^m} = 1001 \times 10^{-m}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{22} &= -1.502 - (1.402 \times 10^m \times 1001 \times 10^{-m}) \\ &= -1405 \end{aligned}$$

$$b_2 = 1404$$

$$x_1 = \underline{\underline{0.9993}}$$

$$x_2 = 12.5$$

So, it is not the magnitude of pivot element that avoided round-off errors

$$|a_{12}| > |a_{11}|$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1.406 \times 10^m - 1.402 \times 10^m \times 0.9993}{0.0004} \quad x_2$$

If we use eq. (2)

$$x_1 = \frac{2.501 + 1.502 \cdot 0.9993}{0.4003}$$

$$= \frac{4.002}{0.4003} = \underline{\underline{9.998}}$$

Scaling of elements of 'A' ignores the round off error

Scaling

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{2 \quad 10^5} & 10^5 \\ 1 & 1 & \vdots & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exact

$$x_1 = 1.00002$$

$$x_2 = \underline{\underline{0.99998}}$$

If we use 3-digit

$$L_{21} = 1/2 = 0.5$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_2 = 1.0 \\ \boxed{x_1 = 0.0} \end{array} \right\}$$

Scaling - Divide the $\left| \frac{a_{ij}}{A_i} \right|$

A_i is the maximum value in a row

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 2 \times 10^{-5} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

partial pivoting

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 \times 10^{-5} & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

multiplication factor

$$Q_{2,1} = \frac{2 \times 10^{-5}}{1}$$

$$\underline{x_1 = x_2 = 1.0}$$

Perform pivoting by using scaled coefficient matrix but perform computations (GE) by using original coefficients

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 10^5 & 10^5 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\underline{x_1 = x_2 = 1.0}$$

Most common implementation of GE

1. Use scaled values of the coefficient as a criterion to decide pivoting
2. Retain original coefficients for actual elimination and substitution

No General pivoting strategy that will work for all linear systems

Example - If coefficient matrix is a positive definite matrix, the BEST strategy is
No Interchange

3. If you know, any special characteristic of the system, use it to decide the pivoting strategy.

Gauss Jordan

In this method the coefficient matrix is reduced to an

Identity matrix.

- Requires a minor modification in GE

o- At each step, first the first element is made unity by dividing first equation by the pivot element

o- In addition to sub-diagonal elements, the above diagonal elements are also made zero.

Example

$$\textcircled{1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & -1 & | & 5 \\ 4 & 4 & -3 & | & 3 \\ -2 & 3 & -1 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = 2 \\ x_3 = 3 \end{array}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{R_1}{a_{11}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.5 & -0.5 & | & 2.5 \\ 4 & 4 & -3 & | & 3 \\ -2 & 3 & -1 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.5 & -0.5 & | & 2.5 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & | & -7 \\ 0 & 6 & -2 & | & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_2 = R_2 / a_{22}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1.5 & -0.5 & | & 2.5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.5 & | & 3.5 \\ 0 & 6 & -2 & | & 6 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1.25 & | & -2.75 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.5 & | & 3.5 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & | & -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$