


# An intercomparison of tropical cirrus in DYAMOND models

Sami Turbeville\*, Jacqueline Nugent, Tom Ackerman,  
Peter Blossey, and Chris Bretherton

University of Washington

UMAP Pan-GASS 2022



# & evaluation

# An intercomparison<sup>^</sup> of


# tropical cirrus in

# DYAMOND models

Sami Turbeville\*, Jacqueline Nugent, Tom Ackerman,  
Peter Blossey, and Chris Bretherton

University of Washington

UMAP Pan-GASS 2022




# & evaluation

## An intercomparison<sup>^</sup> of tropical ~~cirrus~~ in DYAMOND) models high clouds

Sami Turbeville\*, Jacqueline Nugent, Tom Ackerman,  
Peter Blossey, and Chris Bretherton

University of Washington

UMAP Pan-GASS 2022



# DYAMOND

DYnamics of the Atmospheric general circulation Modeled on Non-hydrostatic Domains





Image courtesy of ESiWACE

- 10 Global Storm-Resolving Models (GSRMs)
  - Phase 1 – boreal summer
  - **Phase 2** – boreal winter
- High spatiotemporal resolution
  - 2.5-5km horizontal resolution
  - 51-131 vertical levels
  - 15 min 2D & 3hrly 3D output
- 40-day simulation
- Explicit convection
- Free running (not nudged)


(Stevens et al., 2019 PEPS)

# Models simulate the spatial pattern of OLR reasonably well compared to observations





Main differences are land-ocean contrasts

# How well do DYAMOND models simulate clouds in the Tropical Western Pacific (TWP) region?




# Observations have a banana-shaped distribution of clouds from deep convection to thin cirrus




Clear sky values are included, Black line is where  $\text{CRE} = 0$





# NICAM qualitatively captures the key aspects of the observations





Black line is where  $\text{CRE} \approx 0$  from obs,  
models coarsened to match obs





# There is large model spread in the shape of distributions and frequency of cloud types



“Popcorn” convection




Too many congestus



Color bar:  $\log_{10}(\text{pdf})$



Legend values: -1.4, -1.8, -2.2, -2.6, -3.0, -3.4

# The differences in the vertical structure of clouds are reflected in the joint histogram





Models with total frozen condensate are shown

# NICAM has a lot of upper-level cirrus and thick “congestus” clouds





Models with total frozen condensate are shown

# SCREAM has high ice mass “popcorn” convection





Models with total frozen condensate are shown

# GEOS also has “popcorn” convection and thicker “congestus” clouds





Models with total frozen condensate are shown

# UM has a higher frequency of convection and anvil cirrus in the TTL



Models with total frozen condensate are shown

# Differences are driven by differences in model microphysics and dynamics




Models with total frozen condensate are shown

# Future work

- Effect of microphysics on tropical cirrus using a variable resolution version of SCREAM

# Summary

- The DYAMOND models reasonably reproduce tropical convection as seen through the joint histogram
- Large differences in cloud populations are driven by model microphysics and dynamics



Turberville et al., 2022, ESS  
smturbев@uw.edu

Thank you!

