# Measure Theory & Probability

Sebastian Müksch, v1, 2019/20

### **Basic Notions and Notation**

### Example 1.1.

Simplest  $\sigma$ -algebra:

- $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ , contained in every  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ .
- Family of all subsets of  $\Omega$ , containing every  $\sigma$ -algebraon  $\Omega$ .

### Exercise 1.1.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for every integer  $n \geqslant 1 \Rightarrow \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

### Proposition 1.2.

Let P be a probability measure on  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow P(A) \leqslant P(B)$ ;
- (ii) For *increasing* sequence  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n) = P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right);$$

(iii) For *decreasing* sequence  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n) = P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right).$$

### Proposition 1.2 (General).

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leqslant \mu(B)$ ;
- (ii) For *increasing* sequence  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right);$$

(iii) For **decreasing** sequence  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right).$$

**Proposition** (Bounding Intersections). Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mu(A \cap B) \leq \mu(A)$ . Hint:  $\sigma$ -additivity and  $A = (A \cap B) \cup (A \setminus B)$ .

**Proposition** (Measure of Set Difference, I). Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(A) - \mu(A \cap B)$ .

**Proposition** (Measure of Set Difference, II). Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $\mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(A) - \mu(B)$ .

**Proposition** (Complement of Limit Inferior/Superior).

Let  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then:

(i) 
$$\left( \liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n \right)^C = \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n^C$$

(ii) 
$$\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n\right)^C = \liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n^C$$

Exercise Ws 2, 1 (Limit Inferior/Superior Properties).

Let  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then:

(i) 
$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}A_n\coloneqq\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty\bigcap_{k=n}^\infty A_k$$

is the set of those  $\omega$  that are *in all but* finitely many  $A_n$ , i.e. that uphold the property  $A_n$  captures for all except a finite amount of values of n.

(ii) 
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}A_n:=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty}A_k$$

is the set of those  $\omega$  that are *in infinitely many*  $A_n$ , i.e. that uphold the property  $A_n$  captures for an infinite amount of values of n.

**Proposition** (Continuous Implies Borel-Measurability).

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a *continuous* function. Then f is Borel-measurable.

**Proposition** (Countable Sets). Every countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is Borel-measurable.

# **Expectation Integrals**

### Proposition (Unknown).

Let  $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ . Then the following equalities hold:

- 1<sub>AC</sub> = 1 − 1<sub>A</sub>,
- $\bullet \ \mathbf{1}_{A\cap B}=\mathbf{1}_{A}\mathbf{1}_{B}.$
- $\mathbf{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbf{1}_A + \mathbf{1}_B \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}$ .

### Lemma 3.3.

Let X be a **non-negative** random variable. Then there exists a sequence of **non-negative**, **simple** random variables  $X_n$  converging to X for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Hint:  $h_n(x) = \min\{\lfloor 2^n x \rfloor/2^n, n\}$  is non-negative, simple and increasing, approaching x. Consider  $X_n := h(X) \to X$ .

**Lemma** (Simple Function Integral Properties). Let  $f, g: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a **non-negative**, simple functions and  $a, b \geqslant 0$ . Then the following holds:

- $\int_{\Omega} f d\mu \geqslant 0$ ,
- $\int_{\Omega} (af + bg) d\mu = a \int_{\Omega} f + b \int_{\Omega} g d\mu$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Corollary} & \text{(Positive Integral over Set)}. \\ \text{Let } A \subseteq \Omega \text{ and } f:\Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ a } \textit{non-negative} \\ \text{measurable function. Then } \int_A f \, d\mu \geqslant 0. \end{array}$ 

### Lemma 3.3 (General).

Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a *non-negative*, measurable function. The there exists a sequence  $f_n$  of *non-negative*, simple functions such that:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$$

 $\mathit{Hint} \colon \mathsf{Use}\ h_n$  from Lemma 3.3's hint.

### Exercise 3.5.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Then for **any** measurable function  $f : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ :

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = 0.$$

### Exercise 3.6.

Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function, then:

(i) For any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ :

$$\int_A cf \, d\mu = c \int_A f \, d\mu,$$

provided the integral exists.

(ii) For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ :

$$\int_{A\cup B} f\,d\mu = \int_A f\,d\mu + \int_B f\,d\mu,$$

provided the left-hand or right-hand side is well-defined.

**Theorem 3.8** (Monotone Convergence). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be increasing sequence of non-negative, measurable functions  $f_n: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , converging to some f. Then:

$$\int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \, d\mu$$

### Exercise 3.15.

Let  $\nu$  be a measure that is absolutely continuous with respect to measure  $\mu$  and density g, then  $\mu(g<0)=0$ . Moreover,  $\nu$  is a probability measure  $\Leftrightarrow g\geqslant 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\int_{\Omega}g\,d\mu=1$ .

### Proposition 3.16.

Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be measures on  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal F$  such that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and density g. Then for every  $\mathcal F$ -measurable function f the following holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\nu = \int_{\Omega} f g \, d\mu,$$

whenever one of the integrals exists.

### Remark 3.3.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be measure space,  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  non-negative  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, then

$$\mu(f \geqslant \lambda) \leqslant \lambda^{-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} f^{\alpha} d\mu \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \alpha > 0.$$

Lemma 3.10 (Fatou's Lemma).

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of **non-negative**, measurable functions  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu \leqslant \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \, d\mu.$$

Corollary 3.11 (Fatou's Lemma Extension). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of measurable functions  $f:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then

(i) if there exists a  $g \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} |g| d\mu < \infty$  such that  $g \leqslant f_n$  for all n, then:

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu \leqslant \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \, d\mu.$$

(ii) if there exists a  $g \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} |g| d\mu < \infty$  such that  $g \geqslant f_n$ , then:

$$\int_{\Omega} \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu \geqslant \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n \, d\mu.$$

Hint: TODO

**Theorem 3.12** (Lebegue's Theorem on Dominated Convergence).

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of Borel functions  $f_n:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  converging to some  $f:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Assume there exists a (non-negative) Borel functions g such that  $|f_n|\leqslant g$  for any  $n\geqslant 1$  and  $\int_\Omega g\,d\mu<\infty$ . Then the following two statements hold:

(i) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |f| \, d\mu < \infty,$$

(ii) 
$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu.$$

Hint: TODO

**Proposition** (Restricted Expectation). Let X be a random variable and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then:

$$E(X\mathbf{1}_A) = \int_A X \, dP.$$

Proposition 3.18 (Markov-Chebyshev's Inequality).

Let X be a **non-negative** R.V., then

$$P(X \geqslant \lambda) \leqslant \lambda^{-\alpha} E(X^{\alpha}) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \alpha > 0.$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathit{Hint:} \ E(X^{\alpha}) \geqslant E(\mathbf{1}_{X \geqslant \lambda} X^{\alpha}) \geqslant E(\mathbf{1}_{X \geqslant \lambda} \lambda^{\alpha}) = \\ \lambda^{\alpha} E(\mathbf{1}_{X \geqslant \lambda}) = \lambda^{\alpha} P(X \geqslant \lambda). \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.18** (Markov-Chebyshev's Inequality (General)).

Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a *non-negative*, measurable function, then

$$\mu(f\geqslant \lambda)\leqslant \lambda^{-\alpha}\int_{\Omega}f^{\alpha}\,d\mu\quad\forall \lambda>0,\alpha>0.$$

# $L_p$ Spaces

**Theorem** (Hölder's Inequality). Let  $f, g: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be measurable functions, then

$$\int_{\Omega}\left|fg\right|d\mu\leqslant\left\|f\right\|_{p}\left\|g\right\|_{q}\quad\text{ for }p\geqslant1,$$

where

$$q \coloneqq \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & p > 1, \\ \infty & p = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Hint: TODO

**Theorem** (Hölder's Inequality for Expectations).

Let X, Y be random variables, then

$$E|XY| \leqslant (E|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (E|Y|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

where

$$q \coloneqq \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & p > 1, \\ \infty & p = 1 \end{cases}.$$

**Proposition** (Finite Second Momenta Implication).

Let X,Y be random variables with finite second momenta. Then  $E|XY|<\infty.$ 

 $\mathit{Hint}\colon$  Use Hölder's Inequality with p=2 on  $E|XY|=\int_{\Omega}|XY|\,dP.$ 

**Lemma 4.4** (Borel-Cantelli Lemma). Let  $(A)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty$ , i.e. the series of measures of  $A_n$  converges. Then for:

$$A \coloneqq \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n \coloneqq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k,$$

we have  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

We have  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Hint: Define  $B_n := \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ , then  $(B_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is decreasing and so  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n$  and realize that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty \Rightarrow$  tail sums  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

# Convergence of Measurable Functions

### Exercise 5.1.

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions  $f_n: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then the set A of those  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\omega)$  converges to some (finite) number belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 5.2** (Almost Finite, Converging Sequence is Bounded).

Assume that  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ . Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be  $\mu$ -a.e. finite, converging in measure to  $\mu$  to some  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then the sequence of  $f_n$  is bounded in measure  $\mu$ , uniformly in n, i.e.:

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \sup_{n \ge 1} \mu(|f_n| \ge K) = 0.$$

Hint:  $f_n$   $\mu$ -a.e. finite and  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty \Rightarrow f_n$  bounded in measure (not necessarily uniformly), so

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \sup_{n \geqslant 1} \mu(|f_n| \geqslant K) =$$
$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu(|f_n| \geqslant K).$$

Then use observation of splitting measures of inequalities.

Exercise 5.3 (Product of Bounded & Zero Convergent is Zero Convergent).

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be sequences of  $\mu$ -a.e. finite measurable functions such that the  $f_n$  are bounded in measure  $\mu$ , uniformly in n and  $g_n \to 0$  in measure  $\mu$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $f_n g_n \to 0$  in measure  $\mu$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

### Exercise Ws 3, 1.

Let  $\mu - \lim f_n = f$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is increasing and  $f_{n_k} \to f$  ( $\mu$ -a.e.).

Hint: Borel-Cantelli with

$$A_k = \{ |f_{n_k} - f| \ge 1/k \} \text{ s.t. } \mu(A_k) \le 1/k^2.$$

Theorem 5.4 (Measure Convergence Has Almost Everywhere Converging Subsequence). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of functions converging in measure  $\mu$  to some  $\mu$ -a.e. finite function f. Then there exists a (strictly) increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} f_{n_k} = f$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

#### Exercise 5.5.

Convergence in measure  $\mu$  does not imple convergence  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

Hint:  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$  with  $f_n = \mathbf{1}_{[k/2^m, (k+1)/2^m]}$  where  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^m - 1$  and  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  such that  $n = 2^m + k$ .

Exercise Ws 3, 2 (Convergence Implication). Let  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$  ( $\mu$ -a.e.)  $\Rightarrow \mu - \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$ .

Exercise Ws 3, 3 (Relaxed Domnitated Convergence).

Lebegue's Theorem on Dominated convergence holds under the following, relaxed conditions:

(i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f \ \mu$ -a.e.,  $|f_n| \leqslant g| \ \mu$ -a.e. and  $g \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} |g| \ d\mu < \infty$ ; and

(ii)  $\mu - \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$ ,  $|f_n| \leqslant g|$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $g \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} |g| \, d\mu < \infty$ .

Hint: TODO

# Independence of Events and Random Variables

Theorem 6.3 (Monotone Class Theorem). Let  $\Pi$  be a  $\pi$ -system contained in a  $\lambda$ -system  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\sigma(\Pi)$  is contained in  $\Lambda$ . Hint: TODO

**Proposition 6.4** (Extending  $\pi$ -System Independence).

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two *independent*  $\pi$ -systems, i.e.

 $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) \quad \forall A \in C_1, B \in C_2,$ then the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\sigma(C_1)$  and  $\sigma(C_2)$  are also independent.

Hint: TODO

**Theorem 6.7** (Fubini-Tonelli Theorem). Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$ , for i=1,2, be measure spaces and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be the product measure space of the two, i.e.  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_i \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ . Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a **non-negative**  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function. If  $\mu_i$ , for i=1,2, are **finite measures** on  $\Omega_i$ , for i=1,2, respectively, then the following iterated integrals are well-defined and:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f \, d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} f \, d\mu_2 d\mu_1 =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} f \, d\mu_1 d\mu_2.$$

Furthermore, this statement holds for  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions if:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} |f| \, d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 < \infty.$$

**Lemma 6.9** (Borel-Cantelli (Full)). Let  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of sets and set

$$A := \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k,$$

then the following statements holds

- (i) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(A) = 0$ .
- (ii) If all  $A_n$  are **jointly independent** and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ , then P(A) = 1.

Hint: (i) provided in general case. (ii) Prove  $P((\limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n)^C) = 1$ , define  $B_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^\infty A_k^C$  and show that for a given  $P(B_n) = P(\lim_{m \to \infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^m A_k) = 0$  using independence and observation that  $1 - P(A) \leqslant e^{-P(A)}$ . Finally, use sub- $\sigma$ -additivity for  $P(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n)$ . **Do not** attempt to argue through increasing sequences.

### **Definitions**

### **Basic Notions and Notation**

In the following,  $\Omega$  is a set,  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ . If used, then  $\mu$  is a measure. Otherwise, the measure is the probability measure P.

### Definition 1.1.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of set  $\Omega$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if:

- Closed Under Complement:  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- Closed Under Arbitrary Union:  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for integer  $n \ge 1$  $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- Contains Entire Set:  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a family of subsets of  $\Omega$ . There exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra which contains  $\mathcal{C}$  and which is contained in every  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains  $\mathcal{C}$  (take intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras. Such  $\sigma$ -algebra is unique and called smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{C}$  or  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Simplest example, let  $A \subseteq \Omega$ :

$$\sigma(A) = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, \Omega\}.$$

**Definition** (Finite Measure Space). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. If  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ , then we call the measure space *finite*.

### Random Variables

Definition 2.1.1.

Let  $A\subseteq \Omega$  and  $\mathbf{1}_A$  be defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{1}_{A}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in A \\ 0, & \omega \notin A \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is a R.V. and called the *indicator* (function) of (events) A.

**Definition 2.3** (Distribution Function). Let X be a random variable. Then the function

$$F_X(x) = P(X \leqslant x) =$$

$$= P(X \in (-\infty, x]) = Q_X((-\infty, x]),$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is called the *distribution function* of

### **Expextation Integrals**

**Definition** (Indicator Integral). Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , then:

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_A \, d\mu = \mu(A).$$

**Definition** (Simple Function).

Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a *simple function*, then f takes finitely many values. Formally, if I is a finite index set,  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  a famility of *disjoint* subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $(c_i)_{i \in I}$  a family of real numbers, then:

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}(\omega).$$

**Definition** (Lebesgue Integral for Expectation).

Let X be a random variable. Then we write:

$$EX = \int_{\Omega} X \, dP.$$

**Definition** (Non-negative, Measurable Lebesgue Integral).

Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a **non-negative**, measurable function and  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  a sequence of **non-negative**, **simple** functions such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n \, d\mu.$$

**Definition** (Lebesgue Integral). Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function. The **Lebesgue Integral** of f is defined as:

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f^+ \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} f^- \, d\mu,$$

where  $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$  and  $f^- = \max\{-f, 0\}$ , if at least one of the integrals on the right-hand side is finite. If both are infinite, then we say that the Lebesgue Integral of f does not exist.

**Definition** (Restricted Integration). Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $f : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is a measurable function, then we define:

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \int_\Omega \mathbf{1}_A f \, d\mu,$$

when the integral of  $\mathbf{1}_A f$  w.r.t  $\mu$  exists.

**Definition 3.7** (Absolute Continuity). Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  such that for some  $\mathcal{F}$ -measureable  $g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\nu(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_A g \, d\mu = \int_A g\mu(dx),$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\nu$  is called **absolutely continuous** with respect to  $\mu$  and g is called the **density** or **Radon-Nikodym derivative** (Notation:  $g = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ ).

# Convergence of Measurable Functions

**Definition** ( $\mu$ -Almost Everywhere Finite). Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, then f is said to be  $\mu$ -almost everywhere ( $\mu$ -a.e.) finite if  $\mu(|f| = \infty) = 0$ .

**Definition** (Almost Surely Finite). Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, then f is said to be **almost surely** (a.s.) finite if  $P(|f| = \infty) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P(|f| < \infty) = 1$ .

**Definition 5.1** ( $\mu$ -Almost Everywhere Convergence).

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions. The  $f_n$  are said to **converge**  $\mu$ -**almost everywhere** to a  $\mu$ -**a.e. finite**  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  as  $n \to \infty$  if there exists an  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $\mu(A) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\omega) = f(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \omega \in A^C.$$

**Notation:**  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$  ( $\mu$ -a.e.) or  $f_n \to f$  ( $\mu$ -a.e.).

**Definition 5.1** (Almost Sure Convergence). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions. The  $f_n$  are said to **converge almost surely** to a **a.s. finite**  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  as  $n \to \infty$  if there exists an  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t. P(A) = 0 and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\omega) = f(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \omega \in A^C.$$

**Notation:**  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$  (a.s.) or  $f_n \to f$  (a.s.).

**Definition 5.2** (Convergence in Measure). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions. The  $f_n$  are said to *converge in measure*  $\mu$  to a  $\mu$ -a.e. finite  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $n \to \infty$  if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(|f_n - f| \ge \varepsilon) = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Notation:**  $\mu - \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$ .

**Definition 5.2** (Convergence in Probability). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions. The  $f_n$  are said to **converge** in **probability** to a **a.s. finite**  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  as  $n \to \infty$  if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|f_n - f| \ge \varepsilon) = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Definition** (Bounded in Measure). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of measurable functions, then it is **bounded** in measure  $\mu$  if

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \mu(|f_n| \geqslant K) = 0,$$

for any  $n \ge 1$ .

**Definition** (Bounded Uniformly in Measure). Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of measurable functions, then it is **bounded** in measure  $\mu$ , uniformly in n if

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \sup_{n \geqslant 1} \mu(|f_n| \geqslant K) = 0.$$

**Definition** (Finite Second Moment). Let X be a random variable. Then X has *finite* second moment if  $EX^2 < \infty$ .

### Independence of Events and Random Variables

**Definition 6.5** ( $\lambda$ -system).

Let  $\Lambda$  be a family o subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -system, if it satisfies all of the following properties:

- (i) (Contains whole set)  $\Omega \in \Lambda$ ;
- (ii) (Closed under Subset Set Subtraction) if  $A, B \in \Lambda$ , such that  $B \subset A$ , then  $A \setminus B \in \Lambda$ ;
- (iii) (Closed under Disjoint Union) if  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **pairwise disjoint** sequence, i.e.  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , of subsets, such that  $A_i \in \Lambda$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Lambda$ .

**Definition 6.6** ( $\pi$ -system).

Let  $\Pi$  be a family of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\Pi$  is a  $\pi$ -system, if it is closed under finite intersections, i.e.  $A, B \in \Pi \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \Pi$ .

### Conditional Expectation

**Definition** (Sub- $\sigma$ -Algebra Measurable). Let Y be a random variable TODO FINISH

### Useful Observations

**Observation** (Bounding Measures). The following inequalities to bound measures are *always* applicable, for *any* sets  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ :

 "Dropping a set in an intersection gives an upper bound" 

 ⇔ "Relaxing constraints":

$$\mu(A \cap B) \leqslant \mu(A)$$
.

2. "Dropping a set in a union gives an lower bound":

$$\mu(A \cup B) \geqslant \mu(A)$$
.

3. "Adding a set in a union gives an upper bound" ⇔ "Adding constraints":

$$\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A \cup B \cup C).$$

4. "Intersections are less than a set and a set is less than a union":

$$\mu(A \cap B) \leqslant \mu(A) \leqslant \mu(A \cup B).$$

Observation (Adding  $\Omega$  by Intersection). If you would like to introduce a property to an existing set A to make it easier to work with, for instance easier to bound, you can add an intersection with  $\Omega$ :

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\Omega \cap A).$$

Then  $\Omega$  can be split into the set B that represents the property and  $B^C$  that does not have the property, where  $\Omega = B \cup B^C$ . Then:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\Omega \cap A) = \mu((B \cup B^C) \cap A) =$$
$$\mu((B \cup B^C) \cap A) = \mu((B \cap A) \cup (B^C \cap A)).$$

Using  $\sigma$ -additivity, we get:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B^C \cap A).$$

Then by the observation on bounding measures, this can be made into an inequality:

$$\mu(A) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B^C \cap A)$$
  
$$\leq \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B^C).$$

**Observation** (Increasing Sequence of Sets). For an *increasing* sequence of sets  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we can define:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

**Observation** (Decreasing Sequence of Sets). For an *decreasing* sequence of sets  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we can define:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

**Observation** ( $\mu$ -Almost Everywhere Finite, I). If  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -a. e. finite, then note that if  $A_n := \{|f| \ge n\}$ , then  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a decreasing sequence and so:

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n\right) = \mu(|f| = \infty)$$

$$= 0.$$

**Observation** ( $\mu$ -Almost Everywhere Finite, II).

If  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -a. e. finite, then observe

$$\mu(|f| = \infty) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \mu(|f| \geqslant R) = 0.$$

**Observation** (Almost Surely Finite, II). If  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a.s. finite, then observe

$$\begin{split} P(|f| = \infty) &= \lim_{R \to \infty} P(|f| \geqslant R) = 0. \\ \iff P(|f| < \infty) &= \lim_{R \to \infty} P(|f| < R) = 1. \end{split}$$

Observation (Almost Surely Finite). If  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  is a. s. finite, then note that if  $A_n := \{|f| \ge n\}, \text{ then } (A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is a decreasing }$ 

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n\right) = P(|f| = \infty)$$

**Observation** ( $\mu$ -Almost Everywhere Convergence I).

If  $f_n \to f$   $\mu$ -a.e., then  $\mu(f_n \not\to f) = 0$ .

**Observation** ( $\mu$ -Almost Everywhere Convergence II).

If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is a set such that  $\mu(A) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|f_n(\omega)-f(\omega)|=0\quad\forall\omega\in A^C,$$
 then  $f_n\to f$   $\mu\text{-almost everywhere.}$ 

Observation (Almost Sure Convergence). If  $f_n \to f$  a.s., then  $P(f_n \not\to f) = 0$  or equivalently  $P(f_n \to f) = 1$ .

Observation (Splitting Measures of Inequalities).

Let f, g be measurable functions and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mu(|f| \geqslant a) \leqslant \mu\left(|f - g| \geqslant \frac{a}{2}\right) + \mu\left(|g| \geqslant \frac{a}{2}\right)$$

Observation (Using Borel-Cantelli). If you can define sets  $(A_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\mu(A_k) \leqslant 1/k^2$ , then you can use Borel-Cantelli

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty.$$

In fact, the choice of  $1/k^2$  is more or less arbitrary. This technique would work with any  $r_k$  s.t.  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k < \infty$  and  $\mu(A_k) \leqslant r_k$ . Caution:  $r_k = 1/k$  does not work.

Observation (Function As Integral). Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a *non-negative* measurable function, the obvserve that

$$f(\omega) = \int_{0}^{f(\omega)} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{x \leqslant f(\omega)} dx$$

Observation (Bounding Complement Probabilities).

Note that  $1-x \le e^{-x}$ . Therefore, we can bound probabilities of a product of complement events, for instance:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^C) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - P(A_n)] \le \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-P(A_n)} = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -P(A_n)}$$

Observation (Interchanging Expectation & Infinite Sum).

Observe that if f is **non-negative**, then:

$$E\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(X_n)\right) = E\left(\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(X_n)\right) =$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Ef(X_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Ef(X_n),$$

where pulling the expectation through the sum can be done due to the Monotone Convergence Theorem, as  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(X_n)$  is an increasing sequence of non-negative random variables.

Observation (Markov-Chebyshev's Inequality & Norm).

The following is the general Markov-Chebyshev Inequality rewritten using the norm instead of an integral. Let  $f: \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a *non-negative*, measurable function in  $L_{\alpha}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , then

$$\mu(f \geqslant \lambda) \leqslant \lambda^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} d\mu \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \alpha > 0.$$